TIP FY17-21

PROJECTS

TDOT PIN/	TIP ID # STIP ID # VDOT UPC Project	115497.00 Netherland Inn - Stone Drive (Us 11W/SR 1) Connector		121031.00 Signalization of intersection of SR 126 with Island Road	112965.00 SR 347 Rock Springs Road Rebuild/TDOT project	123325.00 Main Street Kingsport Rebuild	123791.00 Kingsport Press Road Resurfacing - Church Hill	Downtown Bulbout/Pedestrian Safety Enhancements - Commerce Street & Market Street Intersection	121579.00 Lynn Garden Drive (SR 36) Coordinated Signal System (Amendment #6 to add project to TIP)	1782110 Group National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) Grouping	1782135 Group Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Grouping
	TIP ID #	L-STBG-1	LSTBG-2	L-STBG-3	L-STBG-4	L-STBG-5	L-STBG-6	L-STBG-7	L-STBG-8	TN-1	TN-2

105467.02 SR 126 (Memorial Blvd) - Phase II (Amendment #4 to add project to TIP)

1782010 114173.00 Interstate 81 Truck Climbing Lane 1782020 105467.01 SR 126 (Memorial Blvd) - Phase I

1782025

TN-5 TN-6 TN-7

Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG) Grouping

Group Group Group

> 1782135 1782115

> > TN-3 TN-4

TIP FY17-21

AMENDMENTS

		Per TDOT, this was an adjustment	Per TDOT, this was an adjustment				
MTPO EB Approval	2/9/2017	2/9/2017	2/9/2017	8/3/2017	8/3/2017	9/22/2017	11/9/2017
Action		Add \$1,000,000 to FY17	Add \$3,500,000 to FY17	Add \$5,000,000 STBG funds for PE-D	Increase estimate for ROW and utilities by \$18,000,000	oordinated Signal System Add project to FY17-21 TIP (inadvertently left out)	
Project	VDOT - projects	TDOT - HSIP grouping	TDOT - NHPP grouping	SR-126 Phase II	SR-126 Phase I	Lynn Garden Coordinated Signal System	VDOT - Rail Project Grouping
TDOT PIN/ VDOT UPC		Group	Group	105467.02	105467.01	121579.00	
STIP ID#		1782135	1782110	1782025	1782020		
State TDOT PIN/ TIP ID# Amendment# STIP ID# VDOT UPC Project				28	61	92	
TIP ID#		TN-2	TN-1	LN-7	TN-5	L-STBG-8	
Amendment #	1	2	3	4	2	9	7

ADJUSTMENTS

Justment		State		TDOT PIN/			
#	TIP ID#	Adjustment #	STIP#	VDOT UPC Project	Project	Action	Date
1	TN-5	22	1782020	105467.01	105467.01 SR-126 (Memorial Blvd) - Phase I	TDOT is replacing FY17 STBG with FY17 ACSTBG funds	1/26/2017
2	TN-2	24	1782135			Increase FY17 HSIP funds by \$1,000,000	1/30/2017
e	TN-1	25	1782110			increase FY17 NHPP funds by \$3,500,000	1/30/2017
4	TN-2	39	1782135		TDOT groupings in Kingsport	Add percentage definitions for HSIP, NHPP, STBG	2/14/2017
2	L-STBG-3	68		121031.00	Signalization at SR-126/Island Road	Add \$190,000 STBG funds to FY17	4/12/2017
9	L-STBG-3	148		121031.00	121031.00 Signalization at SR-126/Island Road	Add \$62,372 to 'Total Project Cost' (inadvertently left our	6/6/2017
7	L-STBG-6	206		123791.00	123791.00 Press Road Resurfacing (Church Hill)	Add \$40,000 back in (inadvertently left out)	8/25/2017
∞	L-STBG-3	216		121031.00	121031.00 Signalization at SR-126/Island Road	Add \$164,000 to construction phase	10/11/2017
6	TN-2	226	1782135		TDOT - HSIP grouping	TDOT adding ACPHSIP in the amount of \$552,000 in FY18 10/19/2017	10/19/2017
10	T-NT	235	1782025	105467.02	105467.02 SR-126 (Memorial Blvd) - Phase II	TDOT moving \$1,000,000 of STBG funds from PE-D to PE 12/1/2017	12/1/2017

<u>Transportation</u> <u>Improvement Program</u>

2017-2021



The preparation of this report has been financed in part through grant[s] from the Federal Highway Administration and Federal Transit Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation, under the State Planning and Research Program, Section 505 [or Metropolitan Planning Program, Section 104(f)] of Title Department of Transportation. This report was prepared in cooperation with the United States Department of Transportation, the Federal Highway Administration, the Federal Transit Administration, Tennessee Department of Transportation and the Virginia Department of Transportation. It is the policy of the City of Kingsport not to discriminate on the basis of age, race, sex, color, national origin or disability in its hiring and employment practices, or in admission to, access to, or operation of its programs, services, and activities. For ADA (disability) inquiries contact the ADA Coordinator, Mr. George DeCroes, TDD at (423) 224-2614 or (423) 224-2448

201 West Market Street, Kingsport, Tennessee, 37660

Phone: (423) 224.2670 Fax: (423) 224.2756

Email: MPO@kingsporttn.gov

Website: Mpokingsporttn.org

TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

FISCAL YEARS 2017-2021

KINGSPORT METROPOLITAN

TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION

Prepared By:

Kingsport Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization Executive Staff

Adopted November 3, 2016

By

The Kingsport Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization Executive Board

TABLE OF CONTENTS

RESOLUTIONS	i
CERTIFICATIONS	ii
ACRONYMS	iv
INTRODUCTION	1
MTPO Organization	2
Executive Board	
Technical Coordinating Committee	
MTPO Staff	3
Planning Area	3
TIP PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT	6
Public Involvement	8
Amendment and Adjustment Procedures	8
PROJECT PRIORITIES AND CRITERIA	
Prioritization	11
Project Prioritization Criteria and Selection	14
Inclusion of 3-R and Safety Projects	15
Maintenance of Existing System	
FUNDING AND FINANCIAL PLAN	18
Funding	18
Financial Plan	30
Local Funding Match	32
TIP Projects and Fiscal Constraint	32
Establishment of Project Costs and Revenues	32
Previous TIP Projects (Section A)	34
TDOT Statewide Grouping Descriptions	37
Local STBG Projects	44
Tennessee Enhancement/SRTS Projects	50
Public Transit Services	51
TDOT Projects	57
Tennessee Summary Tables	62
VDOT Projects	ТВD
Virginia Summary Tahlos	TRN

RESOLUTION

BY

THE EXECUTIVE BOARD AND EXECUTIVE STAFF

OF

THE KINGSPORT METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION

ADOPTING FY 2017-2021 KINGSPORT TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

WHEREAS, in accordance with the requirements of the U.S. Department of Transportation, the Kingsport MTPO is required to prepare a Transportation Improvement Program (TIP); and

WHEREAS, this Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) documents a cooperatively developed program of projects scheduled for implementation during the projected five-year period; and

WHEREAS, this Transportation Improvement Program is a subset of the adopted Long-range Transportation Plan; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with requirements set forth in the Federal Transportation Act of 2012 or "MAP-21" ("Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century"), the Kingsport MTPO Executive Board hereby determines the use of various Federal Highway Administration funds and Federal Transit Administration funds for Kingsport Urbanized Area projects, as listed in the TIP; and

WHEREAS, the Kingsport Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization does hereby certify that the requirements of "Title 23 of the Code of the Federal Regulations Part 450.334" are met.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Executive Board and Executive Staff of the Kingsport Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization do hereby approve and endorse the "Transportation Improvement Program, FY 2017-2021".

Tilden J. Fleming, Chairman

MTPO Executive Board

Troy J Ebbert, Chairman MTPO Executive Staff

Date

11/3/16

Date

RESOLUTION

BY

THE EXECUTIVE BOARD AND EXECUTIVE STAFF

OF

THE KINGSPORT METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION

FY 2017-2021 KINGSPORT TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

"Self Certifications and Federal Certifications"

WHEREAS, in accordance with the requirements of the U.S. Department of Transportation, the Kingsport MTPO is required to prepare a Transportation Improvement Program (TIP); and

WHEREAS, the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) documents a cooperatively developed program of projects scheduled for implementation during the projected five-year period; and

WHEREAS, the Transportation Improvement Program reaffirms the adopted Longrange Transportation Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Kingsport Transportation Improvement Program follows the regulations and requirements, as set forth in the F.A.S.T. Federal Transportation Act of 2016, and

WHEREAS, in accordance with requirements set forth in the Fixing Americas Surface Transportation Federal Transportation Act of 2016 or "F.A.S.T.", the Kingsport MTPO Executive Board hereby determines the use of various Federal Highway Administration funds, including Surface Transportation Program, Transportation Alternatives, National Highway Performance Program, Highway Safety Improvement Program, and Federal Transit Administration Capital, Operating and Planning funds for Kingsport Urbanized Area projects, as listed in the TIP; and

WHEREAS, the Kingsport Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization does hereby certify that the requirements of Title 23 of the Code of the Federal Regulations, Part 450.306, Part 450.316, Part 450.324, and Part 450.334 are met.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Executive Board and Executive Staff of the Kingsport Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization do hereby approve and endorse the following certifications, as set forth in 23CFR, Part 450.306, Part 450.316, Part 450.324, and Part 450.334 are met, as part of the Kingsport Area Transportation Improvement Program, FY 2017-2021.

SELF CERTIFICATIONS AND FEDERAL CERTIFICATIONS

23CFR 450.334

- (1) 23 USC 134, 49 USC 5303 (Highways and Transit)
- (2) In non-attainment and maintenance areas, sections 174 and 176 (c) and (d) of the Clean Air Act, as amended 942 U.S.C. 7504, 7506 (c) and (d) and 40 CFR part 93
- (3) Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2000d-1) and 49 CFR part 21
- (4) 49 U.S.C. 5332, prohibiting discrimination, on the basis of race, creed, national origin, sex or age in employment or business opportunity
- (5) Section 1101 (b) of MAP-21 (Pub. L 112-141) and 49 CFR part 26 regarding the involvement of disadvantaged business enterprises in USDOT funded projects
- (6) Title 23 of the Code of the Federal Regulations, Part 230, regarding the implementation of an equal employment opportunity program on Federal and Federal-aid highway construction contracts
- (7) Provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12101 et. Seq.)
- (8) The Older Americans Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 6101), prohibiting discrimination on the basis of age in programs or activities receiving Federal financial assistance
- (9) Section 324 of title 23 U.S.C. regarding the prohibition of discrimination based on gender
- (10) Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. 794) and 49 CFR part 27 regarding discrimination against individuals with disabilities

Tilden J. Fleming, Chairman MTPO Executive Board

Date

11/2/14

3 HOV 16

Troy Ebbert, Chairman

MTPO Executive Staff

ACRONYMS

3-C CONTINUING, COOPERATIVE AND COMPREHENSIVE TRANSPORTATION PLANNING PROCESS

3-R REPLACEMENT, REHABILITATION OR REPAIR

AC ADVANCED CONSTRUCTION

ADA AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT

ARRA AMERICAN RECOVERY AND REINVESTMENT ACT

ATRA ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION REST AREA

BRR BRIDGE REPLACEMENT AND REHABILITATION

CAA CLEAN AIR ACT

CAAA CLEAN AIR ACT AMENDMENTS
CE CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION

CFR CODE OF THE FEDERAL REGULATIONS

CMAQ CONGESTION MITIGATION & AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

CO CARBON MONOXIDE

CON CONTINGENCY FUNDED PROJECTS

CONST (CONSTRUCTION): WORK BY THE AGENCY OR CONTRACTOR(S) TO CONSTRUCT THE PROJECT,

POSSIBLY INCLUDING UTILITY RELOCATION.

CPR CAPITOL PROJECTS FUND

CTB COMMONWEALTH TRANSPORTATION BOARD
DBE DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISE

DRPT (VIRGINIA) DEPARTMENT OF RAIL AND PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION

EB EQUITY BONUS

EN TRANSPORTATION ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM

E+C EXISTING PLUS COMMITTED

EPA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
FAST ACT FIXING AMERICA'S SURFACE TRANSPORTATION

FHWA FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION
FTA FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

FTDD FIRST TENNESSEE DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT
FTHRA FIRST TENNESSEE HUMAN RESOURCE AGENCY

FY FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR (OCTOBER 1 – SEPTEMBER 30)

HOV HIGH OCCUPANCY VEHICLE LANES

GARVEES GRANT ANTICIPATION REVENUE VEHICLES
GIS GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM

HPP HIGH PRIORITY PROJECTS

HSIP HIGHWAY SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

ILL ILLUSTRATIVE PROJECTS

INVEST INFRASTRUCTURE VOLUNTARY EVALUATION SUSTAINABILITY TOOL

ITS (Intelligent Transportation Systems): Procuring, developing, or integrating

 ${\tt TECHNOLOGY\ TO\ MANAGE\ TRANSPORTATION\ FACILITIES,\ IMPROVE\ SAFETY,\ OR\ MOBILITY.}$

KATS KINGSPORT AREA TRANSIT SYSTEM
LRTP LONG-RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

MAP-21 MOVING AHEAD FOR PROGRESS – IN 21STCENTURY (2012 FEDERAL TRANSPORTATION ACT)

MPO METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

MTPO METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION

MOA MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT

MPA METROPOLITAN PLANNING AREA

N/A NOT APPLICABLE

NAAQS NATIONAL AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS
NEPA NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT
NETRANS NORTHEAST TENNESSEE RURAL TRANSIT SYSTEM

NH/NHS NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM

NHPP NATIONAL HIGHWAY PERFORMANCE PROGRAM

OS OPTIONAL SAFETY PROJECT
O & M OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE

OPERATIONS OPERATING THE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM SUCH AS INCURRING COSTS RELATED TO THE DAY-

TO-DAY OPERATIONS OR MAINTENANCE OF TRANSIT VEHICLE SYSTEMS, TRAFFIC SIGNAL

SYSTEMS, OR INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS

PE-D (PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING – DESIGN): PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING DESIGN WORK,

ACCORDING TO ACCEPTED ENGINEERING PRACTICES, AFTER APPROVAL OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL

DOCUMENT

PE-N (PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING – NEPA): INCLUDES ACTIVITIES FROM THE INCEPTION OF THE

PROJECT, FULFILLING THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT OF 1969 AND ALL APPLICABLE LEGISLATION, REGULATIONS, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND DIRECTIVES,

UP TO THE APPROVAL OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT

PL METROPOLITAN PLANNING FUNDS

PPM PARTS PER MILLION

PPP PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PLAN

PURCHASE/ OBTAINING EQUIPMENT, SOFTWARE OR VEHICLES

ACQUIRE

RD STREET AND ROADWAY PROJECTS

ROW RIGHT-OF-WAY, WORK FROM THE DISTRIBUTION OF ROW PLANS UP TO ADVERTISING FOR BIDS

OR COMMENCEMENT OF WORK BY THE AGENCY, DEALING WITH REAL PROPERTY ACQUISITION,

TEMPORARY AND PERMANENT EASEMENTS, AND UTILITY RELOCATION

Section 5303 Transportation Planning

Section 5307 Urbanized Area Formula Program

SECTION 5310 ENHANCED MOBILITY OF SENIORS AND INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES

SECTION 5311 RURAL AREA FORMULA PROGRAM

SECTION 5339 CAPITAL PROGRAM FUNDS

SPPR STATE PRIMARY PAVEMENT REHABILITATION
SPR STATE PLANNING AND RESEARCH FUNDS

SRTS SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL

SR STATE ROUTE STA STATE FUNDS

STIP STATE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

STBG SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM (L-LOCAL OR S-STATE)

SYIP (VIRGINIA) SIX-YEAR IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

TCC TECHNICAL COORDINATING COMMITTEE

TCSP TRANSPORTATION, COMMUNITY, AND SYSTEM PRESERVATION PROGRAM

TDOT TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
TAP TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVES PROGRAM

TAZ TRAFFIC ANALYSIS ZONE

TDEC TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND CONSERVATION

TDM TRAVEL DEMAND MODEL

TDOT TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

TDP TRANSIT DEVELOPMENT PLAN

TIP TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

TMPD (VIRGINIA) TRANSPORTATION AND MOBILITY PLANNING DIVISION

TMC TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT CENTER

TN TENNESSEE

TNMUG TENNESSEE MODEL USERS GROUP

TR PUBLIC TRANSIT PROJECTS
TRAINING TRAINING ACTIVITIES

TRIMS TENNESSEE ROADWAY INFORMATION AND MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

TSM TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT

UPWP UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM

USC UNITED STATES CODE

USDOT UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

UZA URBANIZED AREA

VA VIRGINIA

VDOT VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

VMT VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED

VOC VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND

INTRODUCTION

The Kingsport Area Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization (MTPO), which is federally mandated to carry out the planning and programming of federal and regionally significant transportation activities within the Cities of Kingsport, Church Hill, Mt. Carmel, and portions of Sullivan and Hawkins Counties, Tennessee, as well as Gate City, Weber City, and portions of Scott County, Virginia, has prepared the following Fiscal Year (FY) 2017 through 2021 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) for the Kingsport MTPO.

The TIP is a programming document that contains a funding schedule for the next five (5) years, with the last year being illustrative only. By having a five year Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), the MTPO is consistent with the Long Range Transportation Plan 5-year updates and can pull from and prioritize more immediate projects in the first five (5) years of the Plan. This method assists the MPO staff in communicating the selected projects to the public, and creates more understanding with the Executive Board. TDOT has a 4-Year STIP while VDOT has a 6-Year STIP.

The FY 14-17 TIP is a product of the ongoing transportation planning process of the Kingsport MTPO. The purpose of the TIP is to identify all transportation projects funded by 23 U.S.C. (United State Code) and 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53 within the Kingsport area, including streets and highways, transit service and facilities, bicycle and pedestrian facilities and transportation enhancement projects. It is also to ensure coordination of transportation improvements by local, state, and federal agencies.

As a condition to receiving Federal project funds, the TIP must list all highway and public transit transportation projects proposed for funding under Title 23 (highways) and Title 49 (transit) of the U.S. Code. The TIP must contain all Federal, State, and locally funded regionally significant transportation projects regardless of funding source. The TIP identifies the region's highest priority transportation projects, develops a multi-year implementation program, and identifies necessary federal and non-federal funding. The TIP covers a minimum four-year time period and is developed at least every four (4) years through a cooperative effort of MTPO, State and metropolitan public transit operators.

MTPO ORGANIZATION

The Kingsport MTPO is a multi-jurisdictional entity that is comprised of local governments within the area previously described and found in Figure 1, which is federally, mandated to carry out a "3C" (Continuing, Comprehensive, and Cooperative) transportation planning process. The MTPO is led by an Executive Board, which is the policy board of the MTPO, a technical committee that provides recommendations to the Executive Board, and a professional MTPO staff.

The MTPO is bound by its operating procedures, which are documented in the MTPO's Transportation Planning Prospectus. The Prospectus includes a brief history of the Kingsport MTPO, a listing of Executive Board and Technical Coordinating Committee (TCC) members, and

2017 - 2021

operating procedures, which includes a proactive public involvement program. Periodically the Prospectus is revised to ensure the region maintains a continuous and comprehensive transportation planning process. The Prospectus is available on the MTPO's web page at www.mpo.kingsporttn.gov.

Executive Board

The Executive Board is comprised of the highest elected official for each jurisdiction. The responsibility of this board is to consider the projects and vote on how the STBG funds area to be spent as well as how the Executive Staff operates with the Planning Funds. The current composition of the MTPO Executive Board consists of the following seven (7) elected and appointed officials from state and local governments:

Voting Members	Non-Voting Members
Governor of Tennessee	FHWA – Division Administrator,
	Tennessee
Governor of Virginia	FHWA – Division Administrator, Virginia
Sullivan County Mayor	FTA – Director, Region III
Kingsport Mayor	FTA – Director, Region IV
Hawkins County Mayor, Church Hill Mayor, Mount	
Carmel Mayor (Note: The three agencies mutually	
nominate one representative to a four year term to	
represent their jurisdictions)	
Executive Director - LENOWISCO (Virginia) Planning	
District Commission (Representing Gate City, Weber	
City, and Scott County, Virginia)	
Executive Director – First Tennessee Development	
District – At large	

Technical Coordinating Committee

The Technical Coordinating Committee (TCC), which is comprised of representatives from various jurisdictions within the Kingsport MTPO area, includes a diversity of technical background and transportation professionals. The TCC advises the Executive Board members on all aspects of the planning process. Member agencies and representatives of the TCC include;

Voting I	Members	Non-Voting Members
Kingsport City Manager	Sullivan County Highway	FHWA – Division Administrator,
	Commissioner	Tennessee
Kingsport Planning	Sullivan County Planning	FHWA – Division Administrator,
Director	Director	Virginia
Kingsport Public Works	Mt Carmel Public Safety	FTA – Director, Region III
Director	Director	
Church Hill Public Works	Kingsport Transportation	FTA – Director, Region IV
Director	Planning Manager	
Kingsport Traffic	Kingsport City Engineer	
Engineer		
KATS Director	LENOWISCO Planner	
Kingsport MTPO	TDOT District Office	
Coordinator		
VDOT Resident Office		

MTPO Executive Staff

The MTPO staff is physically housed at the City of Kingsport's Development Services Building at 201 West Market Street in downtown Kingsport and is responsible for all planning and administrative functions of the MTPO. The MTPO Staff can be contacted by phone at (423) 224-2670 or e-mail at MPO@KingsportTN.gov.

PLANNING AREA

The planning area of the Kingsport MTPO comprises 208 square miles incorporating the cities of Kingsport, Church Hill, and Mt. Carmel, and portions of Sullivan, Washington and Hawkins Counties in Tennessee as well as portions of the Gate City, Weber City, and Scott County, in Virginia (See Figure 1).

FIGURE 1: KINGSPORT MTPO PLANNING AREA




Figure 1 provides an illustration of the relation of the planning area and the urbanized areas included in this TIP.

How to Navigate a TIP Project Page



TIP# 1	TDOT PIN# / VA UPC# 2 Priority 3 Lead Agency 4
County 5	Length 6 LRTP# 7 Conformity Status 8
Route/Project Name	9 Total Project Cost 10
Termini or Intersection	11
Project Description	12

Fiscal Year	Phase	Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
						T
$\overline{}$						T
=		-				
					<u></u>	

Remarks	Amendment Number 20	0 Adjustment Number 21					
	22						

- 1. Project Number awssigned by the MTPO or the State Transportation Improvement Number.
- 2 Project Number assigned by TDOT or VDOT
- 3. MTPO project evaluation of the project based on the LRTP goals and objectives and project horizion year.
- 4. The agency responsible for development/adminstration of the project.
- 5 City and or county where project is located.
- 6 Miles or feet distance the project will cover.
- 7 Project or page number in the MTPO's LRTP.
- 8. If the project is subject to air quality standards or exempt.
- 9 Projet name or highway route number.
- 10. Total cost of the project for all phases
- 11. Beginning and end location of the project.
- Discription of the project.
- 13. Federal fiscal year the project phase is programed.
- 14. Phase of work programmed. (see acronyms for specific types of work)
- 15. Funding type being utilized.
- 16 Total amount of funds for the identified project phase.
- 17. The amount of federal funds programmed.
- 18. The amount of state funds programmed.
- 19. The amount of local funds programmed
- 20. Reference to any TIP amendments on the project.
- 21. Reference to any TIP adjustment on the project
- 22. Any additional information for clairification.

2017 - 2021

TIP PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT

The TIP is a programming document that details a 4-year budget of transportation projects. It is cooperatively developed every four years and is compatible with the State Transportation Improvement Plan (STIP). The TIP is adopted by the MTPO and approved by the Governor in response to the transportation needs within the MTPO area for all modes of transportation (roadways, bikeways, pedestrian facilities and transit) within the Kingsport MTPO area. All projects that are funded with federal funds, either under 23 U.S.C. and 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53, must be included in the TIP, as well as projects that do not use federal funds, but are considered regionally significant. "Regionally significant" projects are those that affect a significant surrounding population, i.e. a community, city or major portion of the UZA, and is utilized by a significant number of motorists - a high average daily traffic count (or pedestrian / bicycle count – if a non-motorized project.)

Projects that are added to the TIP for funding and implementation must be consistent with the region's long range transportation plan (LRTP). The Long-Range Plan provides the foundation for almost all regionally significant transportation projects within the MTPO area. Recommended projects within The LRTP are typically segmented into chronological phases, beginning with existing plus committed projects (E + C). Then prioritized projects are grouped into those "first choice" projects that can be developed within the next 5 to 10 years (or possibly sooner). The consistency between the LRP and the TIP occurs when LRTP projects that are recommended for immediate implementation (next 1 to 4 years) are drawn from these "first choice" projects that are found in the LRTP. In essence the LRTP's first priorities provide the recommendations for TIP projects.

The Kingsport MTPO area is currently classified as "attainment" and, therefore, air quality conformity requirements are not applicable. The LRTP details a list of all the projects proposed for completion in the MTPO region over the next 25 years. In order for a project to be included in the TIP, it must be in the LRTP.

Through a continuing and cooperative effort with the Tennessee Department of Transportation (TDOT), the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT), the Kingsport Area Transit Service (KATS), and local jurisdictions within the region, the FY 2017-2021 TIP has been developed. After receiving public input on the TIP it is then adopted by the Executive Board. Following this action it must be submitted to TDOT and VDOT for inclusion in the respective STIP (State TIP). The STIPS are then submitted to the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) for official approval.

While the MTPO is responsible for the programming of transportation improvements, the implementation of projects (e.g. construction or service operation) is carried out either by the cities, counties, or state departments of transportation within the region. Projects on the National Highway Performance Program are selected by the MTPO in consultation with the State and public transportation operator(s). There are certain projects which may not be listed in this TIP by "specific name" but are included within project groupings and are funded through the TIP in this manner. This allows the inclusion of minor projects that do not alter the

Transportation Improvement Plan

2017 - 2021

functional capacity of a facility and do not impact regional air quality emissions. Bridge projects using National Highway Performance Program funds that do not provide additional through lanes; and miscellaneous safety projects funded with STBG funds or Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) funds.

PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT: Performance based planning was initiated with the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21 Century Act (MAP-21) and continued under the Fixing Americas Surface Transportation Act (FAST Act). The U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT) is currently implementing the new requirements and establishing performance measures through a number of Federal rulemakings released in several phases. The rulemaking process provides public and private transportation stakeholders with the opportunities to review and comment on proposed performance measures. With the exception of the Final Rule for the HighwaySafety Performance Measures, the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking is on-going.

Once the USDOT performance measures are finalized, TDOT and VDOT will set state performance targets in reference to the national performance measures. Lastly, the MPO will establish performance targets after the state-wide performance targets have been defined. After performance targets are selected, MAP-21 and the FAST Act require the MPO to reflect these targets in metropolitan transportation plans and programs. The Transportation Improvement Program, to the extent possible, must provide a description of the anticipated effect of the TIP toward achieving the performance targets established in the metropolitan transportation plan. The intent of performance-based planning and programming is to link investment priorities to achieving performance targets.




Figure 2 Transportation Improvement Program Development Process

Figure 2 provides an illustration of the relation of the TIP to the overall planning process within the MTPO area.

2017 - 2021

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

Public input is a critical element in the development of plans and programs by the MTPO. The TIP is a significant document because it provides citizens, the business community, and agencies a comprehensive understanding of the types of transportation projects that will be funded and implemented over the next several years.

Prior to the MTPO adopting the TIP, a request for potential projects was made to the MTPO stakeholders including the citizens at large. Additional public and stakeholder input is made available at every MTPO meeting and the MTPO's website provided information on the development of the TIP including how to provide input. Before final adoption of the TIP, citizens and interested parties are provided notice of a seven (7) day public comment period to review the document and provide comments concerning the development of the TIP and the intent to fund specific projects. Advertisements are placed in the local newspaper - the Kingsport Times-News, which is distributed throughout the Kingsport MTPO area, notifying the public that the TIP is available for comment. In addition, following the guidelines set forth in the Public Participation Plan (PPP), the TIP is distributed among various state and local agencies responsible for natural resources, land use management, environmental protection, conservation, and historic preservation to solicit feedback and/or receive consultation. The purpose is to determine if any environmentally sensitive areas may be impacted by TIP projects.

Hardcopies of the draft and final TIP are available in the following locations to provide citizens' access to the TIP: Kingsport City Hall – 225 W. Center Street, Kingsport, Tn., Kingsport Public Library – Downtown Kingsport, and Kingsport MTPO Office – 201 West Market Street, Kingsport, TN. Additionally, the TIP is made available on-line at the Kingsport MTPO's website www.mpo.kingsporttn.gov. All public comments are considered / addressed prior to final adoption by the MTPO Executive Board. A final public hearing is held at the Executive Board meeting to conclude the public comment period. Public comments received on this TIP and the disposition of comments is provided by, and available through, the MTPO office located at 201 West Market Street, Kingsport, TN.

AMENDMENT AND ADJUSTMENT PROCEDURES

The TIP is subject to amendments and/or administrative adjustments throughout the fiscal year due to changes in project scope, added funding for project phases, shifts in funding from one phase to another, additions of entirely new projects, changes in funding source(s), changes in scheduling, and possibly other factors. Such changes reflect project changes which may affect the TIP's programming. The following describes each of these procedures:

STIP/TIP AMENDMENT:

An amendment is a revision to the TIP that involves major changes to a project or the overall program and must meet the requirements of 23 CFR 450.216 and 450.326 regarding public review and comment, re-demonstration of fiscal constraint, and transportation conformity. An amendment is required when changes to the STIP/TIP include:

2017 - 2021

NOTE: some projects may not be identified specifically by name and do not have a separate TIP project status (and numbering). Instead they may fall into a specific "category" or "grouping" of projects that may consist of minor 3-R activities (Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation), and/or minor projects status. Groupings of project may include (but not limited to) ITS (Intelligent Transportation Systems) projects, signalization, and resurfacing.

- A major change in the total project cost (excluding groupings) (see Project Cost Change Thresholds, page 10); or
- Adding a new project or deleting a project from the TIP; or
- A major change of project scope; examples include, but are not limited to, changing the number of through-lanes, adding/deleting non-motorized facilities, changing mode (e.g., rolling stock or facility type for transit), changing capital category (i.e., transit funding), or changing termini; or
- Any change requiring a new regional air quality conformity finding, where applicable (including a grouping);

Amendments to the TIP follow the same public involvement process as does the adoption of new TIP projects which is detailed in the MTPO's Public Involvement Plan. When new selection criteria for TIP projects are adopted by the TCC and Executive Board, amendments to the TIP will be subject to the same review. The public is given seven (7) days to review prior to adoption consideration by the Executive Board. After the Executive Board has considered and disposed of any public comments official adoption of the amendment can take place. After approval by the Executive Board, amendments are forwarded to TDOT, VDOT, FHWA and FTA for approval.

TIP ADMINISTRATIVE ADJUSTMENTS:

A TIP administrative adjustment is a minor change from the approved TIP. Administrative adjustments must be consistent with 23 CFR 450, but they do not require public review and comment, re-demonstration of fiscal constraint, or a conformity determination in nonattainment or maintenance areas. TIP administrative adjustments are defined as follows:

- A minor change in the total project cost (see Project Cost Change Thresholds, below)
- A minor change in project description that does not change the air quality conformity finding in maintenance and/or non-attainment areas; or
- A minor change in project description/termini that is for clarification and does not change the project scope; or
- Shifting funds between projects within a TIP (i.e., funding sources and projects already identified in the TIP) if the change does not result in a cost increase greater than the amendment threshold (see Project Cost Change Thresholds, below) for the total project cost of all phases shown within the approved TIP; or

- Adding an amount of funds already identified in the STIP/TIP for the current or previous year(s) if:
 - The funds are currently identified in the STIP/TIP either in an existing project or as available funds and
 - The change does not result in a cost increase greater than the amendment threshold (see Project Cost Change Thresholds, page) for the total project cost of all phases shown within the approved TIP; or
- Moving projects from year to year within an approved TIP, except those that cross air quality horizon years; or
- Adding a prior phase, such as environmental or location study, preliminary engineering
 or right-of-way, to a project in the TIP so long as such a change does not result in a cost
 increase greater than the amendment threshold (see Project Cost Change Thresholds,
 page) for the total project cost of all phases shown within the approved TIP; or
- Changes required to follow FHWA or FTA instructions as to the withdrawal of funds or reestablishment of funds withdrawn at the request of FHWA or FTA; or
- Moving funds between similarly labeled groupings, regardless of percent of change; or
- Adjustments in revenue to match actual revenue receipts.

Administrative Adjustments are typically requested by TDOT or VDOT, and processed by MTPO staff. Adjustments do not require public involvement or review and approval by the TCC or Executive Board. However, periodic status reports on the TIP illustrating such TIP adjustments shall be produced and disseminated to the TCC, Executive Board, and general public. Administrative Adjustments are submitted by the MTPO staff to TDOT/VDOT for approval.

TIP PROJECT COST THRESHOLDS

Total project cost of all phases shown within the approved TIP	Amendment	Administrative Adjustment
Up to \$2 million	≥75%	< 75%
\$2 million to \$15 million	≥50%	< 50%
\$15 million to \$75 million	≥40%	<40%
\$75 million and above	≥30%	<30%

PROJECT GROUPINGS:

The use of project groupings is permitted under 23 CFR 450.326 (h) for projects in an MTPO's TIP. Projects that are funded by such groupings are to be of a scale small enough not to warrant individual identification and may be grouped by function, work type, and/or geographic area using the applicable classifications under 23 CFR 771.117(c) and (d) and/or 40 CFR part 93. Project groupings may only include projects that meet the following conditions: non-regionally significant, environmentally neutral, and exempt from air quality conformity.

The Tennessee Department of Transportation has provided a description of "metropolitan groupings" that include a listing of criteria that are associated with (and qualify them as) grouped projects. The allowable work types are described for each grouping category, each of which is founded on type of federal funding, i.e. STBG, NHPP, and HSIP. Locally, the Kingsport MPO does not at this time have specific groups but, rather, is following those established by TDOT. For VDOT groupings focus primarily on maintenance and operational type projects.

PROJECT PRIORITIES AND CRITERIA

PRIORITIZATION

The development of the FY 2017-2021 TIP was shaped largely by the goals of the LRTP, FAST Act 10 planning factors, current and emerging trends within the region relative to population and employment growth, and the desires of local jurisdictions and citizens within the region.

As part of Kingsport's 2040 LRTP, four (4) goals were established to guide the development of future transportation solutions for the region over the next 25 years.

2040 Long Range Transportation Plan Goals

- Goal 1 Promote the safe and secure flow of traffic on the multimodal transportation network within the Kingsport MTPO area
- Goal 2 Reduce congestion
- Goal 3 Improve access within and to the Kingsport MTPO area
- Goal 4 Develop a transportation network that enhances economic growth

On December 4, 2015, the Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act (FAST Act) was signed by the President and became Federal law. The FAST Act superseded the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21), and represents the current Federal legislation funding surface transportation programs. The FAST Act builds on and refines many of the transportation programs and policies defined by MAP-21 and continues the transformation of the Federal-aid highway program by refocusing project decision making on performance-based planning and programming.

NATIONAL GOALS: It is in the interest of the United States to focus the Federal-aid highway program on the following national goals:

- 1. Safety To achieve a significant reduction in traffic fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads.
- 2. Infrastructure condition To maintain the highway infrastructure asset system in a state of good repair.
- 3. Congestion reduction To achieve a significant reduction in congestion on the National Highway System.
- 4. System reliability To improve the efficiency of the surface transportation system.
- 5. Freight movement and economic vitality To improve the national freight network, strengthen the ability of rural communities to access national and international trade markets, and support regional economic development.
- 6. Environmental sustainability To enhance the performance of the transportation system while protecting and enhancing the natural environment.
- 7. Reduce project delivery delays To reduce project costs, promote jobs and the economy, and expedite the movement of people and goods by accelerating project completion through eliminating delays in the project development and delivery process, including reducing regulatory burdens and improving agencies' work practices.

PLANNING FACTORS: The FAST Act identifies 10 planning strategies to be considered by the MPO in developing transportation plans and programs:

- 1. Increase the safety of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users.
- 2. Increase the security of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users.
- 3. Increase accessibility and mobility of people and freight.
- 4. Promote efficient system management and operation.
- 5. Emphasize the preservation of the existing transportation system.
- 6. Improve the resiliency of the transportation system and reduce or mitigate stormwater impacts of surface transportation.
- 7. Protect and enhance the environment, promote energy conservation, improve the quality of life, and promote consistency between transportation improvements and State and local planned growth and economic development patterns.
- 8. Enhance the integration and connectivity of the transportation system, across and between modes, for people and freight.
- 9. Enhance travel and tourism.
- 10. Support the economic vitality of the metropolitan area, especially by enabling global competitiveness, productivity, and efficiency.

PLANNING EMPHASIS AREAS: The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and Federal Transit Administration (FTA) jointly issue Planning Emphasis Areas (PEAs) on topical areas for MPOs and States to consider in their work programs and transportation planning activities. These include:

- 1) Models of Regional Planning Cooperation Where appropriate, promote coordination of transportation plans and programs across Transit Agency, MPO, and State boundaries to ensure a regional approach to transportation planning.
- 2) Access to Essential Services As part of the planning process, identifies transportation connectivity gaps in access to essential services. (Essential services include employment, health care, schools/education, and recreation.)
- 3) FAST Act Implementation Development and implementation of performance based planning and programming.

PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT

: Performance based planning was initiated with the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21) and continued under the Fixing Americas Surface Transportation Act (FAST Act) The U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT) is currently implementing the new requirements and establishing performance measures through a number of Federal rulemakings released in several phases. The rulemaking process provides public and private transportation stakeholders with the opportunities to review and comment on proposed performance measures.

Once the USDOT performance measures are finalized, TDOT and VDOT will set state performance targets in reference to the national performance measures. Lastly, the MPO will establish performance targets after the state-wide performance targets have been defined.

After performance targets are selected, MAP-21 and the FAST Act require the MPO to reflect these targets in metropolitan transportation plans and programs. The Transportation Improvement Program, to the extent possible, must provide a description of the anticipated effect of the TIP toward achieving the performance targets established in the metropolitan transportation plan. The intent of performance-based planning and programming is to link investment priorities to achieving performance targets.

Public Participation

The MTPO advertised a call for projects to its member jurisdictions, interested parties, and the public. An STBG project application (form) was developed which included the goals and objectives from the LRTP, as well as the FAST Act. The submitted projects were then separated into eligible and non-eligible categories. The eligible projects were then scored, prioritized, and then entered into the TIP based on the fiscal constraints of available funding.

For public transit projects funded through the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) the prioritization and selection process is different. This is primarily because there are no service providers that compete for funding and/or operate within the same territory. Consequently, scoring or other methodologies are not necessary because (again) projects nor jurisdictions are not competing with each other. KATS (Kingsport Area Transit Service) and NETRANS (Northeast Tennessee Rural Transit System) in Tennessee are the only providers of public transit service and KATS operates as an urban system and NETRANS in the surrounding counties as a rural provider. 2017 - 2021

Most importantly, they utilize different funding sources (Section 5307 versus Section 5311) in order to operate on a daily basis. Selection of projects (mostly capital purchases) is done through a needs analysis for new buses, vans, shelters, administrative equipment, technological-based route-match software etc. Replacement criteria, i.e. Five (5) years or \$150,000 is also used. Thus, local transit staff, using a needs-based evaluation as well as advice and affirmation from state transit officials, select these items on a periodic basis for funding and implementation.

PROJECT PRIORITIZATION CRITERIA AND SELECTION

Projects funded by sources other than Kingsport MTPO's STBG funds (i.e. state sponsored projects, grants, or local government funds) are not prioritized by the MTPO Technical Coordinating Committee. Those projects that utilize Kingsport MTPO STBG funds are prioritized by the MPO Technical Coordinating Committee through the use of the previously described project application score (see previous paragraph).

The Kingsport MTPO Area Transportation Improvement Program includes projects of various types that are funded by different sources i.e. Federal, State, Local, and are also identified by classification i.e. Highway, Public Transit, Enhancements, etc. All projects listed in the TIP are considered by their relationship to the overall transportation system in the Kingsport MTPO area. Projects within the 2017-2021 TIP are included per request by the Tennessee Department of Transportation, the Virginia Department of Transportation, and local jurisdictions. The general policy established for inclusion of projects into the TIP is that each be a project of regional significance. These are evaluated by MTPO Staff and state and federal transportation agencies to assure eligibility and are typically preceded by a planning study, grant application/award, or other justification procedures. In addition, projects in the approved TIP that utilize 23 U.S.C. funds or Title 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53 funds are (and will be) implemented after using a selection process that involves cooperation between state and/or public transportation operators and the Kingsport MTPO. Selected projects in the TIP are fiscally constrained and are programmed contingent on future funding sources. If significant shifting of projects occurs, project funding sources are less than anticipated, or programmed funding types are rescinded then it may be necessary to coordinate and develop a revised agreed to list of projects.

<u>Virginia House Bill 2</u> (HB2) was adopted in 2014 and requires the development of a prioritization and scoring process for project funding. The prioritization process evaluates projects as they relate to congestion, mitigation, economic development, accessibility, safety, environmental quality and land use coordination. Although HB2 provides a quantifiable process for making project funding decisions, projects still require inclusion in the MPO planning process and long-range transportation for HB2 eligibility and subsequently inclusion in the Transportation Improvement Program for implementation.

INCLUSION OF 3-R AND SAFETY PROJECTS

By agreement between TDOT and the MTPO, this TIP includes any 3-R (replacement, rehabilitation, or repair) and Safety projects to be funded on the National Highway Performance Program (NHPP), funds, or Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) funds. Bridge projects without additional through laneage are funded with NHPP funds or STBG funds and Safety and Enhancement Projects are funded with STBG funds. These projects are not specifically listed in the tables nor are they typically included in the prioritization process. They are minor projects that do not alter this functional capacity or a facility and do not impact Regional Air Quality Emissions.

ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION

Advance Construction (AC) is a technique which allows a State to initiate a project using non-federal funds while preserving eligibility for future Federal-Aid funds. Eligibility means that FHWA has determined that the project technically qualifies for Federal-Aid; however, no present or future Federal funds are committed to the project. After an AC project is authorized, the state may convert the project to regular Federal-Aid funding provided Federal funds are made available for the project.

An AC project must meet the same requirements and be processed in the same manner as a regular Federal-Aid project. All phases of a project must meet federal requirements for the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act (Uniform Act), etc., when any phase is implemented with Federal-Aid funds.

COORDINATION WITH STRATEGIC HIGHWAY SAFETY PLAN

TIP projects considered regionally significant are prioritized through an established process. The Kingsport MTPO and member agencies are in agreement that Safety oriented projects usually rank above most all others. Safety projects are established within the TIP through various forms of planning initiatives (studies, warrants, etc.) which are linked to a statistical approach that typically determines both programming and funding. For Tennessee while these are MPO projects within the local MPO area, most all of these types of projects will be linked and coordinated with TDOT's Strategic Highway Safety Plan. Local TIP projects can be a means of which the safety plan can be implemented. The TDOT plan has various elements, including infrastructure improvements such as traffic control devices, enforcement, and other safety features that can be advanced through MPO plans and TIP project development. VDOT also has a strategic highway safety plan and projects found in the Virginia portion of the TIP, where applicable, are linked and coordinated with this plan.

OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE OF EXISTING SYSTEM

The Kingsport Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization is committed to working closely with the Tennessee Department of Transportation as well as the Virginia Department of Transportation to maintain the existing infrastructure throughout the MTPO jurisdiction. Additionally, member jurisdictions work closely with the MTPO on all road projects within their respective jurisdictions and annually budget money towards the operations and maintenance of their street network. Maintenance of the transportation infrastructure is as critical to a safe and efficient transportation system as building the system. Maintenance activities are those that occur primarily in reaction to situations that have an immediate or imminent adverse impact on the safety or availability of transportation facilities, such as;

- roadway / right-of-way maintenance
- > sign repair and replacement
- traffic signal maintenance and repair
- traffic signal operational controls
- guardrail repair / replacement
- > street light repair / replacement
- > sidewalk repair
- pavement resurfacing and markings
- bridge repair

These locally funded activities are not funded through or scheduled in the TIP, but are included here for informational purposes.

Below are the average annual maintenance and operational expenditures that are <u>budgeted</u> for the Tennessee jurisdictions found within the MTPO. In Virginia since most all of the local roads are "state routes", in Scott County very little funding is included within local government budgets in Scott County (including Weber City and Gate City) for local roadway maintenance. In addition, since VDOT maintenance and operational funds are allocated on a district-wide basis, it is difficult to break out specific amounts for each of Gate City, Weber City, and Scott County. Note, for Tennessee jurisdictions most receive a small amount of funds each year in "State Aid" dollars to maintain state routes within city or county limits (this is not broken out in the table). Other local funds come from a mixture of sources including, property taxes, sales tax, and bonds. It is estimated that approximately 1% increase in these budgets should be added each year to account for inflation. The Kingsport MTPO works closely with KATS in forecasting transit revenue to include in the TIP, taking into consideration historic funding from the Governor's allocation of Section 5307 funds and discretionary grant funding in other programs, such as Section 5339.

O & M funds are used for various maintenance and operations activities within each region on state highways, such as pavement markings, signage, resurfacing, guardrails, and other minor maintenance and repair. The individual jurisdictions collect various fees and taxes for property,

2017 - 2021

local sales and state shared revenue sales taxes that are reallocated by the legislative bodies for the operations and maintenance of the road system within the MPO area. The municipalities include multiple divisions of the public works department to complete the service to the transportation system. For example, the Kingsport budget was comprised of the Streets Maintenance (including sweeping), Transportation and repaving funds which are three individual budgets within the Public Works Department. These numbers are based on uncertain economic growth. Actual numbers may change. For future years, a conservative estimate of a one (1) percent increase in the budget was used. In the event Federal transportation funds were made available for maintenance and operations projects, it would be identified in the TIP. The following table illustrates the estimated annual revenue and cost comparison by jurisdiction that falls within the MTPO boundary.

Jurisdiction	Estimated Annual Revenues	Estimated Annual Costs
City of Kingsport	\$ 8,190,000	\$ 8,190,000
Sullivan County (MTPO Area)	\$ 3,300,000	\$ 3,800,000
Hawkins County (MTPO Area)	\$ 845,000	\$ 845,000
Washington County (MTPO)	\$ 465,000	\$ 465,000
Church Hill	\$ 450,000	\$ 450,000
Mount Carmel	\$ 350,000	\$ 350,000
Virginia District (MTPO Area)	\$ 600,000	\$ 600,000

The Kingsport Area Transit Service (KATS) also allocates funds annually for fleet maintenance. Over the last three years, KATS, through the use of State and Federal funds, has been able to replace its aging fleet which has decreased the annual maintenance costs. KATS staff continues to make it a number one priority to assure the citizens of Kingsport that the KATS fleet is safe, reliable, and dependable

Operations and Maintenance Budgets – Public Transportation

Jurisdiction	Estimated Annual Revenues	Estimated Annual Costs
Kingsport Area Transit System	\$2,315,950	\$2,315,950

FUNDING & FINANCIAL PLAN

FUNDING

FAST Act legislation identifies a number of different funding programs which can be used for various modes, such as highway, transit, pedestrian and bicycle facilities. These funding programs are described below. Previous funding sources are also listed.

Federal Highway Administration Funds are allocated to the state in numerous categories. The major funding categories are described below:

Appalachian Development Highway System (APD) This program provides funding for routes with remaining work deemed eligible as approved by the Appalachian Regional Commission in the most recent APD Cost Estimate. This funding program was eliminated under MAP-21. The FAST Act continues that precedent with the elimination of a standalone funding category for APD. APD projects may be eligible for FAST Act STBG funds. Unexpended APD funds remain in place under pre-FAST Act rules and regulations. States can apply for 100% Federal share using APD or other apportioned funds for highways and access roads on the Appalachia Development Highway System.

Bridge Replacement and Rehabilitation (BRR) This program provides funding for bridge replacement, or to rehabilitate aging or substandard bridges based on bridge sufficiency ratings. This funding program was eliminated under MAP-21. The FAST Act continues that precedent with the elimination of a standalone funding category for BRR. All projects previously authorized under the BRR Program and carry-over funds will still be administered under previous rules and regulations of the BRR Program.

Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) The CMAQ program provides a flexible funding source to State and local governments for transportation projects and programs to help meet the requirements of the Clean Air Act. Funding is available to reduce congestion and improve air quality for areas that do not meet the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for ozone, carbon monoxide, or particulate matter (non-attainment areas) and for former non-attainment areas that are now in compliance (maintenance areas).

Funds may be used for transportation projects likely to contribute to the attainment or maintenance of a national ambient air quality standard, have a high level of effectiveness in reducing air pollution, and be included in the MPO's current transportation plan and TIP or the current STIP in areas without an MPO. Currently, all non-attainment areas in the state are located within an MPO area, meaning that air quality conformity must be demonstrated in the MPO long-range transportation plans.

Some specific eligible activities are described below:

- Establishment or operation of a traffic monitoring, management, and control facility, including advanced truck stop electrification systems, if it contributes to attainment of an air quality standard.
- Projects that improve traffic flow, including projects to improve signalization, construct HOV lanes, improve intersections, add turning lanes, improve transportation systems management and operations that mitigate congestion and improve air quality, and implement Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) solutions and other CMAQ-eligible projects, including projects to improve incident and emergency response or improve mobility, such as real-time traffic, transit, and multimodal traveler information.
- Purchase of integrated, interoperable emergency communications equipment.
- Projects that shift traffic demand to non-peak hours or other transportation modes, increase vehicle occupancy rates, or otherwise reduce demand.
- Purchase of diesel retrofits or conducts of related outreach activities.
- Facilities serving electric or natural gas-fueled vehicles (except where this conflicts with prohibition on rest area commercialization) are explicitly eligible.
- Some expanded authority to use funds for transit operations.
- Workforce development, training, and education activities are also an eligible use of CMAQ funds.

Demonstration Set Aside of the STP (DEMO) This program provides special funding for certain projects. For example, if a project is a new concept, nontraditional and relatively small, it may be eligible.

Enhancement Activity Set Aside of the STP (ENH) This program provides funding for pedestrian and bicycle facilities; pedestrian and bicycle safety and educational activities; acquisition of scenic easements and scenic or historic sites; scenic or historic highway programs; landscaping and other scenic beautification activities; historic preservation; rehabilitation of historic transportation buildings, structures, or facilities; preservation of abandoned railway corridors; control and removal of outdoor advertising; archaeological planning and research; environmental mitigation to address water pollution due to highway runoff or reduce vehicle-caused wildlife mortality while maintaining habitat connectivity; establishment of transportation museums. Under the Tennessee Roadscapes grant program, types of work will include landscaping, irrigation, benches, trash cans, paths, and signage. This funding program was eliminated under MAP-21. All projects previously authorized under the ENH Program and carry-over funds will still be administered under previous rules and regulations of the ENH Program. Most of the ENH project eligibilities are carried forward in the STBG program under the FAST Act.

Forest Highway/Public Lands (FH/PL) This funding program was eliminated under MAP-21. All projects previously authorized under the Forest Highway Program and carry- over funds from SAFETEA-LU will still be administered under previous rules and regulations of the Forest Highway Program.

Federal Lands Access Program (FLAP) This is a new program created under MAP-21 and continued under the FAST Act. It provides funds for projects on Federal Lands Access Transportation Facilities that are located on, adjacent to, or that provide access to Federal

2017 - 2021

lands. A Federal Lands access transportation facility is defined as a public highway, road, bridge, trail, or transit system that is located on, adjacent to, or provides access to Federal lands for which title or maintenance responsibility is vested in a State, county, town, township, tribal, municipal, or local government. Funds are distributed by formula among States that have Federal Lands managed by the National Park Service, the U.S. Forest Service, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, the Bureau of Land Management, and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. The Eastern Federal Lands Highway Division is responsible for administering the FLAP and serving the transportation engineering needs of these Federal Land Management agencies in Tennessee. Eligible Activities include but are not limited to: Transportation planning, research, preventive maintenance, rehabilitation, restoration, construction, and reconstruction of Federal Lands located on or adjacent to, or that: provide access to a Federal land (adjacent vehicular parking areas; acquisition of necessary scenic easements and scenic or historic sites; provisions for pedestrians and bicycles; environmental mitigation in or adjacent to Federal land to improve public safety and reduce vehicle-caused wildlife mortality while maintaining habitat connectivity; construction and reconstruction of roadside rest areas, including sanitary and water facilities); Operation and maintenance of transit facilities.

High Priority Projects (HPP and HPP-L) This program provides designated funding to the state (HPP) and Local Agencies (HPP-L) for specific projects identified by Congress.

Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) The FAST Act continues the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) to achieve a significant reduction in traffic fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads, including non-State-owned public roads and roads on tribal lands. The HSIP requires a data-driven, strategic approach to improving highway safety on all public roads that focuses on performance. The FAST Act continues the overarching requirement that HSIP funds be used for safety projects that are consistent with the State's Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) and that correct or improve a hazardous road location or feature or address a highway safety problem. Under MAP-21, the HSIP statute listed a range of eligible HSIP projects. However, the list was non-exhaustive, and a State could use HSIP funds on any safety project (infrastructure-related or non-infrastructure) that met the overarching requirement. In contrast, the FAST Act limits HSIP eligibility to only those listed in statute—most of which are infrastructure-safety related.

High Risk Rural Road (HRRR) A HRRR is any rural major or minor collector or a rural local road with significant safety risks, as defined by a State in accordance with an updated SHSP. This funding program was eliminated as a separate program under MAP-21. All projects previously authorized under the HRRR Program and carry-over funds will still be administered under previous rules and regulations of the HRRR Program.

Interstate Maintenance (IM) This program provides funding to rehabilitate, restore, and resurface the Interstate System. Reconstruction is also eligible if it does not add capacity, and High-Occupancy-Vehicle (HOV) lanes can be added. This funding program was eliminated under MAP-21. All projects previously authorized under the IM Program and carry-over funds will still be administered under previous rules and regulations of the IM Program.

National Highway Freight Program (NHFP) The FAST Act established a new National Highway Freight Program to improve the efficient movement of freight on the National Highway Freight

Network (NHFN) and support several goals. Generally, NHFP funds must contribute to the efficient movement of freight on the NHFN and be identified in a freight investment plan included in the State's freight plan (required in FY 2018 and beyond). In addition, a State may use not more than 10% of its total NHFP apportionment each year for freight intermodal or freight rail projects. Eligible uses of program funds are as follows:

- Development phase activities, including planning, feasibility analysis, revenue forecasting, environmental review, preliminary engineering and design work, and other preconstruction activities.
- Construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, acquisition of real property (including land relating to the project and improvements to land), construction contingencies, acquisition of equipment, and operational improvements directly relating to improving system performance.
- Intelligent transportation systems and other technology to improve the flow of freight, including intelligent freight transportation systems.
- Efforts to reduce the environmental impacts of freight movement.
- Environmental and community mitigation for freight movement.
- Railway-highway grade separation.
- Geometric improvements to interchanges and ramps.
- Truck-only lanes.
- Climbing and runaway truck lanes.
- Adding or widening of shoulders.
- Truck parking facilities eligible for funding under section 1401 (Jason's Law) of MAP-21.
- Real-time traffic, truck parking, roadway condition, and multimodal transportation information systems.
- Electronic screening and credentialing systems for vehicles, including weigh-in-motion truck inspection technologies.
- Traffic signal optimization, including synchronized and adaptive signals.
- Work zone management and information systems.
- Highway ramp metering.
- Electronic cargo and border security technologies that improve truck freight movement.
- Intelligent transportation systems that would increase truck freight efficiencies inside the boundaries of intermodal facilities.
- Additional road capacity to address highway freight bottlenecks.
- Physical separation of passenger vehicles from commercial motor freight.
- Enhancement of the resiliency of critical highway infrastructure, including highway infrastructure that supports national energy security, to improve the flow of freight.
- A highway or bridge project, other than a project described above, to improve the flow of freight on the NHFN.
- Any other surface transportation project to improve the flow of freight into and out of an eligible intermodal freight facility.
- Diesel retrofit or alternative fuel projects under the Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement program (CMAQ) for class 8 vehicles.
- Conducting analyses and data collection related to the NHFP, developing and updating
 freight performance targets to carry out section 167 of title 23, and reporting to the
 Administrator to comply with the freight performance target under section 150 of title
 23.

National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) The FAST Act continues the NHPP, which provides support for the condition and performance of the National Highway System (NHS), for the construction of new facilities on the NHS, and to ensure that investments of Federal-aid funds in highway construction are directed to support progress toward the achievement of performance targets established in a State's asset management plan for the NHS.

NHPP projects must be on an eligible facility and support progress toward achievement of national performance goals for improving infrastructure condition, safety, mobility, or freight movement on the NHS, and be consistent with Metropolitan and Statewide planning requirements. Eligible activities include:

- Construction, reconstruction, resurfacing, restoration, rehabilitation, preservation, or operational improvements of NHS segments.
- Construction, replacement (including replacement with fill material), rehabilitation, preservation, and protection (including scour countermeasures, seismic retrofits, impact protection measures, security countermeasures, and protection against extreme events) of NHS bridges and tunnels.
- Bridge and tunnel inspection and evaluation on the NHS and inspection and evaluation of other NHS highway infrastructure assets.
- Training of bridge and tunnel inspectors.
- Construction, rehabilitation, or replacement of existing ferry boats and facilities, including approaches that connect road segments of the NHS.
- Construction, reconstruction, resurfacing, restoration, rehabilitation, and preservation
 of, and operational improvements for, a Federal-aid highway not on the NHS, and
 construction of a transit project eligible for assistance under chapter 53 of title 49, if the
 project is in the same corridor and in proximity to a fully access-controlled NHS route, if
 the improvement is more cost-effective (as determined by a benefit-cost analysis) than
 an NHS improvement, and will reduce delays or produce travel time savings on the NHS
 route and improve regional traffic flow.
- Bicycle transportation and pedestrian walkways.
- Highway safety improvements on the NHS.
- Capital and operating costs for traffic and traveler information, monitoring, management, and control facilities and programs.
- Development and implementation of a State Asset Management Plan for the NHS including data collection, maintenance and integration, software costs, and equipment costs
- Infrastructure-based ITS capital improvements.
- Environmental restoration and pollution abatement.
- Control of noxious weeds and establishment of native species.
- Environmental mitigation related to NHPP projects.
- Construction of publicly owned intracity or intercity bus terminals servicing the NHS.
- Workforce development, training, and education activities are also an eligible use of NHPP funds.
- Installation of vehicle-to-infrastructure communication equipment.
- Reconstruction, resurfacing, restoration, rehabilitation, or preservation of abridge on a non-NHS Federal-aid highway (if Interstate System and NHS Bridge Condition provision requirements are satisfied).

- A project to reduce the risk of failure of critical NHS infrastructure (defined to mean a
 facility, the incapacity or failure of which would have a debilitating impact in certain
 specified areas).
- At a State's request, the U.S. DOT may use the State's STBG funding to pay the subsidy and administrative costs for TIFIA credit assistance for an eligible NHPP project or group of projects.

National Highway System (NHS) This Program provides funding for major roads including the Interstate System, a large percentage of urban and rural principal arterials, the Strategic Defense Highway Network (STRAHNET), and strategic highway connectors. This funding program was eliminated under MAP-21. All projects previously authorized under the NHS Program and carry-over funds will still be administered under previous rules and regulations of the NHS Program. Most of the NHS project eligibilities are carried forward in the NHPP program under the FAST Act.

National Scenic Byways Program (NSBP) This Program provides funding for roads having outstanding scenic, historic, cultural, natural, recreational, and archaeological qualities and provides for designation of these roads as National Scenic Byways, All- American Roads or America's Byways. This funding program was eliminated under MAP-21. All projects previously authorized under the NSBP Program and carry-over funds will still be administered under previous rules and regulations of the NSBP Program.

Recreational Trails (RTP) This program provides funding for the creation, rehabilitation and maintenance of multi-use recreational trails. The FAST Act eliminated the RTP in lieu of replacing it and other programs with a set-aside of STBG funding for transportation alternatives. All projects previously authorized under the RTP and carry-over funds will still be administered under previous rules and regulations of the RTP.

Repurposed Funds (R-) This acronym does not represent a specific funding program, but rather the re-designation of funds. The Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2016 allows States to repurpose certain funds originally earmarked for specific projects more than 10 years ago. The limitations in the provision are to ensure the projects are obligated promptly and used in the same geographic area as the original earmark to provide funding for other needed projects eligible under the Surface Transportation Block Grant Program. In this STIP, repurposed earmark funds are designated with 'R-'preceding the funding code.

Safe Routes to School (SRTS) This program provides funding for empowering communities to make walking and bicycling to school a safe and routine activity. This funding program was eliminated under MAP-21. All projects previously authorized under the SRTS Program and carry-over funds will still be administered under previous rules and regulations of the SRTS Program. SRTS project eligibilities are carried forward in the STBG program under the FAST Act.

Section 154 This Program provides funding to help save lives, prevent injuries, and reduce economic costs due to road traffic crashes, through education, research, safety standards, and enforcement activity.

State (STA) This program provides 100% state funding by the state for various projects on the State Highway System.

Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG) The FAST Act converted the long-standing Surface Transportation Program into the Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG). The new STBG Program provides flexible funding that may be used by States and localities for projects to preserve and improve the conditions and performance on any Federal-aid highway, bridge and tunnel projects on any public road, pedestrian and bicycle infrastructure, and transit capital projects, including intercity bus terminals. Eligible activities include:

- Construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, resurfacing, restoration, preservation, or operational improvements for highways, including designated routes of the Appalachian Development Highway System (ADHS) and local access roads under 40 USC 14501.
- Replacement, rehabilitation, preservation, protection, and anti-icing/deicing for bridges
 and tunnels on any public road, including construction or reconstruction necessary to
 accommodate other modes.
- Construction of new bridges and tunnels on a Federal-aid highway.
- Inspection and evaluation of bridges, tunnels and other highway assets as well as training for bridge and tunnel inspectors.
- Capital costs for transit projects eligible for assistance under chapter 53 of title 49, including vehicles and facilities used to provide intercity passenger bus service.
- Carpool projects, fringe and corridor parking facilities and programs, including electric and natural gas vehicle charging infrastructure, bicycle transportation and pedestrian walkways, and ADA sidewalk modification.
- Highway and transit safety infrastructure improvements and programs, installation of safety barriers and nets on bridges, hazard eliminations, mitigation of hazards caused by wildlife, railway-highway grade crossings.
- Highway and transit research, development, technology transfer.
- Capital and operating costs for traffic monitoring, management and control facilities and programs, including advanced truck stop electrification.
- Surface transportation planning.
- Transportation alternatives newly defined with set-aside, includes most transportation enhancement eligibilities.
- Transportation control measures.
- Development and establishment of management systems.
- Environmental mitigation efforts (as under National Highway Performance Program).
- Intersections with high accident rates or levels of congestion.
- Infrastructure-based ITS capital improvements.
- Environmental restoration and pollution abatement.
- Control of noxious weeds and establishment of native species.
- Congestion pricing projects and strategies, including electric toll collection and travel demand management strategies and programs.
- Recreational trails projects.
- Construction of ferry boats and terminals.
- Border infrastructure projects.

2017 - 2021

- Truck parking facilities.
- Development and implementation of State asset management plan for the NHS, and similar activities related to the development and implementation of a performance based management program for other public roads.
- Surface transportation infrastructure modifications within port terminal boundaries, only if necessary to facilitate direct intermodal interchange, transfer, and access into and out of the port.
- Construction and operational improvements for a minor collector in the same corridor and in proximity to an NHS route if the improvement is more cost- effective (as determined by a benefit-cost analysis) than an NHS improvement and will enhance NHS level of service and regional traffic flow.
- Workforce development, training, and education activities are also an eligible use of STBG funds.
- Create and operate a State office to help design, implement, and oversee public- private partnerships (P3) eligible to receive Federal highway or transit funding, and to pay a stipend to unsuccessful P3 bidders in certain circumstances.
- At a State's request, the U.S. DOT may use the State's STBG funding to pay the subsidy
 and administrative costs for TIFIA credit assistance for an eligible STBG project or group
 of projects.

Similar to the past STP funding, STBG projects may not be on local or rural minor collectors. However, there are a number of exceptions to this requirement. A State may use up to 15% of its rural sub allocation on minor collectors. Other exceptions include: bridge and tunnel projects; safety projects; fringe and corridor parking facilities/programs; recreational trails, pedestrian and bicycle projects, and safe routes to school projects; boulevard/roadway projects largely in the right-of-way of divided highways; inspection/evaluation of bridges, tunnels, and other highway assets; port terminal modifications; and projects within the pre-FAST Act title 23 definition of "transportation alternatives". The FAST Act continues the MAP-21 set-aside of a share of each State's STBG apportionment for use on bridges not on Federal-aid highways ("off-system bridges"). The amount is to be not less than 15% of the State's FY 2009 Highway Bridge Program apportionment. The Secretary, after consultation with State and local officials, may reduce a State's set-aside requirement if the State has insufficient off-system bridge needs. For wholly State/locally funded projects to replace or rehabilitate deficient off-system bridges, any amounts spent that are in excess of 20% of project costs may be credited to the non-Federal share of eligible bridge projects in the State.

Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) The FAST Act eliminated the MAP-21 Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) and replaced it with a set-aside of Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) program funding for transportation alternatives. These set-aside funds include all projects and activities that were previously eligible under TAP, encompassing a variety of smaller-scale transportation projects such as pedestrian and bicycle facilities, recreational trails, safe routes to school projects, community improvements such as historic preservation and vegetation management, and environmental mitigation related to stormwater and habitat connectivity.

Generally, transportation alternatives eligibilities are the same as those under the prior TAP. Transportation Alternatives as defined in 23 U.S.C. 101(a) (29) (MAP-21 1103) consist of:

- Construction, planning, and design of on-road and off-road trail facilities for pedestrians, bicyclists, and other non-motorized forms of transportation, including sidewalks, bicycle infrastructure, pedestrian and bicycle signals, traffic calming techniques, lighting and other safety- related infrastructure, and transportation projects to achieve compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990.
- Construction, planning, and design of infrastructure-related projects and systems that
 will provide safe routes for non-drivers, including children, older adults, and individuals
 with disabilities to access daily needs.
- Conversion and use of abandoned railroad corridors for trails for pedestrians, bicyclists, or other non-motorized transportation users.
- Construction of turnouts, overlooks, and viewing areas.
- Community improvement activities, including;
 - o Inventory, control, or removal of outdoor advertising;
 - o Historic preservation and rehabilitation of historic transportation facilities;
 - Vegetation management practices in transportation rights-of-way to improve roadway safety, prevent against invasive species, and provide erosion control; and
 - o Archaeological activities relating to impacts from implementation of transportation project eligible under title 23.
- Any environmental mitigation activity, including pollution prevention and pollution abatement activities and mitigation to;
 - o Address stormwater management, control, and water pollution prevention or abatement related to highway construction or due to highway runoff, including activities described in sections 133(b)(11), 328(a), and 329 of title 23; or
 - o Reduce vehicle caused wildlife mortality or to restore and maintain connectivity among terrestrial or aquatic habitats.
- The recreational trails program under section 206 of title 23.
- The safe routes to school program under section 1404 of the SAFETEA-LU.
 - o Infrastructure-related projects.-planning, design, and construction of infrastructure-related projects on any public road or any bicycle or pedestrian pathway or trail in the vicinity of schools that will substantially improve the ability of students to walk and bicycle to school, including sidewalk improvements, traffic calming and speed reduction improvements, pedestrian and bicycle crossing improvements, on-street bicycle facilities, off- street bicycle and pedestrian facilities, secure bicycle parking facilities, and traffic diversion improvements in the vicinity of schools.
 - o Non-infrastructure-related activities to encourage walking and bicycling to school, including public awareness campaigns and outreach to press and community leaders, traffic education and enforcement in the vicinity of schools, student sessions on bicycle and pedestrian safety, health, and environment, and funding for training, volunteers, and managers of safe routes to school programs.

- Safe Routes to School coordinator.
- Planning, designing, or constructing boulevards and other roadways largely in the rightof-way of former Interstate System routes or other divided highways.
- Ineligible Activities: Section 1103 of MAP-21 eliminated the definition of transportation enhancement activities in section 104 of title 23 and inserted in its place a definition of transportation alternatives, which does not include eligibility for certain activities that were previously eligible as transportation enhancements:
 - o Safety and educational activities for pedestrians and bicycles. Exception: Activities targeting children in Kindergarten through 8th grade are eligible under SRTS (an eligible activity under the TAP funding). Note: Some of these activities may be eligible under HSIP. Non- construction projects for bicycle safety remain broadly eligible for STP funds.
 - o Acquisition of scenic easements and scenic or historic sites.
 - Scenic or historic highway programs (including visitor and welcome centers).
 Note: A few specific activities under this category (construction of turnouts, overlooks, and viewing areas) remain eligible under section 101(a) (29) (D) of title 23.
 - o Historic preservation as an independent activity unrelated to historic transportation facilities. Note: Historic preservation and rehabilitation of historic transportation facilities are permitted as one type of community improvement activity; see section 101(a) (29) (E).
 - o Operation of historic transportation facilities.
 - o Archaeological planning and research undertaken for proactive planning. This category now must be used only as mitigation for highway projects.
 - o Transportation museums.

Grant Anticipation Revenue Vehicles (GARVEES)_is a type of debt instrument, typically a bond, which has a pledge of future Title 23 Federal-aid funding. In its broadest sense, a GARVEE bond is backed by future Federal-aid highway funding to advance the upfront funding of a particular project. GARVEEs enable a state to accelerate construction timelines and spread the cost of a transportation facility over its useful life rather than just the construction period.

FTA Section 5307_Formula Grants_provide funding to urbanized areas for public transportation capital, planning, job access and reverse commute projects, as well as transit operating assistance. For urbanized areas (greater than 50,000 in population) the funding formula is based on population and population density, and the number of low-income individuals.

FTA Section 5339_Bus and Bus Facilities_allocates funding to states and subrecipients for capital funding to replace, rehabilitate and purchase buses and related equipment and to construct bus-related facilities.

FTA Section 5310 Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities_provides funding for programs to service the special needs of transit-dependent populations beyond the traditional public transportation services or the complementary paratransit services of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). Eligible activities include capital and operating projects

2017 - 2021

that assist seniors and individuals with disabilities. Funds are apportioned for urbanized and rural areas based on the number of seniors and individuals with disabilities.

FTA Section 5311 Formula Grants are available rural areas (less than 50,000 in population) for public transportation capital, planning, and operating assistance. A major of the funding formula is based on land area and population in rural areas with a small percentage apportioned based on revenue vehicle miles and number of low-income individuals.

The FAST Act newly allows an urbanized area with a population of more than 200,000 to use up to 50% of its sub-allocated TA funds for any STBG-eligible purpose (but still subject to the TA-wide requirement for competitive selection of projects). Additionally, it eliminated TAP's "Flexibility of Excess Reserved Funding" provision, which allowed the use of excess TAP funds for any TAP-eligible activity or for projects eligible under the CMAQ Program. The previsoly outlined funding types allow State DOTs, MPO, and Transit operatators the ability to make a cooperative effort to come up with revenue estimates and establish priorities.

Federal, State, and Local Match Requirements by Funding Program

Program Funding

Minimum Match Requirements 1

APD	Appalachia Development Highway System Projects	100% Federal
BRR	Bridge Replacement and Rehabilitation	80% Federal, 20% State
CMAQ DEMO ENH FH/PL FLAP HPP	Congestion Mitigation Air Quality Demonstration project, special set aside Enhancement Activity set aside of the STBG Forest Highway/Public Lands Projects Federal Lands Access Program High Priority Projects	80% Federal, 20% State/Local 100% or 80% Federal, 20% State/Local 80% Federal, 20% Local/State 100% or 80% Federal, 20% State 100% or 80% Federal, 20% State 80% Federal, 20% State
HPP-L	High Priority Projects (Local)	80% Federal, 20% Local
HRRR	High Risk Rural Roads	90% Federal, 10% State/Local
HSIP	Highway Safety Improvement Program	90% Federal, 10% State
IM	Interstate Maintenance Projects	90% Federal, 10% State
IMD	Interstate Maintenance Discretionary Funds	90% Federal, 10% State/Local
NHFP	National Highway Freight Program	80% Federal, 20% State
NHPP	National Highway Performance Program	80% Federal, 20% State
NHS	National Highway System Program	80% Federal, 20% State
NSBP	National Scenic Byways Program	100% or 80% Federal, 20% State/Local
PLHD	Public Lands Highways Discretionary Funds	100% Federal
RTP	Recreational Trails Projects	80% Federal, 20% State
Sect 154	Section 154	100% Federal
SRTS	Safe Routes to School	100% Federal
STA	State Funds	100% State
STBG	Surface Transportation Block Grant Program	80% Federal, 20% State/Local
TAP	Transportation Alternatives Program	80% Federal, 20% State/Local

<u>National Highway Performance Program (previous Interstate Maintenance, National Highway System, Bridge Replacement/Repair Programs)</u>

¹ 23 USC 120 (c) INCREASED FEDERAL SHARE.— (1) CERTAIN SAFETY PROJECTS.—The Federal share payable on account of any project for traffic control signalization, traffic circles (also known as ''roundabouts''), safety rest areas, pavement marking, commuter carpooling and vanpooling, rail-highway crossing closure, or installation of traffic signs, traffic lights, guardrails, impact attenuators, concrete barrier end treatments, breakaway utility poles, or priority control systems for emergency vehicles or transit vehicles at signalized intersections may amount to 100 percent of the cost of construction of such projects; except that not more than 10 percent of all sums apportioned for all the Federal-aid systems for any fiscal year in accordance with section 104 of this title shall be used under this subsection. In this subsection, the term ''safety rest area'' means an area where motor vehicle operators can park their vehicles and rest, where food, fuel, and lodging services are not available, and that is located on a segment of highway with respect to which the Secretary determines there is a shortage of public and private areas at which motor vehicle operators can park their vehicles and rest. (2) CMAQ PROJECTS.—The Federal share payable on account of a project or program carried out under section 149 with funds obligated in fiscal year 2008 or 2009, or both, shall be not less than 80 percent and, at the discretion of the State, may be up to 100 percent of the cost thereof.

2017 – 2021 Transportation Improvement Plan

- Funds from this program can be used for the restoration, resurfacing and rehabilitation of existing interstate facilities, including the reconstruction of bridges, interchanges and crossing structures, and for preventive maintenance. If additional right-of-way is needed to complete these improvements, it may also be purchased with funds from this program. Interstate Maintenance funds may be used for the construction of new High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) lanes, but not for the construction of new lanes for use by all vehicles. Interstates, expressways, and those surface arterial roads, which are a critical link in the regional transportation system, are eligible for project funding under the National Highway Performance Program
- Funds from this program may be used for all types of transportation improvements, including construction, reconstruction, operational improvements and planning. Thousands of highway bridges in America are undersized for the traffic volumes and loads they are needed to serve, and pose a safety hazard until they are improved.
- This funding program allows for the replacement or rehabilitation of these bridges. Proposed transportation projects in this document are shown by county, and within counties by city. Each project sheet includes a table with details on the project description, responsible jurisdiction/agency, type of funds to be used, program year and estimated cost.

FINANCIAL PLAN

The TIP is required to include a financial plan that demonstrates how the program of projects can be implemented. TDOT, VDOT, and local jurisdictions and agencies with projects in the TIP have indicated that they have the financial resources to provide the necessary matching funds to complete their projects. In addition, these agencies have determined that funding is available for the maintenance of all existing transportation systems.

Close approximations of "Available funding" for MPO projects are documented in the latter portion of this document, specifically the "summary pages" that follow project pages. Projects that are funded strictly through state and federal funding classifications, i.e. "State STBG" or "NHS" funds, do not typically involve local matching funds or local contributions. Thus, the local MPO and local jurisdictions do not have control over the amount of funding provided through these sources. As many of these projects are discretionary and drawn from a pool of state funds or federal funding managed by state DOTs the amount of available funding can not be determined for a specific MPO area (in essence during the fiscal year an MPO area may have discretionary funds added in or taken away as determined by state DOT needs. Consequently for some classifications MPO do not have any awareness of how much funding will be available for possibly (as examples) NHS, State STBG, IM, or HSIP projects. Therefore, the summary tables in this document provide funding amounts that equate to the pre-determined projects within the MPO area and are subject to change during the year as projects maybe added or deleted to the state's discretion.

The "Financial Plan" for this TIP is based on an annual comparison of reasonably available revenues to the calculated cost of various project phases that will incur, with the understanding that these costs will not exceed available revenues (or "fiscal constraint"). For strictly state projects (not locally matched or managed by local governments) the amount of available funding is determined by state officials and administrators and, therefore, fiscal constraint is determined only by TDOT or VDOT in these categories. For the Kingsport MTPO, fiscal constraint centers primarily around local STBG dollars. The summary tables for Local-STBG funds clearly presents this fiscal constraint, where costs do not exceed reasonable available revenues. Note; a statement at the top of the summary page provides a statement on how the reasonably available revenues are calculated. Detailed financial breakdowns are included in the summary tables. The projects included in this TIP have been funded in accordance with current and proposed revenue sources. Annual federal allocations and adopted state and local budgets place a level of confidence that anticipated funding will be available to implement the projects in the TIP.

FEDERAL APPORTIONMENT

Apportionment is the Federal distribution of transportation funds to each State as prescribed by a statutory formula. Prior to MAP-21 each apportioned Federal program had its own formula for distribution and the Federal assistance received by a State was the sum of the amounts it received for each program. MAP-21 changed this process and provides a total combined Federal apportionment to each State and then divides that apportionment among the State's individual formula programs.

The division of Federal funding among States includes an adjustment, if needed, to ensure that each State receives an equitable return on its share of Federal gas tax contributions to the Highway Trust Fund. Under SAFETEA-LU this minimum guarantee was apportioned to each State under the Equity Bonus Program as a separate funding category. With MAP-21 this funding adjustment is included in the statutory formula for each State's total Federal apportionment (prior to the division of a State's funding among the various programs).

STATE FUNDING MATCH

The State of Tennessee has legislation that established funding for highways and public transportation through motor fuel taxed and vehicle registrations. A variety of programs exist including allocations to cities and counties for maintenance and construction projects. A portion of the money is retained by TDOT for ongoing maintenance and operations, resurfacing, bridges, construction/reconstruction and to match Federal funds.

The Commonwealth of Virginia's legislation that establishes funding for highways and public transit programs is through a combination of sales and transportation-related taxes. In addition to the Commonwealth Transportation Fund, the General Assembly also authorizes the issuance of Capital Project Revenue (CPR) bonds for special transportation projects as well as the Revenue Sharing Program (RSP) to match local project funding on a dollar for dollar basis.

LOCAL FUNDING MATCH

Local jurisdictions and agencies with projects in the TIP have committed the necessary matching funds to ensure the completion of each project. In addition, these agencies have determined that funding is available for the maintenance of all existing transportation systems.

GROUPED PROJECTS

By agreement with the Tennessee Department of Transportation and Virginia Department of Transportation, the MPO is including grouped projects in the TIP for state-wide funding categories. The use of project groupings is permitted under 23 CFR 450.326(h). Projects that are not considered to be of appropriate scale for individual identification may be grouped by function, work type, and/or geographic area using the applicable classifications under 23 CFR 771.117(c) and (d); and/or 40 CFR part 93. Projects that are funded by such groupings must be non-regionally significant, environmentally neutral, and exempt from air quality conformity.

The TIP includes a description of all grouping categories, eligible activities, and funding information necessary to demonstrate fiscal constraint. All projects located within the MPO Metropolitan Planning Area must be included in the TIP, including those projects that are eligible for grouping. TDOT project groupings include the Surface Transportation Block Grant Program, Highway Safety Improvement Program, and the National Highway Performance Program (See page 38 for a more comprehensive list of TDOT allowable group activities). Virginia program activities for state-wide and district-wide funding categories include: Safety/ITS/Operational Improvements, Transportation Alternatives, Rail, Bridge Replacement and Repair, as well as maintenance categories and public transportation activities.

TIP PROJECTS AND FISCAL CONSTRAINT

The FAST Act requirements are followed by the Kingsport Area MTPO. An annually specified level of funding is allocated for highway and transit projects, which can be applied to local MTPO projects. Local governments budget the necessary funds to implement transportation projects within their respective jurisdictions, which include funding required for the local share of programs in the TIP. Additionally, projects being implemented through the use of the STBG funds are within the annual allocation of STBG funds or within the reserve funds for this project.

ESTABLISHMENT OF PROJECT COST AND REVENUES

Each project listed in this TIP has a cost estimate assigned to it. As is applied to the LRTP, these estimates are based on research by, and correspondence with, TDOT staff in coordination with the Kingsport MTPO staff (which includes members of the TCC). Cost estimates are established by phase. Projections of costs are made to reflect inflation, the Year of Expenditure (YOE) Additional cost factors i.e. unusual right-of-way expenses; will also be considered if necessary in estimating costs.

The financial summary tables are based on annual allocations of funds including any State and local matching dollars. These revenues sources are all reasonably expected to be made available

2017 - 2021

Transportation Improvement Plan

and committed to the project phase during the programmed year of the TIP. The revenue sources for local STP funding is based on past year allocations. For MPO revenue projections, a 3% annual growth rate was utilized based on the assumed inflation rate established by TDOT. The project cost for each phase has also been inflated 3% per year to compensate for the increased cost of construction. It should be noted the referenced inflation rate applies to "planning-level" cost only and will be revised during more rigorous cost estimates during project development and engineering.

The funding tables also include reasonably expected operation and maintenance revenues and expenditures for the program of projects. Costs associated with operations and maintenance were derived from annual costs provided by the Tennessee and Virginia Departments of Transportation and local jurisdictions annual budgets. For both Tennessee and Virginia, costs were inflated 3 percent annually to determine an annual operation and maintenance cost. It is assumed that the same level of service will be maintained per year by each jurisdiction/agency in the future years. As a result, it is anticipated the sufficient funds will be allocated to maintain the existing infrastructure prior to new capital investments.

Operation and maintenance of public transportation is identified by programmed expenditures for FTA Section 5307, Section 5310, and Section 5311 funds. Costs for public transportation are based on the current level of service being maintained with a 3% annual inflation rate for outlying years. These funds are matched with State operating assistance and local dollars. The financial plan shows the public transportation systems will be maintained and operated during the life of the plan.

SECTION A

Previous Projects – Status Report Listed below are projects from the previous TIP

ID	Project Location	Description	Status	
TN-1	I-81 Truck Climbing Lane	PIN # 114173 Add an eastbound truck climbing lane from mile marker 60 to Exit 63 to improve congestion		
TN-2	Entire MTPO Area	National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) Grouping	Continuous	
TN-3	Entire MTPO Area	Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Grouping	Continuous	
TN-4	Entire MTPO Area	Surface Transportation Program (STP) Grouping changing to Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG)	Continuous	
TN-5 PIN# 105467.00	SR 126 from Center St to I-81	Reconstruction/widening improvements	PE and ROW underway	
TN- 6.1 PIN# 112834.03	SR-93, South of Horse Creek to North of Derby Drive.	Improve vertical and horizontal curves and overall safety.	PE and ROW underway	
TN- 6.2 PIN# 112834.01	SR-93, North of Davis Rd to North of Fire Hall Road	Improve vertical and horizontal curves and overall safety.	PE and ROW underway	
TN- 6.3 PIN# 112834.02	SR-93, Morgan Lane to South of Baileyton Rd.	Improve vertical and horizontal curves and overall safety.	PE and ROW underway	
TN-7	Spot Safety Grouping, MTPO Region	Spot safety improvements, such as signalization, intersection modifications, sight distance modifications, adding turn lanes, school flashing signals, flashing beacons, acquisition of land, railroad grade crossing improvements, etc.	Continuous	

2017 - 2021

Transportation Improvement Plan

ID	Project Location	Description	Status
VA-2 UPC # 86598.0	Construction of New Bridges over N. Fork of Holston River.	US 23, Northbound and Southbound lanes	Construction to begin June 2016
VA-3 .0486 West of Weber City Limits.		PE and RW only, interchange construction.	No Dates scheduled
VA-4 UPC# 12764	SR 72	PE and RW only,	Project canceled
VA-5 UPC# T13863	U.S 58 and SR224 intersection to TN State line.	Install various safety features, including paved shoulders, guardrails, and rumble strips along areas of need	Complete
VA-6 UPC#104189.00	From 0.50 miles north of intersection with SR 704 to bridge over North Fork of Holston River	Correct geometry of curve located near Holston River bridge by increasing the radii (soften curve)	Construction to begin June 2018
VA-7 UPC#293.00	0.05 miles west of intersection with SR 713 to 0.03 miles East of SR 867-West	Reconstruct section of SR 614 / Yuma Road; includes widening travel lanes and shoulders, adding turn lanes, curb and gutter, softening curves	Under construction

ID	Project Location	Description	Status
L-STP-1	Metro Area Wide Grouping	3R Improvements (Resurfacing,Restoration,Rehabilitation) plus Street Lighting	Continuous
L-STP-2	Metro Area Wide Grouping	Kingsport Signalization Grouping	Continuous
L-STP-2.1 121579.00	Lynn Garden Signal System	Improvements to traffic signals including construction of closed-loop signal sub-system to coordinate traffic signals, signal hardware/software upgrades, multi-direction cameras.	Right of Way phase
L-STP-2.2 120468.00	Capital Purchase of Portable Camera System	The portable traffic camera counting system will improve the data collection within the MTPO planning region. The system includes the ability to count and calculate the turning movement.	Termination of project to bid issues.
L-STP-3 PIN #115497.00	Realignment of Union St from US 11W to Netherland Inn Rd (approximately 3,100 feet)	Realign and reconstruct Union Street from U.S. 11-W (West Stone Drive) to improve access to Netherland Inn Rd and economic redevelopment area along the Holston River	Continuous
L-STP-4	Grouping, Includes mobility path along Wilcox Drive that can serve pedestrian traffic and bicyclists along State Route 126.	Facilities, programs, and planning initiatives for non-motorized transportation alternatives. Projects to be implemented from this program come from the Regional Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan and those supportive of the LRTP's goals and objectives.	Continuous
L-STP-5 121031.00	State Route 126 with Island Road	Signalization of Island Road	
L-STP-6 112965.00	SR 347 Rock Springs Road	SR 347 Rock Springs Road Rebuild/TDOT project	NEPA PHASE
L-STP-7 123325.00	Main Street Kingsport	Resurfacing, repairing curb, sidewalk, additions of bulbouts, ADA enhancements, removal of rail siding, diamond grinding, specific areas of subsurface repair and rebuild.	NEPA PHASE
L-STP-8	Kingsport Press Road	Resurfacing project	Awaiting contract

ID	Project Location	Description	Status
EN-1 PIN # 30629.01	Begin at westward end of current Greenbelt (near Industry Drive), end just past I-26 bridge (total approximately 1,700 feet)	Construct approximately 1,700 feet extension of Kingsport Greenbelt walking and biking path westward along riverfront (South Fork Holston River). Grant has been awarded for this.	Complete
EN-2 PIN # 110538.03	Begin at westward end of current Greenbelt in Riverfront Park, end at intersection of Netherland Inn Road and Rotherwood Drive	Construct 2,300 foot extension of Kingsport Greenbelt	Under Construction
TA-1	Eastward from asphalt near the Exchange Place spur to Cleek Rd	Construct approximate one mile extension of Kingsport Greenbelt east from the end of current Greenbelt. Grant has been awarded for this.	NEPA Complete, In Design Phase.
RS-1	SR 36 at Virginia State Line, SR 93 @ SR 11, SR 93 @ SR 126, SR 93 @ SR 36 and Wilcox Drive near SR 93, Netherland Inn Road at SR 1/US 1-W (West Stone Drive)	Plant various landscaping items within the identified locations (including several intersections along State Routes) in order to beautify entranceways into the Kingsport area (TDOT Program through old SAFETEA-LU grant).	Complete
TSRTS-1 PIN # 114393.00	Roadway and Greenbelt segments located within the Jackson School project area	Sidewalk improvements around Jackson School area leading to campus area from adjacent neighborhoods and also linking to nearby Kingsport Greenbelt.	Complete

Statewide Grouping Descriptions

Activities delivered from TDOT's statewide groupings are limited to work types that are:

- 1. Located in non-metropolitan or rural areas any located in a metropolitan area must be programmed in the MPO's TIP,
- 2. Not considered to be of appropriate scale for individual identification in a given program year,
- 3. Environmentally-neutral as categorical exclusions under 23 CFR 771.117(c) and (d),
- 4. Non-regionally significant, in non-attainment and maintenance areas, and
- 5. Exempt as defined in the EPA's transportation conformity regulations in 40 CFR Part 93, in non-attainment and maintenance areas.

Activities that do not meet these requirements must be individually identified in the STIP or respective MPO's TIP. The following tables elaborate on the allowable work types for the statewide groupings.

Crowning	Function of Crowning	
Grouping Category	Function of Grouping Activities	Allowable Work Types
National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) Grouping	Projects for the preservation and improvement of the conditions and performance of the National Highway System (NHS), including Rehabilitation, resurfacing, restoration, preservation, and operational improvements,	Minor rehabilitation, pavement resurfacing, preventative maintenance, restoration, and pavement preservation treatments to extend the service life of highway infrastructure, including pavement markings and improvements to roadside hardware or sight distance Highway improvement work including slide repair, rock fall mitigation, drainage repairs, or other preventative work necessary to maintain or extend the service life of the existing infrastructure in a good operational condition Minor operational and safety improvements to intersections and interchanges such as adding turn lanes, addressing existing geometric deficiencies, and extending on/off ramps Capital and operating costs for intelligent transportation systems (ITS) and traffic monitoring, management, and control facilities and
STIP# 1799003	 Traffic operations, Bridge and tunnel improvements, Safety improvements, Bicycle and pedestrian improvements, and Environmental mitigation. 	programs: O Infrastructure-based intelligent transportation systems (ITS) capital improvements O Traffic Management Center (TMC) operations and utilities O Freeway service patrols O Traveler information Bridge and tunnel construction (no additional travel lanes), replacement, rehabilitation, preservation, protection, inspection, evaluation, and inspector training and inspection and evaluation of other infrastructure assets, such as signs, walls, and drainage structures Development and implementation of a State Asset Management Plan including data collection, maintenance and integration, software costs, and equipment costs that support the development of performance-based management systems for infrastructure Rail-highway grade crossing improvements Highway safety improvements: O Installation of new or improvement of existing guardrail O Installation of traffic signs and signals/lights O Spot safety improvements Sidewalk improvements Pedestrian and/or bicycle facilities Traffic calming and traffic diversion improvements Noise walls Wetland and/or stream mitigation Environmental restoration and pollution abatement Control of noxious weeds and establishment of native species

F 11 (0)	
***************************************	Allowable Work Types
	Intersection safety improvements
data-driven State Strategic Highway	Pavement and shoulder widening (including a passing lane to remedy an unsafe condition)
Safety Plan (SHSP) and corrects or improves a hazardous road location or	 Installation of rumble strips or another warning devices, if they do not adversely affect the safety or mobility of bicyclists and pedestrians
	Installation of skid-resistant surface at intersections or locations with high crash frequencies
	Improvements for pedestrian or bicyclist safety
activities.	Construction and improvement of a railway-highway grade crossing safety feature, including installation of protective devices
Cligibility of enorific projects	The conduct of a model traffic enforcement activity at a railway-highway crossing
	Construction of a traffic calming feature
based on:	Elimination of a roadside hazard
Consistency with SHSP,	 Installation, replacement, and other improvements of highway signage and pavement markings, or a project to maintain minimum levels of retro-reflectivity that addresses a highway safety problem consistent with the SHSP
	Installation of emergency vehicle priority control systems at signalized intersections
	Installation of traffic control or other warning devices at locations with high crash potential
or other data-supported means,	Transportation safety planning
Compliance with the	Collection, analysis, and improvement of safety data
requirements of Title 23 of the	Planning integrated interoperable emergency communications equipment or operational or traffic enforcement activities (including
USC, and	police assistance) related to work zone safety
State's strategic or	Installation of guardrails, barriers (including barriers between construction work zones and traffic lanes), and crash attenuators
performance-based safety	The addition or retrofitting of structures or other measures to eliminate or reduce crashes involving vehicles and wildlife
	 Installation of yellow-green signs and signals at pedestrian and bicycle crossings and in school zones
roads.	Construction and operational improvements on high risk rural roads
Today	Geometric improvements to a road for safety purposes that improve safety
 Projects to upgrade railway- highway grade crossings by eliminating hazards and installing protective devices. 	Road safety audits
	Roadway safety infrastructure improvements consistent with FHWA's "HighwayDesign Handbook for Older Drivers and
	Pedestrians" (FHWA-RD-01-103)
	 Truck parking facilities eligible for funding under Section 1401 of MAP-21
	Systemic safety improvements
	Installation of vehicle-to-infrastructure communication equipment.
	Pedestrian hybrid beacons.
	 Roadway improvements that provide separation between pedestrians and motor vehicles, including medians and pedestrian crossing islands.
	Other physical infrastructure projects not specifically enumerated in the list of eligible projects.
	Workforce development, training, and education activities
	Safety Plan (SHSP) and corrects or improves a hazardous road location or feature or addresses a highway safety problem, including workforce development, training and education activities. Eligibility of specific projects, strategies, and activities is generally based on: Consistency with SHSP, Crash experience, crash potential, or other data-supported means, Compliance with the requirements of Title 23 of the USC, and State's strategic or performance-based safety goals to reduce fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads. Projects to upgrade railway-highway grade crossings by eliminating hazards and

Grouping Category	Function of Grouping Activities	Allowable Work Types
Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP)		Activities included as part of the Highway Railroad Grade Crossing program: Elimination of hazards of railway-highway crossings, including the separation or protection of grades at crossings
Grouping		Reconstruction of existing railroad grade crossing structures Relocation of highways to eliminate grade crossings
(continued)		Installation of protective devices
STIP# 1799300		

Function of Grouping Activities	Allowable Work Types
Projects and programs for the preservation and improvement of the conditions and performance of Federal-aid highways and public roads, including: Rehabilitation, resurfacing, protection proposation and	Activities previously authorized under the Surface Transportation Program (STP): Minor rehabilitation, pavement resurfacing, preventative maintenance, restoration, and pavement preservation treatments to extend the service life of highwayinfrastructure, including pavement markings and improvements to roadside hardware or sightdistance Highway improvement work including slide repair, rock fall mitigation, drainage repairs, or other preventative work necessary to maintain or extend the service life of theexisting infrastructure in a good operational condition Minor operational and safety improvements to intersections and interchanges such as adding turn lanes, addressing existing geometric deficiencies, and extending on/off ramps
operational improvements on Federal-aid highways and designated routes of the Appalachian Development Highway System (ADHS) and local access roads under 40 USC 14501,	Capital and operating costs for intelligent transportation systems (ITS) and traffic monitoring, management, and control facilities and programs: Infrastructure-based intelligent transportation systems (ITS) capital improvements Traffic Management Center (TMC) operations and utilities Freeway service patrols Traveler information
Traffic operations on Federal- aid highways,	 Bridge and tunnel construction (no additional travel lanes), replacement, rehabilitation, preservation, protection, inspection, evaluation, and inspector training and inspection and evaluation of other infrastructure assets, such as signs, walls, and drainage structures
Bridge and tunnel improvements on public roads,	 Development and implementation of a State Asset Management Plan including data collection, maintenance and integration, software costs, and equipment costs that support the development of performance-based management systems for infrastructure
Safety improvements on public roads,	Rail-highway grade crossing improvements Highway safety improvements: O Installation of new or improvement of existing guardrail
Environmental mitigation	Installation of traffic signs and signals/lights Spot safety improvements Sidewalk improvements
Scenic and historic highway programs,	Pedestrian and/or bicycle facilities Traffic calming and traffic diversion improvements
Landscaping and scenic beautification,	Transportation Alternatives as defined by 23 USC 213(B), 23 USC. 101(A)(29), and Section 1122 of MAP-21 Noise walls Wetland and/or stream mitigation Environmental restoration and pollution abatement Control of noxious weeds and establishment of native species
	Projects and programs for the preservation and improvement of the conditions and performance of Federal-aid highways and public roads, including: Rehabilitation, resurfacing, restoration, preservation, and operational improvements on Federal-aid highways and designated routes of the Appalachian Development Highway System (ADHS) and local access roads under 40 USC 14501, Traffic operations on Federal-aid highways, Bridge and tunnel improvements on public roads, Bridge and tunnel improvements on public roads, Environmental mitigation Scenic and historic highway programs,

Grouping Category	Function of Grouping Activities	Allowable Work Types
Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG) Grouping (continued) STIP# 1799001	 Historic preservation, On- and off-road pedestrian and bicycle facilities, Infrastructure projects for improving non-driver access to public transportation and enhanced mobility, Community improvement activities, Recreational Trail Program projects, Safe Routes to School (SRTS) projects, Transportation Enhancement projects, Transportation Alternatives projects, Projects for the creation, rehabilitation, and maintenance of multi-use recreational trails. 	Activities previously authorized under the Transportation Enhancement Program: Pedestrian and bicycle facilities, safety, and educational activities Acquisition of scenic easements and scenic or historic sites Scenic or historic highway programs Landscaping and other scenic beautification activities Historic preservation Rehabilitation and operation of historic transportation buildings, structures, or facilities Preservation of abandoned railway corridors Inventory, control, and removal of outdoor advertising Activates on the advertised of transportation advertising Activates under the Tennessee Roadscapes grant program, including landscaping, irrigation, benches, trash cans, paths, and signage Activities under the Tennessee Roadscapes grant program, including landscaping, irrigation, benches, trash cans, paths, and signage Activities previously authorized under the Safe Routes to School Program (SRTS): Sidewalk improvements Traffic calming and speed reduction improvements Pedestrian and bicycle crossing improvements Onstreet bicycle facilities Traffic diversion improvements approximately within 2 miles of a school location Non-infrastructure related activities: Orff-street bicycle and pedestrian facilities Secure bicycle parking facilities Traffic diversion improvements approximately within 2 miles of a school location Non-infrastructure related activities: Orff-street bicycle parking facilities Student sessions on bicycle and pedestrian safety, health, and environment Funding for training, volunteers, and managers of safe routes to school program Activities previously authorized under the Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP): Construction, planning, and design of on-road and off-road trail facilities forpedestrians, bicyclists, and other non-motorized forms of transportation, including: Sidewalk improvements Bicycle infrastructure Pedestrian and bicycle signals Traffic calming techniques Uighting and other safety-related infrastructure Traffic calming techniques Uighting

Grouping Category	Function of Grouping Activities	Allowable Work Types
Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG) Grouping (continued)	design or construction of boulevards and other roadways largely in the right- of-way of former Interstate System routes or other	 Construction, planning, and design of infrastructure-related projects and systems that will provide safe routes for non-drivers, including children, older adults, and individuals with disabilities to access daily needs Conversion and use of abandoned railroad corridors for trails for pedestrians, bicyclists, or other non-motorized transportation users Construction of turnouts, overlooks, and viewing areas Community improvement activities, which include but are not limited to:
STIP#1799001	arrided ingritta jul	 Inventory, control, or removal of outdoor advertising Historic preservation and rehabilitation of historic transportation facilities Vegetation management in transportation rights-of-way to improve roadwaysafety, prevent invasive species, and provide erosion control Archaeological activities relating to impacts from implementation of atransportation project eligible under Title 23 of the USC
		 Any environmental mitigation activity, including pollution prevention and pollution abatement activities and mitigation to: Address stormwater management, control, and water pollution preventionor abatement related to highway construction or due to highway runoff Reduce vehicle-caused wildlife mortality or to restore and maintain connectivity among terrestrial or aquatic habitats Recreational Trails Program activities under 23 USC 206 SRTS Program infrastructure-related projects, non-infrastructure-related activities (such as pedestrian and bicycle safety and educational activities advanced under the SRTS program), and SRTS Coordinator positions. Planning, designing, or constructing boulevards and other roadways largely in the right-of-way of former Interstate System routes or other divided highways Activities previously authorized under the Recreational Trails Program (RTP): Maintenance and restoration of existing recreational trails Development and rehabilitation of trailside and trailhead facilities and trail linkages for recreational trails Purchase and lease of recreational trails construction and maintenance equipment Construction of new recreational trails Acquisition of easements and fee simple title to property for recreational trails or recreational trail corridors Assessment of trail conditions for accessibility and maintenance Development and dissemination of publications and operation of educational programs to promote safety and environmental protection Payment of costs to the State incurred in administering the program



TIP # L-STBG-1	TDOT PIN# / VA UPC# PIN #115497.00	Priority	Lead Agency	Kingsport
County Sullivan	Length 0.56 Miles LR	ΓΡ# C-15	Conformity Status	N/A
Route/Project Name	Netherland Inn - Stone Drive (US 11W / SR 1) Co	necter	Total Project Cost	\$6,850,000
Termini or Intersection	Union St from US 11W to Netherland Inn Rd (approximately 3,100 feet)			
Project Description	Realign and reconstruct Union Street from U.S. 11-W (West Stone Drive) to improve access to Netherland Inn Rd and economic redevelopment area along the Holston River (approximately 3,100 feet).			

Fiscal Year	Phase	Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
FY 17	PE-N	L-STGB	\$50,000	\$40,000	\$0	\$10,000
FY-19	PE-D	L-STGB	\$400,000	\$320,000	\$0	\$80,000
FY-20	ROW, CONST	L-STGB	\$3,200,000	\$2,240,000	\$0	\$560,000
FY-21	ROW, CONST	L-STBG	\$3,200,000	\$2,560,000		\$640,000

Remarks Amendment Number Adjustment Number

NEPA document being developed during FY 2017 using various alternatives developed in previous study.





TIP # L-STBG-3	TDOT PIN# / VA UPC#	121031.00	Priority	Lead Agency	City of Kingsport	
County Sullivan	Length N/A	LRTP#	Consistent w/ LRTP	Conformity Status	N/A	
Route/Project Name	Signalization of Intersection of State	Route 126 with Island F	Road	Total Project Cost	\$360,000	
Termini or Intersection	Intersection of State Route 126 (Mer	norial Boulevard) with Is	sland Road			
Project Description	Installation of new 4-way traffic signal signal design - at intersection of SR		ment plus improvements to	o lane configuration that coo	rdinates with new	

\$30,000 \$0
i

Remarks	Amendment Number	Adjustment Number
The state funds are to match the geometric interse	ection improvements. Signal improvements are 10	0 % Federal funds.
Project moved forward from Fy 14.		






TIP # L-STBG-4	TDOT PIN# / VA UPC#	112965.00	Priority	Lead Agency	TDOT	
County Sullivan	Length 1.2 Miles	LRTP# Consistent v	w/ LRTP Co	nformity Status	N/A	
Route/Project Name	SR 347 Rock Springs Road Rebuild/TDOT project		То	tal Project Cost	\$6,000,000	
Termini or Intersection	Intersection of State Route 126 (Memorial Boulevard) with	Island Road				
Project Description	Reconstruction of portions of SR 347 beginning at I-26 an mobility path and park and ride lot.	d ending at Cox Hollow Rd, inc	cluding widening of shou	lders, addition of to	urn lanes,	

Fiscal Year	Phase	Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
FY-17	ROW	L-STBG	\$1,250,000	\$1,000,000	\$250,000	\$0
FY-18	CONST	L-STBG	\$4,000,000	\$400,000	\$3,600,000	\$0

Remarks		Amendment Number Adjustment Number						
MTPO will provide \$2.0 M in ROW and PE, TDOT has agreed to complete the project per state route.								
FY-16	PE-D	L-STBG	\$750,000	\$600,000	\$150,000	\$0		





TIP# L-STBG-5	TDOT PIN# / VA UPC# 123325.00 Priority	Lead Agency City of Kingsport
County Sullivan	Length 1.1 Miles LRTP# Consistent w/ LRTP	Conformity Status N/A
Route/Project Name	Main Street Kingsport Rebuild	Total Project Cost \$1,700,000
Termini or Intersection	Main St. from Sullivan St. to Market St.	
Project Description	Resurfacing, repairing curb, sidewalk, additions of bulbouts, ADA engrinding, specific areas of sub-surface repair and rebuild.	nhancements, removal of rail siding, diamond

Fiscal Year	Phase	Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
FY-17	PE-N, PE-D, ROW, CONST	L-STBG	\$1,100,000	\$880,000		\$220,000
						<u> </u>
		<u>_</u>				<u>!</u> T

Remarks			Amendment Number		Adjustment Number	
FY-16	PE-N, PE-D, ROW	L-STBG	\$600,000	\$480,000		\$120,000





TIP # L-STBG-6	TDOT PIN# / VA UPC# 123791.00 Priority Lead Agency Church Hill
County Hawkins	Length .82 Miles LRTP# Conformity Status
Route/Project Name	Kingsport Press Road Resurfacing Total Project Cost \$350,000
Termini or Intersection	Kingsport Press Rd from SR1 to Greenland Park Rd.
Project Description	Resurfacing and ancillary improvements.

Fiscal Year	Phase	Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
FY17	CONST	L-STBG	\$300,000	\$240,000		\$60,000

Remarks			Amendment Number		Adjustment Number	
FY16	PE-D/ PE-N	L-STBG	\$50,000	\$40,000		\$10,000






TIP# L-STBG-7	TDOT PIN# / VA UPC#	Lead Agency City of Kingsport
County Sullivan	Length n/a LRTP# Consistent w/ LRTP	Conformity Status N/A
Route/Project Name	Downtown Bulbout/ Pedestrian Safety Enhancements Projects	Total Project Cost \$200,000
Termini or Intersection	Intersection of Commerce Street & Market Street	
Project Description	Creation of enhanced crosswalks/bulbouts in the heavily pedestrian i	intersections along Commerce street.
	ATRA's , landscaping, pedestrian scale lighting are also included in t	his project.

Fiscal Year	Phase	Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
FY - 18	ROW/CONST	L-STBG	\$160,000	\$128,000		\$32,000

Remarks		А	mendment Number		Adjustment Number	
FY-16	PE-N/PE-D	L-STBG	\$40,000	\$32,000		\$8,000





TIP# TAP-1	TDOT PIN# / VA UPC# 118524.01 Priority	Lead Agency City of Kingsport
County Sullivan	Length approx. 1 mile LRTP# Consistent w/ LRTP	Conformity Status N/A
Route/Project Name	Transportation Alternatives Grant Project - East to Cleek Road	Total Project Cost \$1,090,000
Termini or Intersection	Eastward from asphalt near the Exchange Place spur to Cleek Rd	
Project Description	Construct an approximate one mile extension of Kingsport Greenbeend of current Greenbelt to Cleek rd. Grant has been awarded for the control of the control	0 ,

Phase	Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
CONST	TAP	\$940,000	\$752,000		\$188,000
				<u> </u>	<u> </u>
		Phase Type	Phase Type Total Funds	Phase Type Total Funds Fed Funds	Phase Type Total Funds Fed Funds State Funds

Remarks	Amendment Number			Adjustment Number		
FY-16	PE, ROW	Local	\$150,000		\$150,000	






TIP# PT-1	TDOT PIN# / VA UPC# New (to be assigned) Priority Lead	d Agency Kingsport
County Sullivan	Length N/A LRTP# tent w/ LRTP Conformit	ty Status N/A
Route/Project Name	KATS Operations Total Proj	ject Cost \$9,000,000
Termini or Intersection	N/A	
Project Description	Funds utilized to operate Transit Fixed-route Service and ADA/Paratransit Service.	

Fiscal Year	Phase	Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
FY 17	Operation	FTA 5307	\$1,600,000	\$800,000	\$400,000	\$400,000
FY 18	Operation	FTA 5307	\$1,700,000	\$850,000	\$425,000	\$425,000
FY 19	Operation	FTA 5307	\$1,800,000	\$900,000	\$450,000	\$450,000
FY 20	Operation	FTA 5307	\$1,900,000	\$950,000	\$475,000	\$475,000
FY 21	Operation	FTA 5307	\$2,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$500,000	\$500,000

Remarks	Amendment Number Adjustment Number





TIP# PT-2a	TDOT PIN# / VA UPC#	New (to be assigned) Priority	y Lead Agency	Kingsport
County Sullivan	Length N/A	LRTP# tent w/ LRTF	Conformity Status	N/A
Route/Project Name	KATS Capital		Total Project Cost	\$2,866,776
Termini or Intersection	N/A			
Project Description	Funds utilized to purchase and replace trans fleet vehicles, renovate and maintain transit hardware, crime prevention and security equ	buildings/facilities/equipment, purchase	e transit related equipment, so	ftware,

Phase	Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
Capital	FTA 5307	\$400,000	\$320,000	\$40,000	\$40,000
Capital	FTA 5307	\$400,000	\$320,000	\$40,000	\$40,000
Capital	FTA 5307	\$400,000	\$320,000	\$40,000	\$40,000
Capital	FTA 5307	\$400,000	\$320,000	\$40,000	\$40,000
Capital	FTA 5307	\$400,000	\$320,000	\$40,000	\$40,000
	Capital Capital Capital	Phase Type Capital FTA 5307 Capital FTA 5307 Capital FTA 5307 Capital FTA 5307	Phase Type Total Funds Capital FTA 5307 \$400,000 Capital FTA 5307 \$400,000 Capital FTA 5307 \$400,000 Capital FTA 5307 \$400,000	Phase Type Total Funds Fed Funds Capital FTA 5307 \$400,000 \$320,000 Capital FTA 5307 \$400,000 \$320,000 Capital FTA 5307 \$400,000 \$320,000 Capital FTA 5307 \$400,000 \$320,000	Phase Type Total Funds Fed Funds State Funds Capital FTA 5307 \$400,000 \$320,000 \$40,000 Capital FTA 5307 \$400,000 \$320,000 \$40,000 Capital FTA 5307 \$400,000 \$320,000 \$40,000 Capital FTA 5307 \$400,000 \$320,000 \$40,000

Remarks Amendment Number Adjustment Number

Funds utilized to purchase and replace transit fleet vehicles, preventive maintenance, overhaul and rebuild vehicles, maintain fleet vehicles, renovate and maintain transit buildings/facilities/equipment, purchase transit related equipment, software, hardware, crime prevention and security equipment, construction and maintenance of passenger facilities and infrastructure.











TIP# PT-2b	TDOT PIN# / VA UPC#	New (to be assigned) Priorit	y Lead Agency	Kingsport
County Sullivan	Length N/A	LRTP# tent w/ LRT	Conformity Status	N/A
Route/Project Name	KATS Capital		Total Project Cost	\$2,866,776
Termini or Intersection	N/A			
Project Description	Funds utilized to purchase and replace trans fleet vehicles, renovate and maintain transit hardware, crime prevention and security equ	buildings/facilities/equipment, purchase	e transit related equipment, so	ftware,

Fiscal Year	Phase	Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
FY 17	Capital	FTA 5339	\$438,776	\$372,960	\$32,908	\$32,908
FY 18	Capital	FTA 5339	\$107,000	\$90,950	\$8,025	\$8,025
FY 19	Capital	FTA 5339	\$107,000	\$90,950	\$8,025	\$8,025
FY 20	Capital	FTA 5339	\$107,000	\$90,950	\$8,025	\$8,025
FY 21	Capital	FTA 5339	\$107,000	\$90,950	\$8,025	\$8,025

Remarks Amendment Number Adjustment Number

Funds utilized to purchase and replace transit fleet vehicles, preventive maintenance, overhaul and rebuild vehicles, maintain fleet vehicles, renovate and maintain transit buildings/facilities/equipment, purchase transit related equipment, software, hardware, crime prevention and security equipment, construction and maintenance of passenger facilities and infrastructure.











TIP# PT-3	TDOT PIN# / VA UPC#	New (to be assigned) Priority	Lead Agency Kingsport
County Sullivan	Length N/A	LRTP# tent w/ LRTP	Conformity Status N/A
Route/Project Name	KATS Planning		Total Project Cost \$175,000
Termini or Intersection	N/A		
Project Description	Planning, engineering design, evaluation of tra	nsit projects, and other technical transportat	ion-related studies

Fiscal Year	Phase	Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
FY 17	Planning	FTA 5307	\$50,000	\$40,000	\$5,000	\$5,000
FY 18	Planning	FTA 5307	\$50,000	\$40,000	\$5,000	\$5,000
FY 19	Planning	FTA 5307	\$25,000	\$20,000	\$2,500	\$2,500
FY 20	Planning	FTA 5307	\$25,000	\$20,000	\$2,500	\$2,500
FY21	Planning	FTA 5307	\$25,000	\$20,000	\$2,500	\$2,500

Remarks	Amendment Number Adjustment Number









TIP# PT-4	TDOT PIN# / VA UPC# New (to be assigned) Prior	Lead Agency Kingsport						
County Sullivan	Length N/A LRTP# tent w/ LR	Conformity Status N/A						
Route/Project Name	KATS Comprehensive Transit Facility	Total Project Cost \$8,250,0						
Termini or Intersection	Kingsport Foundry Site; bounded by Sullivan, Main, Unicoi							
Project Description Construct a comprehensive transit facility for passenger boarding and transferring, as well as to house various transit related functions related to operating and administrating services.								

Fiscal Year Phase		Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
FY 17	PE-D	FTA 5307	\$350,000	\$280,000	\$35,000	\$35,000
FY 17	ROW	FTA 5307	\$520,000	\$416,000	\$52,000	\$52,000
FY 18	CONST	FTA 5307	\$7,150,000	\$5,720,000	\$715,000	\$715,000
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>		

Remarks	Amendment Number	Adjustment Number
NEPA performed during previous T	P. Image shown is conceptual only and subject to change.	



Table 3

FY2017 through FY2021

Kingsport Area Transit Service Summary

Tennessee

Funding Source/Amount Allocated	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021
	Available	Available	Available	Available	Available
FTA - 5307-Operating Funds (OP)	\$800,000	\$850,000	\$900,000	\$950,000	\$1,000,000
FTA - 5307 - Capital and Planning Funds (CAP, PL)	\$360,000	\$360,000	\$340,000	\$340,000	\$340,000
FTA - 5307 - NEPA, PE ROW, CONST	\$696,000	\$5,720,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
FTA - 5339 - Capital (CAP)	\$372,960	\$90,950	\$90,950	\$90,950	\$90,950
State Match Funds (OP)	\$400,000	\$425,000	\$450,000	\$475,000	\$500,000
State Match Funds (CAP, PL)	\$45,000	\$45,000	\$42,500	\$42,500	\$42,500
State Match Funds - NEPA, PE, ROW, CONST	\$87,000	\$715,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Match Funds (OP)	\$400,000	\$425,000	\$450,000	\$475,000	\$500,000
Local Match Funds (CAP, PL)	\$45,000	\$45,000	\$42,500	\$42,500	\$42,500
Local Match Funds - NEPA, PE, ROW, CONST	\$87,000	\$715,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Allocated	\$3,292,960	\$9,390,950	\$2,315,950	\$2,415,950	\$2,515,950
Amount Programmed to be Utilized	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021
FTA - 5307-Operating Funds (OP)	\$800,000	\$850,000	\$900,000	\$950,000	\$1,000,000
FTA - 5307 - Capital and Planning Funds (CAP, PL)	\$360,000	\$360,000	\$340,000	\$340,000	\$340,000
FTA - 5307 - NEPA, PE ROW, CONST	\$696,000	\$5,720,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
FTA - 5339 - Capital (CAP)	\$372,960	\$90,950	\$90,950	\$90,950	\$90,950
State Match Funds (OP)	\$400,000	\$425,000	\$450,000	\$475,000	\$500,000
State Match Funds (CAP, PL)	\$45,000	\$45,000	\$42,500	\$42,500	\$42,500
State Match Funds - NEPA, PE, ROW, CONST	\$87,000	\$715,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Match Funds (OP)	\$400,000	\$425,000	\$450,000	\$475,000	\$500,000
Local Match Funds (CAP, PL)	\$45,000	\$45,000	\$42,500	\$42,500	\$42,500
Local Match Funds - NEPA, PE, ROW, CONST	\$87,000	\$715,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Programmed	\$3,292,960	\$9,390,950	\$2,315,950	\$2,415,950	\$2,515,950
Amount Remaining	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021
FTA - 5307-Operating Funds (OP)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
FTA - 5307 - Capital and Planning Funds (CAP, PL)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
FTA - 5307 - NEPA, PE ROW, CONST	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
FTA - 5339 - Capital (CAP)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Match Funds (OP)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Match Funds (CAP, PL)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Match Funds - NEPA, PE, ROW, CONST	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Match Funds (OP)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Match Funds (CAP, PL)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Match Funds - NEPA, PE, ROW, CONST	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Remaining	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0




TIP # TN-1 STIP# 82050	TDOT PIN# / VA UPC# Priority	Lead Agency TDOT	82110		
County Sull., Hawk.	Length variable Consistent w/ LRTP	Conformity Status N	/A		
Route/Project Name	National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) Grouping	Total Project Cost \$1,56	3,958		
Termini or Intersection	Sullivan and Hawkins County				
Project Description	See page 38 for details.				

Fiscal Year	Phase	Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
FY 17	PE, ROW, CONST	NHPP	\$390,900	\$312,792	\$78,198	\$0
FY 18	PE, ROW, CONST	NHPP	\$390,900	\$312,792	\$78,198	\$0
FY 19	PE, ROW, CONST	NHPP	\$390,900	\$312,792	\$78,198	\$0
FY 20	PE, ROW, CONST	NHPP	\$390,900	\$312,792	\$78,198	\$0

Remarks Amendment Number Adjustment Number

National Highway Performance Program and Surface Transportation Program funds are used to implement improvements along major thoroughfares within the MPO area that include, but are not limited to, maintenance and operations, repairs and rehabilitation, and new projects where needed. These are all compatible with the LRTP through the TSM element and recommended long-range improvements.






TIP#	TN-2	TDOT PIN# / VA UPC#	Group	Priority	Lead Agency	TDOT
STIP#	82060				_	
County	Sull., Hawk.	Length	LRTP#		Conformity Status	N/A
Route/P	roject Name	Highway Safety Improvement Pro	ogram (HSIP) Grouping		Total Project Cost	\$688,736
Termini	or Intersection	Sullivan and Hawkins County				
Project	Description	See Page 38 for details				

Fiscal Year	Phase	Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
FY 17	PE, ROW, CONST	HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0
FY 17	PE, ROW, CONST	PHSIP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0
FY 18	PE, ROW, CONST	HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0
FY 18	PE, ROW, CONST	PHSIP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0
FY 19	PE, ROW, CONST	HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0
FY 19	PE, ROW, CONST	PHSIP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0
FY 20	PE, ROW, CONST	HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0
FY 20	PE, ROW, CONST	PHSIP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0

Remarks	Amendment Number	Adjustment Number






TIP # STIP #	TN-3 82040	TDOT PIN# / VA UPC#	Group Priority	Lead Agency	TDOT
County	Sull., Hawk.	Length N/A	LRTP# it w/ LRTP	Conformity Status	N/A
Route/Pro	ject Name	Surface Transportation Block Grant P	rogram (STBG) Grouping	Total Project Cost	\$5,842,168
Termini o	r Intersection	Sullivan and Hawkins County			
Project De	escription	See page 38 for details			

Fiscal Year	Phase	Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
FY 17	PE, ROW, CONST	S-STBG	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108	\$0
FY-18	PE, ROW, CONST	S-STBG	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108	\$0
FY 19	PE, ROW, CONST	S-STBG	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108	\$0
FY 20	PE, ROW, CONST	S-STBG	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108	\$0

Remarks	Amendment Number Adjustment Number






TIP#	TN-5	TDOT PIN# / VA UPC# PIN # 10546	Priority	Lead Agency	TDOT
STIP County	82020 Sullivan	Length 4.1 Miles	LRTP# MNA-20a	Conformity Status	N/A
Route/Pr	oject Name	SR-126 (Memorial Blvd) - Phase I		Total Project Cost	\$50,000,000
Termini d	or Intersection	From East Center Street in Kingsport to East of	f Cook's Valley Road		
Project D	Description	WIDEN VARIOUS SECTIONS FROM 2 TO 3 L TRUCK CLIMBING LANES AND MEDIANS.	ANES, 2 TO 4 LANES	, 2 TO 5 LANES, INCLUD	ING TURN LANES,

Fiscal Year	Phase	Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
FY-17	ROW	STBG	\$6,000,000	\$4,800,000	\$1,200,000	\$0

Remarks			Amendment Number		Adjustment Number	
FY 14	PE-D	S-STP	\$1,000,000	\$800,000	\$200,000	\$0






TIP # TN-6 STIP # 82010	TDOT PIN# / VA UPC# PIN # 114173.00 Priorit	y Lead Agency TDOT
County Sullivan	Length 2.8 Miles LRTP# I-2a	Conformity Status N/A
Route/Project Name	Interstate 81 Truck Climbing Lane	Total Project Cost \$10,400,000
Termini or Intersection	EASTBOUND TRUCK CLIMBING LANE FROM JUST EAST OF THE LAKE TO THE AIRPORT PARKWAY (SR-357) INTERCHANGE.	BRIDGE OVER PATRICK HENRY
Project Description	CONSTRUCT TRUCK CLIMBING LANE.	

Fiscal Year	Phase	Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
FY 17	CONST	ACNHPP	\$9,400,000	\$0	\$9,400,000	\$0

Funds have already been obligated for this project in FY '14, which includes funding for the NEPA process

The Interstate 81 truck climbing lane between Exits 59 and 63 is part of the area's LRTP under the TSM (traffic systems management) category. The development of improvements to the interstate system is an important part of maintaining acceptable traffic flow through the region.



Kingsport MTPO 2017 - 2021 TIP Highway Funding Summary

Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 17

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
ACNHPP	\$9,400,000	\$0	\$9,400,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHISP	\$16,250	\$14,625	\$1,625	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$390,990	\$312,792	\$78,198	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$7,460,542	\$5,968,434	\$1,492,108	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$3,060,000	\$2,490,000	\$250,000	\$320,000	-\$570,000	\$1,760,000	\$1,190,000
TAP - Gen	\$940,000	\$752,000	\$0	\$188,000	\$0	0	0
O & M (Local)	\$14,200,000	\$0	\$0	\$14,200,000	\$0	0	0
Totals:	\$35,623,716	\$9,678,192	\$11,237,524	\$14,708,000	\$0	1,760,000	1,190,000

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds \$484,000 added from previous TIP

Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 18

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHSIP	\$16,250	\$14,625	\$1,625	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$390,990	\$312,792	\$78,198	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$4,160,000	\$528,000	\$3,600,000	\$32,000	\$21,566	\$1,318,400	\$1,339,966
TAP - Gen	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
O & M (Local)	\$14,342,000	\$0	\$0	\$14,342,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals:	\$20,525,716	\$2,164,192	\$3,987,524	\$14,374,000	\$21,566	\$1,318,400	\$1,339,966

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 19

		Tomics	scc mgmway rotals	10. 1 1 13			
Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHSIP	\$16,250	\$14,625	\$1,625	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$390,990	\$312,792	\$78,198	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108				
L-STP (Local)*	\$400,000	\$320,000	\$0	\$80,000	\$1,019,966	\$1,357,952	\$2,377,918
O & M (Local)	\$14,485,420	\$0	\$0	\$14,485,420			
Totals:	\$16,909,136	\$1,956,192	\$387,524	\$14,565,420	\$1,019,966		

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 20

			<u> </u>				
Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHISP	\$16,250	\$14,625	\$1,625	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$390,990	\$312,792	\$78,198	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$2,800,000	\$2,240,000	\$0	\$560,000	\$137,918	\$1,398,690	\$1,536,608
O & M (Local)	\$14,630,274	\$0	\$0	\$4,121,204	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals:	\$19,453,990	\$3,876,192	\$387,524	\$4,681,204	\$137,918	\$1,398,690	\$1,536,608

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

		Territos	sace ingliway rotals	1011121			
Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available unprogramed Balance
ACNHPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHISP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$22,653,990	\$2,560,000	\$0	\$640,000	-\$1,023,392	\$1,440,651	\$417,259
O & M (Local)	\$14,776,577	\$0	\$0	\$14,776,577	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals:	\$37,430,567	\$2,560,000	\$0	\$15,416,577	\$0	\$0	\$0

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

^{**} suplus or deficit represents the MPO's objective to maintain fiscal constraints for projects and funding designated within the Kingsport MPO area

A RESOLUTION BY THE EXECUTIVE BOARD

OF THE KINGSPORT METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION AMENDING THE VIRGINIA PORTION OF THE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PLAN

(TIP AMENDMENT#1)

WHEREAS, the Kingsport Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP) is a document that continually evolves and needs amended; and

WHEREAS, the Memorandum of Understanding between the Commonwealth of Virginia and the Kingsport MTPO outlines the requirements to amend the TIP; and

WHEREAS, The Virginia Department of Transportation wishes to add the six year STIP projects and groupings within the Kingsport MTPO area of Scott County Virginia into the TIP; and

WHEREAS, The amendment complies with the requirements of the Kingsport MTPO Public Participation Plan; and

WHEREAS, The MTPO staff used criteria from Federal Metropolitan Transportation Planning Regulations found in 23 CFR 450.216 and 450.326 to ensure compliance; and

WHEREAS, In accordance with requirements of the U.S. Department of Transportation, amendments to the transportation improvement plan are to receive final approval from the Executive Board of the local Metropolitan Planning Organization.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE EXECUTIVE BOARD OF THE KINGSPORT METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION AS FOLLOWS:

The proposed amendments to the Virginia portion of the Kingsport Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization Transportation Improvement plan shall be known as *TIP Amendment # 1* is hereby approved as presented.

Tilden Jeff Fleming

Chairman, Executive Board

Troy J. Ebbert

Chairman, Executive Staff

Date

Date

Kingsport MPO

Primary Projects

UPC N	0	12764	SCOPE	New Construction F	Roadway	·	
SYSTE	M	Primary	JURISDICTION	Scott County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJE	CT	RTE 72 - CONSTRI	JCT 2 LANES ON N	IEW LOCATION - PE	& RW ONLY	ADMIN BY	VDOT
DESCF	RIPTION	FROM: 0.394 KILO	METER SOUTH EC	L WEBER CITY TO:	0.120 KILOMETER	NORTH ROUTE 71	(3.0900 KM)
PROGR	RAM NOTE	All funding based or	n current allocations	estimate.			
ROUTE	STREET	0072				TOTAL COST	\$14,511,633
- W U	FUND SOL	JRCE	MATCH	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY21
PE AC	Federal - A	С	\$0	\$7,423,502	\$0	\$0	\$0
RW	Federal - S	TP/STBG		(\$1,654,569)	\$0	\$0	\$0

Kingsport MPO

Project Groupings

GROU	JPING	Construction : Brid	dge Rehabilitation/Rep	olacement/Reconst	uction				
ROUT	E/STREET						TOTAL COST		\$10,906,678
	FUND SOL	JRCE	MATCH	FY18	FY19		FY20	FY21	
PE	Federal - B	R	\$47,367	\$189,46	9	\$0	\$0		\$0
PE AC	Federal - A	C	\$150,000	\$600,00	0	\$0	\$0		\$0
RW	Federal - B	R	\$13,725	\$54,89	8	\$0	\$0		\$0
	Federal - N	IHS/NHPP	\$2,282	\$9,12	9	\$0	\$0		\$0
RWT	OTAL	Mile Land	\$16,007	\$64,02	7	\$0	\$0		\$0
RW AC	Federal - A	C	\$9,576	\$38,30	3	\$0	\$0		\$0
CN	Federal - A	C CONVERSION	\$101,409	\$405,63	6	\$0	\$(\$0
	Federal - B	R	(\$112,425)	(\$449,699	9)	\$0	\$(\$0
CN TO	OTAL	A 18 12 1	(\$11,016)	(\$44,063	3)	\$0	\$1		\$0
GROU	IPING	Construction : Rai	l						
ROUT	E/STREET						TOTAL COST		
	FUND SOL	JRCE	MATCH	FY18	FY19		FY20	FY21	
			\$0	\$	0	\$0	S		\$0
GROU	IDING	Construction : Saf	ety/ITS/Operational In	nnrovements					
	E/STREET	Construction . Sai	ety/113/Operational in	nprovements			TOTAL COST		\$21,855,150
KOUT	FUND SOL	IDCE	IMATCH	FY18	FY19		FY20	FY21	\$21,000,100
CN		C CONVERSION	\$140,727	\$	and the same	\$1,266,546	Minima.	The second	\$0
CIN	Federal - H		\$310,000			\$1,200,340		-	\$0
CN TO		ISIF	\$450,728	Value 100 -	-	\$1,266,546		_	\$0
CN	Federal - A	C	\$140,727	\$1,266,54	_	\$1,200,340		-	\$0
AC	rederal - A		\$140,727	\$1,200,34	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	1	
GROU	IPING	Construction : Tra	nsportation Enhancer	nent/Byway/Non-Tr	aditional			-21	
ROUT	E/STREET						TOTAL COST		\$487,500
	FUND SOL	JRCE	MATCH	FY18	FY19		FY20	FY21	
			\$0	\$	0	\$0	\$		\$0
GROU	IPING	Maintenance : Pre	eventive Maintenance	and System Preser	vation				
PROG	RAM NOTE		to be obligated distric			fied.			
-	E/STREET						TOTAL COST		
	FUND SOL	JRCE	MATCH	FY18	FY19		FY20	FY21	100
CN	Federal - S		\$0	Contract of the second	1	\$2,835,024	\$3,590,70	9	\$3,605,146
GROU	IPING	Maintenance : Pre	eventive Maintenance	for Bridges					
PROG	RAM NOTE		to be obligated distric		re identif	fied.			
	E/STREET						TOTAL COST	-	
	FUND SOL	JRCE	MATCH	FY18	FY19		FY20	FY21	
CN	Federal - B	READ VICE.	\$0	ST VINSEA TO THE TOTAL THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TO TH	1	\$1,031,102	\$1,305,94	3	\$1,311,197
	Federal - S		\$0		_	\$1,997,193		1	\$2,539,722
CN TO		LEIVE MINER	\$0	\$3,696,71	2	\$3,028,295	\$3,835,49	7	\$3,850,919

MPO TIP Report

GRO	UPING	Maintenance :	Traffic and Safety	Operat	tions			
PRO	GRAM NOTE	Funding identi	fied to be obligated	distric	twide as projects are	e identified.		
ROU	TE/STREET						TOTAL COST	
U.A.S	FUND SOL	IRCE	MATCH		FY18	FY19	FY20	FY21
CN	Federal - S	TP/STBG		\$0	\$1,121,531	\$918,743	\$1,163,637	\$1,168,315

FFY18-21 Working STIP

FEDERAL FUNDING CATEGORIES FISCAL CONSTRAINT BY YEAR TABLE C: Kingsport MPO

Highway Projects FFY 2018 - 2021

A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	The state of the s	FFY 2018	FFY 2019	019	FFY 2020	2020	FFY 2021	021	TOTAL	AL
Fund Source	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation
Federal								THE REAL PROPERTY.	STATE OF STREET	
BR/BROS	(\$205,332)	(\$205,332)	0\$	0\$	0\$	\$0	0\$	0\$	(\$205,332)	(\$205,332)
NHS/NHPP	\$9,129	\$9,129	0\$	\$0	\$0	\$0	0\$	0\$	\$9,129	\$9,129
STP/STBG	\$1,135,434	\$1,135,434	0\$	0\$	\$0	0\$	0\$	0\$	\$1,135,434	\$1,135,434
Subtotal Federal	\$939,231	\$939,231	0\$	0\$	\$0	0\$	0\$	0\$	\$939,231	\$939,231
Other										
State Match	\$373,374	\$373,374	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	\$373,374	\$373,374
Subtotal Other	\$373,374	\$373,374	0\$	\$0	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	\$373,374	\$373,374
Total	\$1,312,605	\$1,312,605	0\$	0\$	\$0	0\$	0\$	0\$	\$1,312,605	\$1,312,605
Federal - ACC (1)								B. A. B. C. C. M.	Charge and the	
HSIP	0\$	\$0	\$1,266,546	\$1,266,546	\$0	0\$	\$0	0\$	\$1,266,546	\$1,266,546
BR	\$405,636	\$405,636	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0\$	\$405,636	\$405,636
Subtotal Federal - ACC (1)	\$405,636	\$405,636	\$1,266,546	\$1,266,546	0\$	\$0	0\$	\$0	\$1,672,182	\$1,672,182
Statewide - Federal (4)				House Bearing						
NHFP	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	0\$	0\$	\$0	\$0	\$0	0\$	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000
Subtotal Statewide - Federal (4)	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	0\$	\$0	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000
Maintenance - Federal (5)					STATISTICS OF STREET					
BR/BROS	\$1,258,691	\$1,258,691	\$1,031,102	\$1,031,102	\$1,305,946	\$1,305,946	\$1,311,197	\$1,311,197	\$4,906,936	\$4,906,936
STP/STBG	\$7,020,333	\$7,020,333	\$5,750,960	\$5,750,960	\$7,283,897	\$7,283,897	\$7,313,183	\$7,313,183	\$27,368,373	\$27,368,373
Subtotal Maintenance - Federal (5)	\$8,279,024	\$8,279,024	\$6,782,062	\$6,782,062	\$8,589,843	\$8,589,843	\$8,624,380	\$8,624,380	\$32,275,309	\$32,275,309

ACC - Advance Construction - Funding Included in Federal Category based on year of AC Conversion.

CMAQ/RSTP includes funds for TRANSIT projects. (5) (3) (3) (5)

Multiple MPO Category - Funding to be obligated in Multiple MPO Regions.

Statewide Category - Funding to be obligated Statewide for projects as identified.

Maintenance Projects - Funding to be obligated for maintenance projects as identified.

Appendix A

Projects by Grouping

Kingsport MPO

Construction : Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement/Reconstruction

	Syste	em UPC Juris	diction / Name / Description	Street(Route)	Estimate
Miscellaneous	T19070	Bristol District-wide	0000		\$0
		BRIDGE REHABILITATION	N/REPLACEMENT		
Primary	86598	Scott County	ORBY CANTREL	L HIGHWAY (0023)	\$9,531,799
		SB&NB 23 over NF Holston	R Va struc 1003 & 1108 FED	ID 16543	
		FROM: 0.086 Mi. S. Interse	ection Rte. 707 TO: 0.154 Mi. N	. Intersection Rte. 614 (0.3470 MI)	
Secondary	86594	Scott County	GATE ROAD (06	87)	\$608,243
		Gate Road over Big Mocca	sin Creek Va str. 6102 Fed. ID	16844	
		FROM: .05 Miles from Rte.	613 TO: 1.25 Miles to Rte. 71		
Secondary	103500	Scott County	ROUTE 671 - VA	LLEY CREEK ROAD (0671)	\$766,636
		Bridge (Fed Id 16815) Rte.	671 Over Valley Creek		
		FROM: .60 to Route 678 T	O: .05 from Route 679 (0.0500	MI)	
Construction : Brid	lge Rehabili	itation/Replacement/Reconst	truction Total		\$10,906,678

Construction: Rail

	System	UPC Jurisdiction / Name / Description	Street(Route)	Estimate
Miscellaneous	T19068 Bristol District-	wide 0000		\$0
	CN: RAIL			
Construction : Rail	Total			\$0

Construction: Safety/ITS/Operational Improvements

	Syste	em	UPC Jurisdiction / Name / Description	Street(Route)	Estimate
Interstate	107802	Statewide	9999		\$500,000
		Incident Manag	gement Emergency Evacuation and Detour P	lans	
		FROM: Various	s TO: Various		
Miscellaneous	T19069	Bristol District-v	wide 0000		\$0
		CN: SAFETY/IT	TS/OPERATIONAL/IMPROVEMENTS		
Miscellaneous	93174	Statewide	0000		\$1,104,740
		SafetyAnalyst F	Project		
		FROM: various	TO: various		
Miscellaneous	105481	Statewide	0000		\$1,400,000
		Impement iPeN	IS (Iteris Performance Measrement System)		
		FROM: various	TO: various		
Primary	109438	Scott County	0058		\$7,105,471
		#HB2.FY17 - U	S58/23 Access Management With Park & Ri	de	
		FROM: 0.860 n	ni. W. Rte. 224 TO: 0.250 mi. W. Rte. 224 (0.	.6090 MI)	
Primary	104189	Scott County	WADLOW GAP HV	VY (0224)	\$6,643,794
		Safety Improve	ments-Rte. 224 (Phase II-remove curve)		
		FROM: 0.064 N	Mi. S. Int. Rte. 614 TO: 0.332 Mi. S. Int. rte. 6	14 (0.2570 MI)	

01/2017

Kingsport MPO

Construction	Safety/ITS/Op	perational Im	provements
--------------	---------------	---------------	------------

	System	UPC Jurisdiction / Name / Description	Street(Route)	Estimate
Secondary	293 Scott County	YUMA ROAD (06	14)	\$5,101,145
	RTE 614 - RE	CONSTRUCTION		
	FROM: 0.06 N	li. W. Int. Route 713 TO: 0.03 Mi. E. Route 8	67 WEST (0.8700 MI)	
Construction : Saf	ety/ITS/Operational Improv	ements Total		\$21,855,150

Construction: Transportation Enhancement/Byway/Non-Traditional

	Syst	em	UPC Jurisdiction / Name / Description	Street(Route)	Estimate
Enhancement	94259	Scott County	EN09		\$403,750
		Restoration of	Bush Mill		
Enhancement	108097	Scott County	EN09		\$83,750
		RESTORATIO	N OF BUSH MILL		
Miscellaneous	T19067	Bristol District-	vide 0000		\$0
		CN: TRANSPO	RTATION ENHANCEMENT/BYWAY/OTHE	ER NON-TRADITIONAL	
Construction : Tra	nsportation	Enhancement/B	yway/Non-Traditional Total		\$487,500

Maintenance : Preventive Maintenance and System Preservation

Man Marie	System	UPC Jurisdi	ction / Name / Description	Street(Route)	Estimate
Miscellaneous	T14707 Bristol Dis	trict-wide	0000	***************************************	\$0
	STIP-MN	Bristol: Preventive I	MN and System Preservation		
Maintenance : Pre	ventive Maintenance a	and System Preserv	vation Total		\$0

Maintenance: Preventive Maintenance for Bridges

	System	UPC Jurisdiction / Name / Description	Street(Route)	Estimate
Miscellaneous	T14706 Bristol Dis	trict-wide 0000		\$0
	STIP-MN	Bristol: Preventive MN for Bridges		
Maintenance : Pre	ventive Maintenance for	or Bridges Total		\$0

Maintenance: Traffic and Safety Operations

	System	UPC Jurisdiction / Name / Description	Street(Route)	Estimate
Miscellaneous	T14705 Bristol District-w	ride 0000		\$0
	STIP-MN Bristol	I: Traffic and Safety Operations		
Maintenance · Tra	ffic and Safety Operations To	otal		**
Maintenance . Tra	inc and calcty operations in	otal		\$0

01/2017 77 of 77

Per TDOT – this was an ADJUSTMENT, not an Amendment.

Disregard these documents, see ADJUSTMENT #2 for appropriate documentation.

Lesley Phillips

12/06/2017

Per TDOT – this was an ADJUSTMENT, not an Amendment.

Disregard these documents, see ADJUSTMENT #3 for appropriate documentation.

Lesley Phillips

12/06/2017

RESOLUTION BY

THE EXECUTIVE BOARD

OF THE KINGSPORT METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION (MTPO) AMENDING THE KINGSPORT AREA FY 2017-2021 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PLAN

TIP AMENDMENT #4

WHEREAS, The Kingsport Area FY 2017-2021 Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP) is a document that continually evolves and needs amended; and

WHEREAS, the Memorandum of Understanding between the State of Tennessee and the Kingsport MTPO and the annual Unified Planning Work Program outline the requirements to amend the TIP;

WHEREAS, the Executive Board of Kingsport MTPO and the State of Tennessee wish to amend the FY 2017-2021 TIP to add Project # TN-7, SR 126 / Memorial Boulevard – Phase II (PIN # 105467.02), to include \$5,000,000 in funds for the PE-D phase and total funds of \$64,700,000, as identified and detailed in the attached "New Page / Project" as Project TN-7 and as Amendment #4; and

WHEREAS, TIP amendments comply with the requirements of the Kingsport MTPO's Public Participation plan; and

WHEREAS, the Kingsport MTPO staff used criteria from Federal Metropolitan Transportation Planning regulations found in 23 CFR 450.216 and 450.326 to ensure compliance, and

WHEREAS, in accordance with requirements of the U.S. Department of Transportation, amendments to the Transportation Improvement Plans are to receive final approval from the Executive Board of the local Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE EXECUTIVE BOARD OF THE KINGSPORT METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION AS FOLLOWS:

The proposed amendment to the Tennessee portion of the Kingsport Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization FY 2017-2021 Transportation Improvement Plan, which shall be known as TIP Amendment #4, is hereby approved as presented.

Mike McIntire

Chairman, Executive Board

Mike Midnin

William A. Albright

Chairman, Executive Staff

11/9/17 Date
11/9/3017

Date






TIP# TN-7 STIP# 1782025	TDOT PIN# / VA UPC# 105467.02 Priority Lead Agency TDOT
County SULLIVAN	Length 4.5 LRTP# Page #4-5 Conformity Status N/A
Route/Project Name	SR-126 (MEMORIAL BLVD) - PHASE II Total Project Cost \$64,700,000
Termini or Intersection	(MEMORIAL BOULEVARD), FROM EAST OF COOKS VALLEY ROAD TO I-81 IN KINGSPORT.
Project Description	WIDEN TO A MULTI-LANE FACILITY

Fiscal Year	Phase	Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
FY 17	PE-D	STBG	\$5,000,000	\$4,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$0

Remarks	Amendment Number	4	Adjustment Number
TDOT Amendment #58 - New Proje	ect		





Tennessee Division

November 29, 2017

404 BNA Drive, Suite 508 Nashville, Tennessee 37217 Phone (615) 781-5770

> In Reply Refer To: HPP-TN

Mr. Brian Hurst Manager, Program Development and Scheduling Tennessee Department of Transportation James K. Polk Building, Suite 600 Nashville, TN 37243

Subject: Statewide Transportation Improvement Program Amendment #58

Dear Mr. Hurst:

The Federal Highway Administration has reviewed your electronic submittal of the November 17, 2017 request of concurrence with Amendment 58 to the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). The Federal Highway Administration concurs with the project change to the FY 2017-2020 STIP as listed below:

TIP Number	Action	Project/Termini	FY	Phase	Funding Source	Programmed Federal Funds
TN-7	Add new project	SR-126 (Memorial Blvd) – Phase II from East of Cooks Valley Road to Interstate 81 in Kingsport and Sullivan County	2017	PE-D	STBG	\$4,000,000

If you have any questions regarding this concurrence, please contact me at (615) 781-5767 or sean.santalla@dot.gov.

Sincerely,

Sean Santalla

Planning & Air Quality Specialist

cc: Ms. Theresa Claxton, Planning & Program Mgmt. Team Leader, FHWA Tennessee Division

Mr. Andres Ramirez, General Engineer, FTA Region IV

Mr. Ronnie Porter, Director, Program Development, TDOT

Mr. Robert Hayzlett, Transportation Program Supervisor, Program Development, TDOT

RESOLUTION BY

THE EXECUTIVE BOARD

OF THE KINGSPORT METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION (MTPO) AMENDING THE KINGSPORT AREA FY 2017-2021 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PLAN

TIP AMENDMENT #5

WHEREAS, The Kingsport Area FY 2017-2021 Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP) is a document that continually evolves and needs amended; and

WHEREAS, the Memorandum of Understanding between the State of Tennessee and the Kingsport MTPO and the annual Unified Planning Work Program outline the requirements to amend the TIP;

WHEREAS, the Executive Board of Kingsport MTPO and the State of Tennessee wish to amend the FY 2017-2021 TIP Project # TN-5, SR 126 / Memorial Boulevard — Phase I (PIN # 105467.01), to add \$18,000,000 to the Right-of-Way Phase, as identified and detailed in the attached "New Page" for TN-5 and as Amendment #5; and

WHEREAS, TIP amendments comply with the requirements of the Kingsport MTPO's Public Participation plan; and

WHEREAS, the Kingsport MTPO staff used criteria from Federal Metropolitan Transportation Planning regulations found in 23 CFR 450.216 and 450.326 to ensure compliance, and

WHEREAS, in accordance with requirements of the U.S. Department of Transportation, amendments to the Transportation Improvement Plans are to receive final approval from the Executive Board of the local Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE EXECUTIVE BOARD OF THE KINGSPORT METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION AS FOLLOWS:

The proposed amendment to the Tennessee portion of the Kingsport Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization FY 2017-2021 Transportation Improvement Plan, which shall be known as TIP Amendment #5, is hereby approved as presented.

Mike McIntire

Chairman, Executive Board

Meke My Untire

William A. Albright

Chairman, Executive Staff

Date

Date


Amended Page



TIP#	TN-5	TDOT PIN# / VA UPC#	05467.01 Priority	Lead Agency	TDOT
STIP	1782020				
County	Sullivan	Length 4.1 Miles	LRTP# Page # 4-5	Conformity Status	N/A
Route/Pro	oject Name	SR-126 (Memorial Blvd) - Phase I		Total Project Cost	\$68,000,000
Termini o	or Intersection	From East Center Street in Kingsport to	East of Cooks Valley Road		
Project D	escription	Widen 2-lane to 4-lane, 5-lane, and 3-la	ane		

Fiscal Year	Phase	Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
FY-17	ROW/Utilities	ACSTBG	\$24,000,000	\$0	\$24,000,000	\$0

Remarks			Amendment Number	5	Adjustment Number						
FY 14	PE-D	S-STP	\$1,000,000	\$800,000	\$200,000	\$0					
TDOT Amendr	TDOT Amendment #61 - ROW/Utilities estimate increased from \$6,000,000 to \$24,000,000										






Old Page

TIP#	TN-5	TDOT PIN# / VA UPC# PIN	V # 105467.01	Priority	Lead Agency	TDOT
STIP	1782020					
County	Sullivan	Length 4.1 Miles	LRTP#	Page # 4-5	Conformity Status	N/A
Route/Pro	ject Name	SR-126 (Memorial Blvd) - Phase I			Total Project Cost	\$50,000,000
Termini o	r Intersection	From East Center Street in Kingsport t	to East of Cooks Va	lley Road		
Project De	escription	Widen 2-Lane to 4-Lane, 5-Lane, and	3-Lane			

Fiscal Year	Phase	Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
FY-17	ROW	ACSTBG	\$6,000,000	\$0	\$6,000,000	\$0

Remarks			Amendment Number	5	Adjustment Number	
FY 14	PE-D	S-STP	\$1,000,000	\$800,000	\$200,000	\$0



Kingsport MTPO 2017 - 2021 TIP Highway Funding Summary

Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 17

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
ACNHPP (State)	\$9,400,000	\$0	\$9,400,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ACSTBG (State)	\$24,000,000	\$0	\$24,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP	\$1,155,934	\$1,040,341	\$115,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHISP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$3,890,900	\$3,112,720	\$778,180	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$6,460,542	\$5,168,434	\$1,292,108	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$3,454,000	\$3,018,500	\$392,800	\$298,000	-\$435,500	\$1,760,000	\$1,324,500
TAP - Gen	\$940,000	\$752,000	\$0	\$188,000	\$0	.0	C
O & M (Local)	\$14,200,000	\$0	\$0	\$14,200,000	\$0	0	
Totals:	\$63,517,626	\$13,108,245	\$35,978,682	\$14,686,000	\$0	1,760,000	1,324,500

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds \$484,000 added from previous TIP

Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 18

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ACPHSIP	\$552,000	\$0	\$552,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHSIP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$390,900	\$312,720	\$78,180	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$4,415,300	\$783,300	\$3,600,000	\$32,000	\$156,066	\$1,318,400	\$1,474,466
TAP - Gen	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
O & M (Local)	\$14,342,000	\$0	\$0	\$14,342,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals:	\$21,332,926	\$2,421,045	\$4,537,882	\$14,374,000	\$156,066	\$1,318,400	\$1,474,466

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 19

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHSIP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$390,900	\$312,720	\$78,180	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108	\$0			
L-STP (Local)*	\$400,000	\$320,000	\$0	\$80,000	\$1,154,466	\$1,357,952	\$2,512,418
O & M (Local)	\$14,485,420	\$0	\$0	\$14,485,420			
Totals:	\$16,909,046	\$1,957,745	\$385,882	\$14,565,420	\$1,154,466		

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 20

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHISP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$390,900	\$312,720	\$78,180	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$3,200,000	\$2,240,000	\$0	\$560,000	\$272,418	\$1,398,690	\$1,671,108
O & M (Local)	\$14,630,274	\$0	\$0	\$4,121,204	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals:	\$19,853,900	\$3,877,745	\$385,882	\$4,681,204	\$272,418	\$1,398,690	\$1,671,108

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available unprogramed Balance
ACNHPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHISP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$23,053,900	\$2,560,000	\$0	\$640,000	-\$888,892	\$1,440,651	\$551,759
O & M (Local)	\$14,776,577	\$0	\$0	\$14,776,577	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals:	\$37,830,477	\$2,560,000	\$0	\$15,416,577	\$0	\$0	\$0

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

^{**} suplus or deficit represents the MPO's objective to maintain fiscal constraints for projects and funding designated within the Kingsport MPO area

Kingsport MTPO 2017 - 2021 TIP Highway Funding Summary

Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 17

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
ACNHPP (State)	\$9,400,000	\$0	\$9,400,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ACSTBG (State)	\$6,000,000	\$0	\$6,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP	\$1,155,934	\$1,040,341	\$115,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHISP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$3,890,900	\$3,112,720	\$778,180	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$3,454,000	\$3,018,500	\$392,800	\$298,000	-\$435,500	\$1,760,000	\$1,324,500
TAP - Gen	\$940,000	\$752,000	\$0	\$188,000	\$0	0	0
O & M (Local)	\$14,200,000	\$0	\$0	\$14,200,000	\$0	0	0
Totals:	\$40,517,626	\$9,108,245	\$16,978,682	\$14,686,000	\$0	1,760,000	1,324,500

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds \$484,000 added from previous TIP

Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 18

		10111100	occinginal rotals				
Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ACPHSIP	\$552,000	\$0	\$552,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHSIP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$390,900	\$312,720	\$78,180	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$4,415,300	\$783,300	\$3,600,000	\$32,000	\$156,066	\$1,318,400	\$1,474,466
TAP - Gen	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
O & M (Local)	\$14,342,000	\$0	\$0	\$14,342,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals:	\$21,332,926	\$2,421,045	\$4,537,882	\$14,374,000	\$156,066	\$1,318,400	\$1,474,466

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 19

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHSIP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$390,900	\$312,720	\$78,180	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108	\$0			
L-STP (Local)*	\$400,000	\$320,000	\$0	\$80,000	\$1,154,466	\$1,357,952	\$2,512,418
O & M (Local)	\$14,485,420	\$0	\$0	\$14,485,420			
Totals:	\$16,909,046	\$1,957,745	\$385,882	\$14,565,420	\$1,154,466		

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 20

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHISP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$390,900	\$312,720	\$78,180	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$3,200,000	\$2,240,000	\$0	\$560,000	\$272,418	\$1,398,690	\$1,671,108
O & M (Local)	\$14,630,274	\$0	\$0	\$4,121,204	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals:	\$19,853,900	\$3,877,745	\$385,882	\$4,681,204	\$272,418	\$1,398,690	\$1,671,108

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available unprogramed Balance
ACNHPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHISP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$23,053,900	\$2,560,000	\$0	\$640,000	-\$888,892	\$1,440,651	\$551,759
O & M (Local)	\$14,776,577	\$0	\$0	\$14,776,577	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals:	\$37,830,477	\$2,560,000	\$0	\$15,416,577	\$0	\$0	\$0

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

^{**} suplus or deficit represents the MPO's objective to maintain fiscal constraints for projects and funding designated within the Kingsport MPO area



Tennessee Division

November 29, 2017

404 BNA Drive, Suite 508 Nashville, Tennessee 37217 Phone (615) 781-5770

> In Reply Refer To: HPP-TN

Mr. Brian Hurst Manager, Program Development and Scheduling Tennessee Department of Transportation James K. Polk Building, Suite 600 Nashville, TN 37243

Subject: Statewide Transportation Improvement Program Amendment #61

Dear Mr. Hurst:

The Federal Highway Administration has reviewed your electronic submittal of the November 17, 2017 request of concurrence with Amendment 61 to the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). The Federal Highway Administration concurs with the project change to the FY 2017-2020 STIP as listed below:

TIP Number	Action	Project/Termini	FY	Phase	Funding Source	Programmed Federal Funds
TN-5	Increase funding, total project cost	SR-126 (Memorial Blvd) – Phase I from East Center Street in Kingsport to East of Cooks Valley Road	2017	ROW/ Utilities	ACSTBG	\$0

If you have any questions regarding this concurrence, please contact me at (615) 781-5767 or sean.santalla@dot.gov.

Sincerely,

Sean Santalla

Planning & Air Quality Specialist

cc: Ms. Theresa Claxton, Planning & Program Mgmt. Team Leader, FHWA Tennessee Division

Mr. Andres Ramirez, General Engineer, FTA Region IV

Mr. Ronnie Porter, Director, Program Development, TDOT

Mr. Robert Hayzlett, Transportation Program Supervisor, Program Development, TDOT

RESOLUTION BY

THE EXECUTIVE BOARD

OF THE KINGSPORT METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION (MTPO) AMENDING THE KINGSPORT AREA FY 2017-2021 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PLAN

TIP AMENDMENT #6

WHEREAS, The Kingsport Area FY 2017-2021 Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP) is a document that continually evolves and needs amended; and

WHEREAS, the Memorandum of Understanding between the State of Tennessee and the Kingsport MTPO and the annual Unified Planning Work Program outline the requirements to amend the TIP;

WHEREAS, the City of Kingsport and the Executive Board of the Kingsport MTPO wishes to amend the FY 2017-2021 TIP to add Project # L-STBG-8, "Lynn Garden Drive Coordinated Signal System" (PIN # 121579.00), which includes a total of \$255,300 in total funds for the construction phase, as identified and detailed in the attached page for Amendment #6; and

WHEREAS, TIP amendments comply with the requirements of the Kingsport MTPO's Public Participation plan; and

WHEREAS, the Kingsport MTPO staff used criteria from Federal Metropolitan Transportation Planning regulations found in 23 CFR 450.216 and 450.326 to ensure compliance, and

WHEREAS, in accordance with requirements of the U.S. Department of Transportation, amendments to the Transportation Improvement Plans are to receive final approval from the Executive Board of the local Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE EXECUTIVE BOARD OF THE KINGSPORT METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION AS FOLLOWS:

The proposed amendment to the Tennessee portion of the Kingsport Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization FY 2017-2021 Transportation Improvement Plan, which shall be known as TIP Amendment #6, is hereby approved as presented.

Mike McIntire

Chairman, Executive Board

Mike Mc Ontie

William A. Albright

Chairman, Executive Staff

Bet 18, 2017

Date




TIP# L-STBG-8	TDOT PIN# / VA UPC# 121579.00	Lead Agency City of Kingsport					
County Sullivan	Length 2.1 LRTP# Page # 7-2	Conformity Status N/A					
Route/Project Name	Lynn Garden Drive (State Route 36) Coordinated Signal System	Total Project Cost \$307,300					
Termini or Intersection	State Route 1 (US 11W/Stone Drive) to West Carters Valley Road						
Project Description Installation of a coordinated signal system along the Lynn Garden Drive corridor allowing traffic to move in a efficient manner, reducing travel time and emissions.							

Fiscal Year	Phase	Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
FY18	CONST	L-STBG	\$255,300	\$255,300	\$0	\$0

Remarks
Amendment Number
6
Adjustment Number

\$52,000 previously obligated for PE
This project was in the previous TIP and was inadvertently left out of the current TIP.
TDOT Amendment #92



Kingsport MTPO 2017 - 2021 TIP Highway Funding Summary Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 17

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
ACNHPP (State)	\$9,400,000	\$0	\$9,400,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ACSTBG (State)	\$6,000,000	\$0	\$6,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP	\$1,155,934	\$1,040,341	\$115,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHISP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$3,890,900	\$3,112,720	\$778,180	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$3,454,000	\$3,018,500	\$392,800	\$298,000	-\$435,500	\$1,760,000	\$1,324,500
TAP - Gen	\$940,000	\$752,000	\$0	\$188,000	\$0	0	C
O & M (Local)	\$14,200,000	\$0	\$0	\$14,200,000	\$0	0	C
Totals:	\$40,517,626	\$9,108,245	\$16,978,682	\$14,686,000	\$0	1,760,000	1,324,500

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds \$484,000 added from previous TIP

Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 18

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ACPHSIP	\$552,000	\$0	\$552,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHSIP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$390,900	\$312,720	\$78,180	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$4,415,300	\$783,300	\$3,600,000	\$32,000	\$156,066	\$1,318,400	\$1,474,466
TAP - Gen	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
O & M (Local)	\$14,342,000	\$0	\$0	\$14,342,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals:	\$21,332,926	\$2,421,045	\$4,537,882	\$14,374,000	\$156,066	\$1,318,400	\$1,474,466

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 19

		rennes	see nighway rotals	101 11 19			
Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHSIP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$390,900	\$312,720	\$78,180	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108	\$0			
L-STP (Local)*	\$400,000	\$320,000	\$0	\$80,000	\$1,154,466	\$1,357,952	\$2,512,418
O & M (Local)	\$14,485,420	\$0	\$0	\$14,485,420			
Totals:	\$16,909,046	\$1,957,745	\$385,882	\$14,565,420	\$1,154,466		

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 20

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHISP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$390,900	\$312,720	\$78,180	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$3,200,000	\$2,240,000	\$0	\$560,000	\$272,418	\$1,398,690	\$1,671,108
O & M (Local)	\$14,630,274	\$0	\$0	\$4,121,204	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals:	\$19,853,900	\$3,877,745	\$385,882	\$4,681,204	\$272,418	\$1,398,690	\$1,671,108

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available unprogramed Balance
ACNHPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHISP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$23,053,900	\$2,560,000	\$0	\$640,000	-\$888,892	\$1,440,651	\$551,759
O & M (Local)	\$14,776,577	\$0	\$0	\$14,776,577	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals:	\$37,830,477	\$2,560,000	\$0	\$15,416,577	\$0	\$0	\$0

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

^{**} suplus or deficit represents the MPO's objective to maintain fiscal constraints for projects and funding designated within the Kingsport MPO area

Kingsport MTPO 2017 - 2021 TIP Highway Funding Summary Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 17

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
ACNHPP (State)	\$9,400,000	\$0	\$9,400,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ACSTBG (State)	\$6,000,000	\$0	\$6,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP	\$1,155,934	\$1,040,341	\$115,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHISP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$3,890,900	\$3,112,720	\$778,180	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$3,454,000	\$2,763,200	\$392,800	\$298,000	-\$690,800	\$1,760,000	\$1,069,200
TAP - Gen	\$940,000	\$752,000	\$0	\$188,000	\$0	0	0
O & M (Local)	\$14,200,000	\$0	\$0	\$14,200,000	\$0	0	0
Totals:	\$40,517,626	\$8,852,945	\$16,978,682	\$14,686,000	\$0	1,760,000	1,069,200

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds \$484,000 added from previous TIP

Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 18

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ACPHSIP	\$552,000	\$0	\$552,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHSIP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$390,900	\$312,720	\$78,180	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$4,160,000	\$528,000	\$3,600,000	\$32,000	-\$99,234	\$1,318,400	\$1,219,166
TAP - Gen	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
O & M (Local)	\$14,342,000	\$0	\$0	\$14,342,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals:	\$21,077,626	\$2,165,745	\$4,537,882	\$14,374,000	-\$99,234	\$1,318,400	\$1,219,166

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 19

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHSIP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$390,900	\$312,720	\$78,180	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108	\$0			
L-STP (Local)*	\$400,000	\$320,000	\$0	\$80,000	\$899,166	\$1,357,952	\$2,257,118
O & M (Local)	\$14,485,420	\$0	\$0	\$14,485,420			
Totals:	\$16,909,046	\$1,957,745	\$385,882	\$14,565,420	\$899,166		

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHISP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$390,900	\$312,720	\$78,180	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$3,200,000	\$2,240,000	\$0	\$560,000	\$17,118	\$1,398,690	\$1,415,808
O & M (Local)	\$14,630,274	\$0	\$0	\$4,121,204	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals:	\$19,853,900	\$3,877,745	\$385,882	\$4,681,204	\$17,118	\$1,398,690	\$1,415,808

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available unprogramed Balance
ACNHPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHISP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$23,053,900	\$2,560,000	\$0	\$640,000	-\$1,144,192	\$1,440,651	\$296,459
O & M (Local)	\$14,776,577	\$0	\$0	\$14,776,577	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals:	\$37,830,477	\$2,560,000	\$0	\$15,416,577	\$0	\$0	\$0

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

^{**} suplus or deficit represents the MPO's objective to maintain fiscal constraints for projects and funding designated within the Kingsport MPO area



Tennessee Division

November 29, 2017

404 BNA Drive, Suite 508 Nashville, Tennessee 37217 Phone (615) 781-5770

> In Reply Refer To: HPP-TN

Mr. Brian Hurst Manager, Program Development and Scheduling Tennessee Department of Transportation James K. Polk Building, Suite 600 Nashville, TN 37243

Subject: Statewide Transportation Improvement Program Amendment #92

Dear Mr. Hurst:

The Federal Highway Administration has reviewed your electronic submittal of the November 15, 2017 request of concurrence with Amendment 92 to the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). The Federal Highway Administration concurs with the project change to the FY 2017-2020 STIP as listed below:

TIP Number	Action	Project/Termini	FY	Phase	Funding Source	Programmed Federal Funds
L-STBG-8	Add new project	Lynn Garden Drive (State Route 36) Coordinated Signal System from State Route 1 (US 11 W/Stone Drive) to West Carters Valley Road	2018	CONST	L-STBG	\$255,300

If you have any questions regarding this concurrence, please contact me at (615) 781-5767 or sean.santalla@dot.gov.

Sincerely,

Sean Santalla

Planning & Air Quality Specialist

cc: Ms. Theresa Claxton, Planning & Program Mgmt. Team Leader, FHWA Tennessee Division

Mr. Andres Ramirez, General Engineer, FTA Region IV

Mr. Ronnie Porter, Director, Program Development, TDOT

Mr. Robert Hayzlett, Transportation Program Supervisor, Program Development, TDOT

RESOLUTION BY

THE EXECUTIVE BOARD

OF THE KINGSPORT METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION (MTPO) AMENDING THE KINGSPORT AREA FY 2017-2021 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PLAN

TIP AMENDMENT #7 - VDOT RAIL PROJECT GROUPING

WHEREAS, The Kingsport Area FY 2017-2021 Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP) is a document that continually evolves and needs amended; and

WHEREAS, the Memorandum of Understanding between the Commonwealth of Virginia and the Kingsport MTPO outlines the requirements to amend the TIP;

WHEREAS, the Executive Board of the Kingsport MTPO and the Virginia Department of Transportation wish to amend the FY 2017-2021 TIP by adding Virginia (VDOT) Rail Safety Group funding for FY18, FY19, and FY20 for the Kingsport MTPO area as identified and detailed in the attached TIP table for Amendment #7; and

WHEREAS, TIP amendments comply with the requirements of the Kingsport MTPO's Public Participation Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Kingsport MTPO staff used criteria from Federal Metropolitan Transportation Planning regulations found in 23 CFR 450.216 and 450.326 to ensure compliance, and

WHEREAS, in accordance with requirements of the U.S. Department of Transportation, amendments to the Transportation Improvement Plan are to receive final approval from the Executive Board of the local Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE EXECUTIVE BOARD OF THE KINGSPORT METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION AS FOLLOWS:

The proposed amendment to the Virginia portion of the Kingsport Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization FY 2017-2021 Transportation Improvement Plan, which shall be known as TIP Amendment #7, is hereby approved as presented.

Mike McIntire

Chairman, Executive Board

William A. Albright

Chairman, Executive Staff

 $\frac{11/9/17}{\text{Date}}$

Date

Statewide District

Project Groupings

MPO	STATE OF STATE OF	Kingsport	ngsport								
GROUP	PING	Construction : Rail									
PROGR	RAM NOTE		04,000 (STP/STBG) & P/STBG) FFY20. Bristo								
ROUTE	STREET					TOTAL COST	\$1,000,000				
	FUND SOL	IRCE	MATCH	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY21				
PE	Federal - A	C CONVERSION	\$0	\$0	\$90,000	\$90,000	\$0				
PE	Federal - S	TP/STBG	\$0	\$804,000	\$0	\$0	\$0				
PE AC	Federal - A	С	\$0	\$180,000	\$0	\$0	\$0				
MPO N	ote					•	•				



From:

Claxton, Theresa (FHWA)

To:

Kim Y. Thompson; Allen, Scott (FHWA)

Cc:

Brian Terrell; Robert Hayzlett; Brian Hurst; Rhiannon Chambers; Ronnie Porter; John Kahle; Jeremy Beeman; "TroyEbbert@KingsportTN.gov"; Albright, Bill; Bobby Johnson; Deborah Fleming; Eli Jones; Maria Hunter;

Rhiannon Chambers; Klint Rommel; Carma H. Smith; Daniel Oliver

Subject:

RE: STATE: Adjust 22 1782020

Date:

Monday, February 13, 2017 9:27:05 PM

Attachments:

image001.png

*** This is an EXTERNAL email. Please exercise caution. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email - STS-Security. ***

Kim,

Thank you for submitting this information for our files.

Regards,

Theresa

From: Kim Y. Thompson [mailto:Kim.Y.Thompson@tn.gov]

Sent: Friday, February 03, 2017 6:29 AM

To: Allen, Scott (FHWA); Claxton, Theresa (FHWA)

Cc: Brian Terrell; Robert Hayzlett; Brian Hurst; Rhiannon Chambers; Ronnie Porter; John Kahle; Jeremy Beeman; 'TroyEbbert@KingsportTN.gov'; 'BillAlbright@KingsportTN.gov'; Bobby Johnson; Deborah Fleming; Eli Jones; Maria Hunter; Rhiannon Chambers; Klint Rommel; Carma H. Smith; Daniel Oliver

Subject: STATE: Adjust 22 1782020

Scott,


TDOT is replacing FY 2017 STBG with FY2017 ACSTBG funds.


Thanks.

Kim



Kim Y. Thompson | Transportation Planner TDOT Program Development & Scheduling Office James K. Polk Bldg, 6th Floor 505 Deaderick St., Nashville, TN 37243 p. 615-532-6083 kim.y.thompson@tn.gov tn.gov/tdot





VICINITY MAP




STIP# 82060 County Sull., Hawk. Length LRTP# Conformity Status N	
- Committy Status	I/A
Route/Project Name Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Grouping Total Project Cost \$1,68	38,736
Termini or Intersection Sullivan and Hawkins County	70,730
Project Description See Pages 40 & 41 for details	

Fiscal Year	Phase	Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
FY 17	PE, ROW, CONST	HSIP	\$1,155,934	\$1,040,341	\$115,593	\$0
FY 17	PE, ROW, CONST	PHSIP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0
FY 18	PE, ROW, CONST	HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0
FY 18	PE, ROW, CONST	PHSIP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0
FY 19	PE, ROW, CONST	HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0
FY 19	PE, ROW, CONST	PHSIP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0
FY 20	PE, ROW, CONST	HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0
FY 20	PE, ROW, CONST	PHSIP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0

Adjustment Number
Adjustment Number
2

Adopted 2/9/17

TDOT Adjustment 24 - Increase FY17 HSIP funds by \$1,000,000






TIP#	TN-2	TDOT PIN# / VA UPC# Group	Priority Lead Agency TDO
STIP#	82060		
County	Sull., Hawk.	Length LRTP#	Conformity Status N/A
Route/Pro	ject Name	Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Gr	rouping Total Project Cost \$688,7
Termini o	r Intersection	Sullivan and Hawkins County	
Project De	escription	See Page 38 for details	
		*	

Fiscal Year	Phase	Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
FY 17	PE, ROW, CONST	HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0
FY 17	PE, ROW, CONST	PHSIP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0
FY 18	PE, ROW, CONST	HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0
FY 18	PE, ROW, CONST	PHSIP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0
FY 19	PE, ROW, CONST	HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0
FY 19	PE, ROW, CONST	PHSIP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0
FY 20	PE, ROW, CONST	HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0
FY 20	PE, ROW, CONST	PHSIP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0

Remarks	Amendment Number	Adjustment Number
	7	



Kingsport MTPO 2017 - 2021 TIP Highway Funding Summary Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 17

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
ACNHPP	\$9,400,000	\$0	\$9,400,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP	\$1,155,934	\$1,040,341	\$115,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHISP	\$16,250	\$14,625	\$1,625	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$3,890,900	\$3,112,720	\$778,180	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$7,460,542	\$5,968,434	\$1,492,108	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$3,060,000	\$2,490,000	\$250,000	\$320,000	-\$570,000	\$1,760,000	\$1,190,000
TAP - Gen	\$940,000	\$752,000	\$0	\$188,000	\$0	0	0
O & M (Local)	\$14,200,000	\$0	\$0	\$14,200,000	\$0	0	0
Totals:	\$40,123,626	\$13,378,120	\$12,037,506	\$14,708,000	\$0	1,760,000	1,190,000

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds \$484,000 added from previous TIP

Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 18

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHSIP	\$16,250	\$14,625	\$1,625	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$390,990	\$312,792	\$78,198	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$4,160,000	\$528,000	\$3,600,000	\$32,000	\$21,566	\$1,318,400	\$1,339,966
TAP - Gen	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
O & M (Local)	\$14,342,000	\$0	\$0	\$14,342,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals:	\$20,525,716	\$2,164,192	\$3,987,524	\$14,374,000	\$21,566	\$1,318,400	\$1,339,966

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 19

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHSIP	\$16,250	\$14,625	\$1,625	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$390,990	\$312,792	\$78,198	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108				
L-STP (Local)*	\$400,000	\$320,000	\$0	\$80,000	\$1,019,966	\$1,357,952	\$2,377,918
O & M (Local)	\$14,485,420	\$0	\$0	\$14,485,420			
Totals:	\$16,909,136	\$1,956,192	\$387,524	\$14,565,420	\$1,019,966	0	

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 20

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHISP	\$16,250	\$14,625	\$1,625	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$390,990	\$312,792	\$78,198	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$2,800,000	\$2,240,000	\$0	\$560,000	\$137,918	\$1,398,690	\$1,536,608
O & M (Local)	\$14,630,274	\$0	\$0	\$4,121,204	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals:	\$19,453,990	\$3,876,192	\$387,524	\$4,681,204	\$137,918	\$1,398,690	\$1,536,608

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available unprogramed Balance
ACNHPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHISP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$22,653,990	\$2,560,000	\$0	\$640,000	-\$1,023,392	\$1,440,651	\$417,259
O & M (Local)	\$14,776,577	\$0	\$0	\$14,776,577	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals:	\$37,430,567	\$2,560,000	\$0	\$15,416,577	\$0	\$0	\$0

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

^{**} suplus or deficit represents the MPO's objective to maintain fiscal constraints for projects and funding designated within the Kingsport MPO area

Kingsport MTPO 2017 - 2021 TIP Highway Funding Summary Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 17

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
ACNHPP	\$9,400,000	\$0	\$9,400,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHISP	\$16,250	\$14,625	\$1,625	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$390,990	\$312,792	\$78,198	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$7,460,542	\$5,968,434	\$1,492,108	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$3,060,000	\$2,490,000	\$250,000	\$320,000	-\$570,000	\$1,760,000	\$1,190,000
TAP - Gen	\$940,000	\$752,000	\$0	\$188,000	\$0	0	0
O & M (Local)	\$14,200,000	\$0	\$0	\$14,200,000	\$0	0	0
Totals:	\$35,623,716	\$9,678,192	\$11,237,524	\$14,708,000	\$0	1,760,000	1,190,000

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds \$484,000 added from previous TIP

Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 18

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHSIP	\$16,250	\$14,625	\$1,625	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$390,990	\$312,792	\$78,198	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$4,160,000	\$528,000	\$3,600,000	\$32,000	\$21,566	\$1,318,400	\$1,339,966
TAP - Gen	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
O & M (Local)	\$14,342,000	\$0	\$0	\$14,342,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals:	\$20,525,716	\$2,164,192	\$3,987,524	\$14,374,000	\$21,566	\$1,318,400	\$1,339,966

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 19

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHSIP	\$16,250	\$14,625	\$1,625	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$390,990	\$312,792	\$78,198	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108				
L-STP (Local)*	\$400,000	\$320,000	\$0	\$80,000	\$1,019,966	\$1,357,952	\$2,377,918
O & M (Local)	\$14,485,420	\$0	\$0	\$14,485,420			
Totals:	\$16,909,136	\$1,956,192	\$387,524	\$14,565,420	\$1,019,966		

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 20

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHISP	\$16,250	\$14,625	\$1,625	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$390,990	\$312,792	\$78,198	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$2,800,000	\$2,240,000	\$0	\$560,000	\$137,918	\$1,398,690	\$1,536,608
O & M (Local)	\$14,630,274	\$0	\$0	\$4,121,204	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals:	\$19,453,990	\$3,876,192	\$387,524	\$4,681,204	\$137,918	\$1,398,690	\$1,536,608

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available unprogramed Balance
ACNHPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHISP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$22,653,990	\$2,560,000	\$0	\$640,000	-\$1,023,392	\$1,440,651	\$417,259
O & M (Local)	\$14,776,577	\$0	\$0	\$14,776,577	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals:	\$37,430,567	\$2,560,000	\$0	\$15,416,577	\$0	\$0	\$0

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

^{**} suplus or deficit represents the MPO's objective to maintain fiscal constraints for projects and funding designated within the Kingsport MPO area

	1	The state of the s				
STIP #	1782135	TDOT PIN#	LENGTH IN MILES	LEAD AGENCY TDOT	DT	
COUNTY SULLIVAN, HAWKINS	LLIVAN, HAN	WKINS			TOTAL PROJECT COST	
ROUTE					\$1,688,736	
TERMINI	NGSPORT M	TERMINI KINGSPORT MTPO - SAFETY (HIGHWAY HAZARD ELIMINATION)	AZARD ELIMINATION)			
PROJECT SEE TIP GRC DESCRIPTION ELIGIBILITY	T SEE TIP G	ROUPING DESCRIPTION FC	PROJECT SEE TIP GROUPING DESCRIPTION FOR A COMPREHENSIVE LISTING OF ACTIVITIES INCLUDED BUT NOT LIMITED FOR RIPTION ELIGIBILITY	OF ACTIVITIES INCLUDED	BUT NOT LIMITED FOR	COUNTY MAP
						ADJUSTED PAGE

LOCAL STATE 115,593 15,593 15,593 15,593 1,040,341 FUNDS 140,341 140,341 16,250 16,250 140,341 16,250 16,250

1,155,934

HSIP

PE, ROW, CONST PE, ROW, CONST

2017

2017 2018 2018 2019

155,934

HSIP

16,250

PHSIP

16,250

PHSIP

155,934

HSIP

16,250

PHSIP

2019 2020 2020

155,934

HSIP

16,250

PHSIP

PE, ROW, CONST

TOTAL

FUNDING

PHASE

REMARKS

24

Adjustment Number

VICINITY MAP

TIP# TN-2

STIP Project List

COUNTY MAP TOTAL PROJECT COST
 PROJECT
 SEE TIP GROUPING DESCRIPTION FOR A COMPREHENSIVE LISTING OF ACTIVITIES INCLUDED BUT NOT LIMITED FOR DESCRIPTION
 \$688,736 LEAD AGENCY TDOT LENGTH IN MILES TERMINI KINGSPORT MTPO - SAFETY (HIGHWAY HAZARD ELIMINATION) **TDOT PIN#** COUNTY SULLIVAN, HAWKINS 1782135 ROUTE STIP #

OLD PAGE

REMARKS







TIP# TN-2

VICINITY MAP

TIP# TN-1

VICINITY MAP


COUNTYSULLIVAN, HAWKINSTOTAL PROJECT COSTROUTE\$1,563,958TERMINIKINGSPORT MTPO	STIP #	1782110	TDOT PIN#	LENGTH IN MILES	LEAD AGENCY TDOT	трот
	JNTY SL	JLLIVAN, HA	WKINS			TOTAL PROJECT COST
MINI KINGSPORT MTPO	OUTE					\$1,563,958
	N N	NGSPORT M	ТРО			

OLD PAGE

FY	PHASE	FUNDING	TOTAL	FED FUNDS	STATE	LOCAL
2017	PE, ROW, CONST	NHPP	390,900	312,720	78,180	
2018	PE, ROW, CONST	NHPP	390,900	312,720	78,180	
2019	PE, ROW, CONST	NHPP	390,900	312,720	78,180	
2020	PE, ROW, CONST	NHPP	390,900	312,720	78,180	

REMARKS





Adjustment #4

From:

Claxton, Theresa (FHWA)

To:

Kim Y. Thompson

Cc:

Brian Terrell; Robert Hayzlett; Brian Hurst; Rhiannon Chambers; Ronnie Porter; John Kahle; Jeremy Beeman;

"TroyEbbert@KingsportTN.gov"; Albright, Bill; Bobby Johnson; Deborah Fleming; Eli Jones; Maria Hunter;

Rhiannon Chambers; Klint Rommel; Carma H. Smith; Daniel Oliver

Subject:

RE: STATE: Adjust 39 1782135

Date:

Thursday, February 16, 2017 8:36:23 AM

Attachments:

image001.png

*** This is an EXTERNAL email. Please exercise caution. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email - STS-Security. ***

Kim,

Thank you for sending this information for our files.

Regards,

Theresa

From: Kim Y. Thompson [mailto:Kim.Y.Thompson@tn.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2017 10:55 AM

To: Claxton, Theresa (FHWA)

Cc: Brian Terrell; Robert Hayzlett; Brian Hurst; Rhiannon Chambers; Ronnie Porter; John Kahle; Jeremy Beeman; 'TroyEbbert@KingsportTN.gov'; 'BillAlbright@KingsportTN.gov'; Bobby Johnson; Deborah Fleming; Eli Jones; Maria Hunter; Rhiannon Chambers; Klint Rommel; Carma H. Smith; Daniel Oliver **Subject:** STATE: Adjust 39 1782135

We are adding the following language to TDOT groupings in the Kingsport MPO (HSIP, NHPP, & STBG):

"Projects authorized from this grouping may be authorized with a different Federal percentage than shown in the grid based on one or more of the following:

- 90% for certain Interstate projects under 23 U.S.C. 120(a);
- 100% for certain safety items under <u>23 U.S.C. 120(c)</u>; or
- 100% for Appalachian Development Highway System (ADHS) projects under MAP-21 §1528
 and 40 U.S.C. 14501."

Thanks.

Kim

Kim Y. Thompson | Transportation Planner TDOT Program Development & Scheduling Office James K. Polk Bldg, 6th Floor 505 Deaderick St., Nashville, TN 37243 p. 615-532-6083 kim.y.thompson@tn.gov tn.gov/tdot

Allowable Work Types	Intersection safety improvements	Pavement and shoulder widening (including a passing lane to remedy an unsafe condition)	Installation of rumble strips or another warning devices, if they do not adversely affect the safety or mobility of bicyclists and pedestrians	Installation of skid-resistant surface at intersections or locations with high crash frequencies	Improvements for pedestrian or bicyclist safety	Construction and improvement of a railway-highway grade crossing safety feature, including installation of protective devices	The conduct of a model traffic enforcement activity at a railway-highway crossing	Construction of a traffic calming feature	Elimination of a roadside hazard	Installation, replacement, and other improvements of highway signage and pavement markings, or a project to maintain minimum levels of retro-reflectivity that addresses highway safery problem consistent with the SHSP	Installation of emergency vehicle priority control systems at signalized intersections	Installation of traffic control or other warning devices at locations with high crash potential	Transportation safety planning	Collection, analysis, and improvement of safety data	Planning integrated interoperable emergency communications equipment or operational or traffic enforcement activities
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•
Function of Grouping Activities	Any strategy, activity or project on a	public road that is consistent with the data-driven State Strategic Highway	Safety Plan (SHSP) and corrects or improves a hazardous road location	or feature or addresses a highway	safety problem, including workforce	development, training and education	מרוואווופט.	Eligibility of specific projects,	strategies, and activities is generally	based on:	 Consistency with SHSP, 	3	Crash experience, crash	potential, or other data-	's library to debt
Grouping Category	Highway Safety	Program(HSIP)	Grouping		Adjusted	Page	CTID #	470040	1762135		TIP # TN-2				

attenuators
The addition or retrofitting of structures or other measures to eliminate or reduce crashes involving vehicles and wildlife
Installation of yellow-green signs and signals at pedestrian and bicycle crossings and in school zones
Construction and operational improvements on high risk rural roads
Geometric improvements to a road for safety purposes that improve safety
Road safety audits
Roadway safety infrastructure improvements consistent with FHWA's "HighwayDesign Handbook for Older Drivers and

Installation of guardrails, barriers (including barriers between construction work zones and traffic lanes), and crash

(including police assistance) related to work zone safety

requirements of Title 23 of the

USC, and

Compliance with the

goals to reduce fatalities and serious injuries on all public

roads.

performance-based safety

State's strategic or

Roadway improvements that provide separation between pedestrians and motor vehicles, including medians and pedestrian

Truck parking facilities eligible for funding under Section 1401 of MAP-21

Pedestrians" (FHWA-RD-01-103)

Projects to upgrade railway-

highway grade crossings by

Installation of vehicle-to-infrastructure communication equipment.

Pedestrian hybrid beacons.

crossing islands.

Systemic safety improvements

.

installing protective devices.

eliminating hazards and

Other physical infrastructure projects not specifically enumerated in the list of eligible projects.

Workforce development, training, and education activities

Elimination of hazards of railway-highway elimination of hazards of railway-highway. Reconstruction of existing railroad grade construction of existing railroad grade construction of highways to eliminate grade installation of protective devices. Installation of protective devices brown in the grid based on one or more on 90% for certain Interstate projects under 23.1. O 100% for certain safety items under 23.1. and 40.U.S.C. 14501.	Category	Function of Grouping Activities	Allowable Work Types
13IP)	Highway Safety		Activities included as part of the Highway Railroad Grade Crossing program:
11) ed	Improvement		 Elimination of hazards of railway-highway crossings, including the separation or protection of grades at crossings
ed	Program(HSIP)		 Reconstruction of existing railroad grade crossing structures
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	guidnois		Relocation of highways to eliminate grade crossings
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	(continued)		Installation of protective devices
2-5	Adjusted		 Projects authorized from this grouping may be authorized with a different Federal percentage than
5	Page		shown in the grid based on one or more of the following:
5-1-2	Ser.		o 90% for certain Interstate projects under 23 U.S.C. 120(a);
5	# 110		o 100% for certain safety items under 23 <u>U.S.C. 120(c)</u> ; or
	1782135		o 100% for Appalachian Development Highway System (ADHS) projects under <u>MAP-21 §1528</u>
	TIP #TN-2		and <u>40 U.S.C. 14501</u> .

National	9	
	Activities	Allowable Work Types
ance	Projects for the preservation and improvement of the conditions and performance of the National	Minor rehabilitation, pavement resurfacing, preventative maintenance, restoration, and pavement preservation treatments to extend the service life of highwayinfrastructure, including pavement markings and improvements to
PP)	Highway System (NHS), including	Highway improvement work including slide repair, rock fall mitigation, drainage repairs, or other preventative work necessary to maintain or extend the service life of the existing infrastructure in a good operational condition
Adiusted	Rehabilitation, resurfacing, restoration, preservation, and	Minor operational and safety improvements to intersections and interchanges such as adding turn lanes, addressing existing geometric deficiencies, and extending on/off ramps
Page	operational improvements,	Capital and operating costs for intelligent transportation systems (ITS) and traffic monitoring, management, and control facilities and programs:
STIP#	Traffic operations,	O Infrastructure-based intelligent transportation systems (ITS) capital improvements
1782110		O Traffic Management Center (TMC) operations and utilities
	Bridge and tunnel improvements.	
TIP # TN-1	• Safety improvements,	O iraveier information Bridge and tunnel construction (no additional travel lanes), replacement, rehabilitation, preservation, protection, inspection, evaluation, and inspector training and inspection and evaluation of other infrastructure assets. such as
		signs, walls, and drainage structures
•	Bicycle and pedestrian improvements, and	Development and implementation of a State Asset Management Plan including data collection, maintenance and integration, software costs, and equipment costs that support the development of performance-based management systems for infrastructure
•	Environmental mitigation.	Rail-highway grade crossing improvements
		Highway safety improvements:
		O Installation of new or improvement of existing guardrail
		O Installation of traffic signs and signals/lights
		O Spot safety improvements
	•	Sidewalk improvements
	•	Pedestrian and/or bicycle facilities
	•	Traffic calming and traffic diversion improvements
	•	Noise walls
	•	Wetland and/or stream mitigation
	•	Environmental restoration and pollution abatement
	•	Control of noxious weeds and establishment of native species
		Projects authorized from this grouping may be authorized with a different Federal percentage than
		shown in the grid based on one or more of the following:
		o 90% for certain Interstate projects under 23 U.S.C. 120(a);
		o 100% for certain safety items under <u>23 U.S.C. 120(c)</u> ; or
		o 100% for Appalachian Development Highway System (ADHS) projects under <u>MAP-21 §1528</u>
		and 40 U.S.C. 14501.

Grouping	Function of Grouping	
Category	Activities	Allowable Work Types
Surface	Projects and programs for the	Activities previously authorized under the Surface Transportation Program (STP):
Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG)	preservation and improvement of the conditions and performance of Federal-aid highways and public	 Minor rehabilitation, pavement resurfacing, preventative maintenance, restoration, and pavement preservation treatments to extend the service life of highwayinfrastructure, including pavement markings and improvements to roadside hardware or sight distance
Grouping	roads, including:	 Highway improvement work including slide repair, rock fall mitigation, drainage repairs, or other preventative work
Adjusted	 Rehabilitation, resurfacing, 	necessary to maintain or extend the service life of the existing infrastructure in a good operational condition Minor operational and cafery improvements to interceptions and interchanges cuch as adding turn land and cafery improvements to interceptions.
Page	restoration, preservation, and	geometric deficiencies, and extending on/off ramps
# CITO	operational improvements on	 Capital and operating costs for intelligent transportation systems (ITS) and traffic monitoring, management, and control
470747E	designated routes of the	facilities and programs:
C1179/1	Appalachian Development	O Infrastructure-based intelligent transportation systems (ITS) capital improvements
	Highway System (ADHS) and	O Traffic Management Center (TMC) operations and utilities
TIP # TN-3	local access roads under 40 USC	O Freeway service patrols
	14501,	O Traveler information
	 Traffic operations on Federal-aid highways, 	 Bridge and tunnel construction (no additional travel lanes), replacement, rehabilitation, preservation, protection, inspection, evaluation, and inspector training and inspection and evaluation of other infrastructure assets, such as signs, walls, and drainage structures
	 Bridge and tunnel improvements on public roads, 	 Development and implementation of a State Asset Management Plan including data collection, maintenance and integration, software costs, and equipment costs thatsupport the development of performance-based management systems for infrastructure
	e .	 Rail-highway grade crossing improvements
	 Safety improvements on public 	 Highway safety improvements:
	roads,	O Installation of new or improvement of existing guardrail
		O Installation of traffic signs and signals/lights
	 Environmental mitigation 	O Spot safety improvements
		Sidewalk improvements
	or o	 Pedestrian and/or bicycle facilities
	highway programs.	 Traffic calming and traffic diversion improvements
	1000 J 1000	 Transportation Alternatives as defined by 23 USC 213(B), 23 USC. 101(A)(29), and Section 1122 of MAP-21
		Noise walls
	 Landscaping and scenic 	Wetland and/or stream mitigation
	beautification,	 Environmental restoration and pollution abatement
		 Control of noxious weeds and establishment of native species
		Artivities nreviously authorized under the Transnortation Enhancement Program:
		Activities previously authorized united the transportation Elliancement Trogram.

	L			
Surface		100		O Pedestrian and bicycle facilities, safety, and educational activities
Transportation	•	Historic preservation,		O Acquisition of scenic easements and scenic or historic sites
BIOCK Grant				O Scenir or historic highway orograms
Program (S1BG)		On- and off-road pedestrian		
Grouping		and bicycle facilities		O Landscaping and other scenic beautification activities
		icasiiias andacasiis		O Historic preservation
(continued)	•	Infrastructure projects for		O Rehabilitation and operation of historic transportation buildings, structures, or facilities
L. Charles		improving non-driver access to		O Preservation of abandoned railway corridors
Adjusted		public transportation and		O Inventory, control, and removal of outdoor advertising
page		enhanced mobility,		O Archaeological planning and research
# dILS				O Environmental mitigation to address water pollution due to highway runoff or reduce vehicle-caused wildlife
1782115	•	Community Improvement		mortality while maintaining habitat connectivity
0112011		(C)		O Establishment of transportation museums
TIP # TN-3	•	Recreational Trail		O Activities under the Tennessee Roadscapes grant program, including landscaping, irrigation, benches, trash cans, paths and signage
		riogiani projects,	Activities p	Activities previously authorized under the Safe Routes to School Program (SRTS):
	•	Safe Routes to School (SRTS)	Sidev	Sidewalk improvements
		projects,	 Traffi 	Traffic calming and speed reduction improvements
			• Pede	Pedestrian and bicycle crossing improvements
	•	Transportation Enhancement	• On-st	On-street bicycle facilities
		projects,	• Off-st	Off-street bicycle and pedestrian facilities
	•	To the second A section 1	• Secui	Secure bicycle parking facilities
		projects.	Traffi	Traffic diversion improvements approximately within 2 miles of a school location
			• Non-	Non-infrastructure related activities;
	•	Projects for the creation,		O Public awareness campaigns and outreach to press and community leaders
		rehabilitation, and		O Traffic education and enforcement in the vicinity of schools
		maintenance of multi-use		 Student sessions on bicycle and pedestrian safety, health, and environment
		recreational trails.		 Funding for training, volunteers, and managers of safe routes to school program
		3	Activities p.	Activities previously authorized under the Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP):
			Cons moto	Construction, planning, and design of on-road and off-road trail facilities forpedestrians, bicyclists, and other non-motorized forms of transportation, including:

Lighting and other safety-related infrastructure

Pedestrian and bicycle signals Traffic calming techniques

0 0 0 0 0

Sidewalk improvements Bicycle infrastructure

Confessor	
ouride	
Transportation	
Block Grant	
Program (STBG)	
Grouping	

(continued)

Adjusted Page

1782115 STIP #

dIL TN-3

Interstate System routes or Projects for the planning, design or construction of other divided highways. roadways largely in the right-of-way of former boulevards and other

Construction, planning, and design of infrastructure-related projects and systems that will provide safe routes for non-Transportation projects to achieve compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990

Conversion and use of abandoned railroad corridors for trails for pedestrians, bicyclists, or other non-motorized drivers, including children, older adults, and individuals with disabilities to access daily needs

Construction of turnouts, overlooks, and viewing areas transportation users

Community improvement activities, which include but are not limited to:

Inventory, control, or removal of outdoor advertising 0

Historic preservation and rehabilitation of historic transportation facilities 0

Vegetation management in transportation rights-of-way to improve roadwaysafety, prevent invasive species, and provide erosion control 0

Archaeological activities relating to impacts from implementation of atransportation project eligible under Title 0

Any environmental mitigation activity, including pollution prevention and pollution abatement activities and mitigation to: 23 of the USC

highway construction or due to highway runoff

Address stormwater management, control, and water pollution preventionor abatement related to

0

Reduce vehicle-caused wildlife mortality or to restore and maintain connectivity among terrestrial or aquatic habitats

Recreational Trails Program activities under 23 USC 206

SRTS Program infrastructure-related projects, non-infrastructure-related activities (suchas pedestrian and bicycle safety and educational activities advanced under the SRTS program), and SRTS Coordinator positions

Planning, designing, or constructing boulevards and other roadways largely in the right-of-way of former Interstate System routes or other divided highways

Activities previously authorized under the Recreational Trails Program (RTP):

Maintenance and restoration of existing recreational trails

Development and rehabilitation of trailside and trailhead facilities and trail linkages for recreational trails

Purchase and lease of recreational trail construction and maintenance equipment

Construction of new recreational trails

Acquisition of easements and fee simple title to property for recreational trails or recreational trail corridors

Assessment of trail conditions for accessibility and maintenance

Development and dissemination of publications and operation of educational programs to promote safety and environmental protection

Payment of costs to the State incurred in administering the program

Projects authorized from this grouping may be authorized with a different Federal percentage than

shown in the grid based on one or more of the following:

o 90% for certain Interstate projects under 23 U.S.C. 120(a): o 100% for certain safety items under 23 U.S.C. 120(c); or o 100% for Appalachian Development Highway System (ADHS) projects under MAP-21 §1528

and 40 U.S.C. 14501.

Grouping Category	Function of Grouping Activities	Allowable Work Types
Highway Safety	Any strategy, activity or project on a	Intersection safety improvements
Program(HSIP)	data-driven State Strategic Highway	 Pavement and shoulder widening (including a passing lane to remedy an unsafe condition)
Grouping	Safety Plan (SHSP) and corrects or improves a hazardous road location or	 Installation of rumble strips or another warning devices, if they do not adversely affect the safety or mobility of bicyclists and pedestrians
	feature or addresses a highway safety	 Installation of skid-resistant surface at intersections or locations with high crash frequencies
Tipogood/	development, training and education	 Improvements for pedestrian or bicyclist safety
nodsbor	activities.	 Construction and improvement of a railway-highway grade crossing safety feature, including installation of protective devices
MINO	Eliaibility of specific projects	 The conduct of a model traffic enforcement activity at a railway-highway crossing
	strategies, and activities is generally	 Construction of a traffic calming feature
- 1	based on:	 Elimination of a roadside hazard
Old Page	 Consistency with SHSP, 	 Installation, replacement, and other improvements of highway signage and pavement markings, or a project to maintain minimum levels of retro-reflectivity that addresses highway safety problem consistent with the SHSP
		 Installation of emergency vehicle priority control systems at signalized intersections
# dils	 Crash experience, crash potential, or other data-supported means. 	 Installation of traffic control or other warning devices at locations with high crash potential
1782125		Transportation safety planning
17 02 133	Compliance with the	 Collection, analysis, and improvement of safety data
	requirements of Title 23 of the	 Planning integrated interoperable emergency communications equipment or operational or traffic enforcement activities (including
	USC, and	police assistance) related to work zone safety
TID # TNI O	State's strategic or	 Installation of guardrails, barriers (including barriers between construction work zones and traffic lanes), and crash attenuators
7-NI # JII	performance-based safety	 The addition or retrofitting of structures or other measures to eliminate or reduce crashes involving vehicles and wildlife
	goals to reduce fatalities and	 Installation of yellow-green signs and signals at pedestrian and bicycle crossings and in school zones
	serious injuries on all public roads.	 Construction and operational improvements on high risk rural roads
		 Geometric improvements to a road for safety purposes that improve safety
	 Projects to upgrade railway- 	Road safety audits
	highway grade crossings by	 Roadway safety infrastructure improvements consistent with FHWA's "Highway Design Handbook for Older Drivers and
	installing protective devices.	Pedestrians" (FHWA-RD-01-103)
		 Truck parking facilities eligible for funding under Section 1401 of MAP-21
		Systemic safety improvements
		 Installation of vehicle-to-infrastructure communication equipment.
		Pedestrian hybrid beacons.
		 Roadway improvements that provide separation between pedestrians and motor vehicles, including medians and pedestrian crossing islands.
		 Other physical infrastructure projects not specifically enumerated in the list of eligible projects.
		 Workforce development, training, and education activities

Grouping	Function of Grouping Activities	Allowahle Work Tynes
Highway Safety		Activities included as part of the Highway Railroad Grade Crossing program:
Improvement		 Elimination of hazards of railway-highway crossings, including the separation or protection of grades at crossings
Grouping		Reconstruction of existing railroad grade crossing structures
•		 Relocation of highways to eliminate grade crossings
(continued)		Installation of protective devices
Old Page		
STIP #		
1782135		
TIP # TN-2		

Page | 5-7

Projects and programs for the preservation and improvement of the conditions and performance of Federal-aid highways and public roads, including: Rehabilitation, resurfacing, restoration, preservation, and operational improvements on Federal-aid highways and designated routes of the Appalachian Development Highway System (ADHS) and local access roads under 40 USC 14501, Traffic operations on Federal-aid highways, on public roads, Bridge and tunnel improvements on public roads, Safety improvements on public roads, Scenic and historic highway programs, Landscaping and scenic beautification,	Grouping	Function of Grouping	
Projects and programs for the preservation and improvement of the conditions and performance of Federal-aid highways and public roads, including: Rehabilitation, resurfacing, restoration, and operational improvements on Federal-aid highways and designated routes of the Appalachian Development Highway System (ADHS) and local access roads under 40 USC 14501, Traffic operations on Federal-aid highways; Bridge and tunnel improvements on public roads, Safety improvements on public roads, Environmental mitigation Scenic and historic highway programs, Landscaping and scenic beautification,	Category	Activities	Allowable Work Types
Bed) Rehabilitation, resurfacing, restoration, preservation, and operational improvements on Federal-aid highways and public roads, including: Rehabilitation, resurfacing, restoration, and operational improvements on Federal-aid highways and designated routes of the Appalachian Development Highway System (ADHS) and local access roads under 40 USC 14501, Traffic operations on Federal-aid highways, on public roads, Safety improvements on public roads, Environmental mitigation Scenic and historic highway programs, highway programs, beautification,	Surface	Projects and programs for the	ctivities previously authorized under the Surface Transportation Program (STP):
Rehabilitation, resurfacing, restoration, preservation, and operational improvements on Federal-aid highways and designated routes of the Appalachian Development Highway System (ADHS) and local access roads under 40 USC 14501, Traffic operations on Federal-aid highways, Bridge and tunnel improvements on public roads, Safety improvements on public roads, Environmental mitigation Environmental mitigation Landscaping and scenic beautification,	Block Grant Program (STBG)	conditions and performance of Federal-aid highways and nullic roads	 Minor rehabilitation, pavement resurfacing, preventative maintenance, restoration, and pavement preservation treatments to extend the service life of highwayinfrastructure, including pavement markings and improvements to roadside hardware or sight distance
Rehabilitation, resurfacing, restoration, preservation, and operational improvements on Federal-aid highways and designated routes of the Appalachian Development Highway System (ADHS) and local access roads under 40 USC 14501, Bridge and tunnel improvements on public roads, Bridge and tunnel improvements on public roads, Safety improvements on public roads, Environmental mitgation Environmental mitgation Landscaping and scenic beautification,	Grouping	including:	 Highway improvement work including slide repair, rock fall mitigation, drainage repairs, or other preventative work necessary to maintain or extend the service life of the existing infrastructure in a good operational condition
restoration, preservation, and operational improvements on Federal-aid highways and designated routes of the Appalachian Development Highway System (ADHS) and local access roads under 40 USC 14501, Traffic operations on Federal-aid highways, Bridge and tunnel improvements on public roads, Safety improvements on public roads, Environmental mitigation Environmental mitigation Environmental mitigation Landscaping and scenic beautification,		Rehabilitation, resurfacing,	 Minor operational and safety improvements to intersections and interchanges such as adding turn lanes, addressing existing geometric
rederal-aid highways and designated routes of the Appalachian Development Highway System (ADHS) and local access roads under 40 USC 14501, Traffic operations on Federalaid highways, Bridge and tunnel improvements on public roads, Safety improvements on public roads, Environmental mitigation Scenic and historic highway programs, Landscaping and scenic beautification,		restoration, preservation, and	deficiencies, and extending on/off ramps
designated routes of the Appalachian Development Highway System (ADHS) and local access roads under 40 USC 14501, Traffic operations on Federalaid highways, Bridge and tunnel improvements on public roads, Safety improvements on public roads, Environmental mitigation Scenic and historic highway programs, Landscaping and scenic beautification,	Old Page	operational improvements on Federal-aid highways and	 Capital and operating costs for intelligent transportation systems (ITS) and traffic monitoring, management, and control facilities and
Highway System (ADHS) and local access roads under 40 USC 14501, Traffic operations on Federalaid highways, Bridge and tunnel improvements on public roads, Safety improvements on public roads, Environmental mitigation Scenic and historic highway programs, Landscaping and scenic beautification,	200	designated routes of the	programs:
Highway System (ADHS) and local access roads under 40 USC 14501, N-3 Bridge and tunnel improvements on public roads, Environmental mitigation Scenic and historic highway programs, Landscaping and scenic beautification,		Appalachian Development	
14501, Traffic operations on Federal- aid highways, Bridge and tunnel improvements on public roads, Safety improvements on public roads, Environmental mitigation Scenic and historic highway programs, Landscaping and scenic beautification,		Highway System (ADHS) and local	8
Traffic operations on Federalaid highways, Bridge and tunnel improvements on public roads, Safety improvements on public roads, Environmental mitigation Scenic and historic highway programs, Landscaping and scenic beautification,	STIP #	14501.	
Traffic operations on Federalaid highways, Bridge and tunnel improvements on public roads, Safety improvements on public roads, Environmental mitigation Scenic and historic highway programs, Landscaping and scenic beautification,	1782115		0.5
Bridge and tunnel improvements on public roads, Safety improvements on public roads, Environmental mitigation Scenic and historic highway programs, Landscaping and scenic beautification,		 Traffic operations on Federal- aid biobwave 	
Bridge and tunnel improvements on public roads, Safety improvements on public roads, Environmental mitigation Scenic and historic highway programs, Landscaping and scenic beautification,	TIP # TN-3	ard ingliways,	walls, and drainage structures
Safety improvements on public roads, Environmental mitigation Scenic and historic highway programs, Landscaping and scenic beautification,		 Bridge and tunnel improvements on public roads, 	 Development and implementation of a State Asset Management Plan including data collection, maintenance and integration, software costs, and equipment costs that support the development of performance-based management systems for infrastructure
Sarety improvements on public roads, Environmental mitigation Scenic and historic highway programs, Landscaping and scenic beautification,			 Rail-highway grade crossing improvements
Environmental mitigation Scenic and historic highway programs, Landscaping and scenic beautification,		Sarety improvements on public	 Highway safety improvements:
Environmental mitigation Scenic and historic highway programs, Landscaping and scenic beautification,		roads,	
Scenic and historic highway programs, Landscaping and scenic beautification,		Environmental mitigation	
Scenic and historic highway programs, Landscaping and scenic beautification,			
Scenic and historic highway programs, Landscaping and scenic beautification,			Sidewalk improvements
Landscaping and scenic beautification,		Scenic and historic	 Pedestrian and/or bicycle facilities
Landscaping and scenic beautification,		nignway programs,	 Traffic calming and traffic diversion improvements
Landscaping and scenic beautification,			 Transportation Alternatives as defined by 23 USC 213(B), 23 USC. 101(A)(29), and Section 1122 of MAP-21
			Noise walls
 Environmental restoration and pollution abatement Control of noxious weeds and establishment of native species Activities previously authorized under the Transportation Enhancement Program: 		beautification,	 Wetland and/or stream mitigation
 Control of noxious weeds and establishment of native species Activities previously authorized under the Transportation Enhancement Program: 			 Environmental restoration and pollution abatement
Activities previously authorized under the Transportation Enhancement Program:			 Control of noxious weeds and establishment of native species
			ctivities previously authorized under the Transportation Enhancement Program:

Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG) Grouping		•	Ŕ	-	•
	Surface	Transportation	Block Grant	E) mi	9

(continued)

Old Page

improving non-driver access to public transportation and

enhanced mobility,

Community improvement

.

activities,

Infrastructure projects for

1782115 STIP#

Program projects, Recreational Trail

TIP # TN-3

- Safe Routes to School (SRTS) projects,
- Transportation Enhancement projects,
- Transportation Alternatives projects,
- maintenance of multi-use Projects for the creation, rehabilitation, and recreational trails.

Pedestrian and bicycle facilities, safety, and educational activities

- Acquisition of scenic easements and scenic or historic sites 0
- Scenic or historic highway programs 0

On- and off-road pedestrian

and bicycle facilities,

Historic preservation,

- Landscaping and other scenic beautification activities 0
- Historic preservation 0
- Rehabilitation and operation of historic transportation buildings, structures, or facilities 0
- Preservation of abandoned railway corridors 0
- Inventory, control, and removal of outdoor advertising 0
- Archaeological planning and research 0
- Environmental mitigation to address water pollution due to highway runoff or reduce vehicle-caused wildlife mortality while maintaining habitat connectivity
- Establishment of transportation museums 0
- Activities under the Tennessee Roadscapes grant program, including landscaping, irrigation, benches, trash cans, paths, and

Activities previously authorized under the Safe Routes to School Program (SRTS)

- Sidewalk improvements
- Traffic calming and speed reduction improvements
- Pedestrian and bicycle crossing improvements
- On-street bicycle facilities
- Off-street bicycle and pedestrian facilities
- Secure bicycle parking facilities
- Traffic diversion improvements approximately within 2 miles of a school location
- Non-infrastructure related activities:
- Public awareness campaigns and outreach to press and community leaders 0

Traffic education and enforcement in the vicinity of schools

- Student sessions on bicycle and pedestrian safety, health, and environment
- Funding for training, volunteers, and managers of safe routes to school program

Activities previously authorized under the Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP):

- Construction, planning, and design of on-road and off-road trail facilities forpedestrians, bicyclists, and other non-motorized forms of transportation, including:
- Sidewalk improvements 0
- Bicycle infrastructure 0
- Pedestrian and bicycle signals 0
- Traffic calming techniques 0
- Lighting and other safety-related infrastructure

Program (STBG) Transportation **Block Grant** Grouping

(continued)

Old Page

1782115 STIP #

TIP # TN-3

roadways largely in the rightof-way of former Interstate Projects for the planning, design or construction of System routes or other boulevards and other divided highways.

- Construction, planning, and design of infrastructure-related projects and systems that will provide safe routes for non-drivers, including children, older adults, and individuals with disabilities to access daily needs Transportation projects to achieve compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990
- Conversion and use of abandoned railroad corridors for trails for pedestrians, bicyclists, or other non-motorized transportation
- Construction of turnouts, overlooks, and viewing areas
- Community improvement activities, which include but are not limited to:
- Inventory, control, or removal of outdoor advertising 0
- Historic preservation and rehabilitation of historic transportation facilities 0
- Vegetation management in transportation rights-of-way to improve roadwaysafety, prevent invasive species, and 0
- Archaeological activities relating to impacts from implementation of atransportation project eligible under Title 23 of 0
- Any environmental mitigation activity, including pollution prevention and pollution abatement activities and mitigation to:
- Address stormwater management, control, and water pollution preventionor abatement related to highway construction or due to highway runoff 0
- Reduce vehicle-caused wildlife mortality or to restore and maintain connectivity among terrestrial or aquatic 0
- Recreational Trails Program activities under 23 USC 206
- SRTS Program infrastructure-related projects, non-infrastructure-related activities (suchas pedestrian and bicycle safety and educational activities advanced under the SRTS program), and SRTS Coordinator positions.
- Planning, designing, or constructing boulevards and other roadways largely in the right-of-way of former Interstate System routes or other divided highways

Activities previously authorized under the Recreational Trails Program (RTP):

- Maintenance and restoration of existing recreational trails
- Development and rehabilitation of trailside and trailhead facilities and trail linkages for recreational trails
- Purchase and lease of recreational trail construction and maintenance equipment
- Construction of new recreational trails
- Acquisition of easements and fee simple title to property for recreational trails or recreational trail corridors
- Assessment of trail conditions for accessibility and maintenance
- Development and dissemination of publications and operation of educational programs to promote safety and environmental protection
- Payment of costs to the State incurred in administering the program

From:

Kim Y. Thompson Rhiannon Chambers

To: Subject:

FW: LOCAL: Adjust 89 Kingsport MPO TIP# L-STBG-3

Attachments:

image001.png image002.png

Adjust 89 King L-STBG-3.pdf

Rhiannon:

The e-mail chain is below. Remove Troy Ebbert and Deborah Flemming. Add Joshua Suddath.

Thank you so much for your assistance.

Kim



Kim Y. Thompson | Transportation Planner TDOT Program Development & Scheduling Office James K. Polk Bldg, 6th Floor 505 Deaderick St., Nashville, TN 37243 p. 615-532-6083 kim.y.thompson@tn.gov tn.gov/tdot

From: Claxton, Theresa (FHWA) [mailto:Theresa.Claxton@dot.gov]

Sent: Monday, May 08, 2017 9:04 PM **To:** Rhiannon Chambers; Kim Y. Thompson

Cc: Brian Terrell; Robert Hayzlett; Brian Hurst; Ronnie Porter; John Kahle; Jeremy Beeman;

'TroyEbbert@KingsportTN.gov'; 'BillAlbright@KingsportTN.gov'; Bobby Johnson; Deborah Fleming; Eli

Jones; Maria Hunter; Klint Rommel; Carma H. Smith; Daniel Oliver **Subject:** RE: LOCAL: Adjust 89 Kingsport MPO TIP# L-STBG-3

*** This is an EXTERNAL email. Please exercise caution. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email - STS-Security. ***

Rhiannon,

Thank you for sending this information for our files.

Regards, Theresa

From: Rhiannon Chambers [mailto:Rhiannon.Chambers@tn.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, April 12, 2017 2:49 PM **To:** Kim Y. Thompson; Claxton, Theresa (FHWA)

Cc: Brian Terrell; Robert Hayzlett; Brian Hurst; Ronnie Porter; John Kahle; Jeremy Beeman;

'TroyEbbert@KingsportTN.gov'; 'BillAlbright@KingsportTN.gov'; Bobby Johnson; Deborah Fleming; Eli Jones; Maria Hunter; Klint Rommel; Carma H. Smith; Daniel Oliver **Subject:** LOCAL: Adjust 89 Kingsport MPO TIP# L-STBG-3

Adding \$190,000 STBG funds to FY17.

Thank you,



Rhiannon Chambers | Program Monitor II
TDOT Program Development & Scheduling Office
James K. Polk Building, 6th Floor
505 Deaderick St., Nashville, TN 37243
p. 615-532-3179
Rhiannon.Chambers@tn.gov
tn.gov/tdot

Troy Ebbert MTPO Coordinator 423.224.2670



Kingsport MTPO 201 West Market St Kingsport, TN 37660 MTPO@KingsportTn.gov 423.229.9332

MEMORANDUM

To: TDOT LOCAL PROGRAMS

From: Troy Ebbert

CC: Michael Thompson, Bill Albright

Date: 11 APR 17

Subject: L-STBG-3 TDOT PIN 121031.00 Island Road Signal Project

Please see the attached TIP adjustment to add an additional \$110,000 of L-STBG funds in to the Island road project.






TIP # L-STBG-3	TDOT PIN# / VA UPC# 12103	31.00 Priority	Lead Agency	City of Kingsport
County Sullivan	Length N/A	LRTP# Consistent w/ LRTP	Conformity Status	N/A
Route/Project Name	Signalization of Intersection of State Route 12	26 with Island Road	Total Project Cost	\$550,000
Termini or Intersection	Intersection of State Route 126 (Memorial Bo	oulevard) with Island Road		
Project Description	Installation of new 4-way traffic signal and as signal design - at intersection of SR 126 with		lane configuration that coor	dinates with new

Fiscal Year	Phase	Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
FY 17	PE, ROW, CONST	L-STBG	\$550,000	\$440,000	\$110,000	\$0
T						
$\overline{}$				i i		

Remarks	Amendment Number	Adjustment Number	5
Add \$190,000 STBG funds to FY17 TDOT Adjustment 89			
TDOT Adjustment 89			22





TIP# L-STBG-3	TDOT PIN# / VA UPC# 121031.00	Priority	Lead Agency	City of Kingsport		
County Sullivan	Length N/A LRTP	# Consistent w/ LRTP	Conformity Status	N/A		
Route/Project Name	Signalization of Intersection of State Route 126 with Isla	nd Road	Total Project Cost	\$360,000		
Termini or Intersection	Intersection of State Route 126 (Memorial Boulevard) w	ith Island Road				
Project Description Installation of new 4-way traffic signal and associated equipment plus improvements to lane configuration that coordinates with no signal design - at intersection of SR 126 with Island Road						

Fiscal Year	Phase	Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
FY 17	PE, ROW, CONST	L-STBG	\$360,000	\$330,000	\$30,000	\$0

Remarks	Amendment Number	Adjustment Number



Kingsport MTPO 2017 - 2021 TIP Highway Funding Summary Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 17

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
ACNHPP	\$9,400,000	\$0	\$9,400,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP	\$1,155,934	\$1,040,341	\$115,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHISP	\$16,250	\$14,625	\$1,625	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$3,890,900	\$3,112,720	\$78,198	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-ACSTBG	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$6,000,000	\$4,800,000	\$1,200,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$3,250,000	\$2,600,000	\$330,000	\$320,000	-\$650,000	\$1,760,000	\$1,110,000
TAP - Gen	\$940,000	\$752,000	\$0	\$188,000	\$0	0	0
O & M (Local)	\$14,200,000	\$0	\$0	\$14,200,000	\$0	0	
Totals:	\$40,313,626	\$13,488,120	\$11,417,524	\$14,708,000	\$0	1,760,000	1,110,000

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds \$484,000 added from previous TIP

Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 18

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHSIP	\$16,250	\$14,625	\$1,625	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$390,990	\$312,792	\$78,198	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$4,160,000	\$528,000	\$3,600,000	\$32,000	-\$58,434	\$1,318,400	\$1,259,966
TAP - Gen	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
O & M (Local)	\$14,342,000	\$0	\$0	\$14,342,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals:	\$20,525,716	\$2,164,192	\$3,987,524	\$14,374,000	-\$58,434	\$1,318,400	\$1,259,966

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 19

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHSIP	\$16,250	\$14,625	\$1,625	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$390,990	\$312,792	\$78,198	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108				***
L-STP (Local)*	\$400,000	\$320,000	\$0	\$80,000	\$939,966	\$1,357,952	\$2,297,918
O & M (Local)	\$14,485,420	\$0	\$0	\$14,485,420			
Totals:	\$16,909,136	\$1,956,192	\$387.524	\$14.565.420	\$939.966		

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 20

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHISP	\$16,250	\$14,625	\$1,625	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$390,990	\$312,792	\$78,198	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$2,800,000	\$2,240,000	\$0	\$560,000	\$57,918	\$1,398,690	\$1,456,608
O & M (Local)	\$14,630,274	\$0	\$0	\$4,121,204	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals:	\$19,453,990	\$3,876,192	\$387,524	\$4,681,204	\$57,918	\$1,398,690	\$1,456,608

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 21

		rennes	see Highway Totals	OFFIZI			
Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available unprogramed Balance
ACNHPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHISP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$22,653,990	\$2,560,000	\$0	\$640,000	-\$1,103,392	\$1,440,651	\$337,259
O & M (Local)	\$14,776,577	\$0	\$0	\$14,776,577	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals:	\$37,430,567	\$2,560,000	\$0	\$15,416,577	\$0	\$0	\$0

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

^{**} suplus or deficit represents the MPO's objective to maintain fiscal constraints for projects and funding designated within the Kingsport MPO area

Kingsport MTPO 2017 - 2021 TIP Highway Funding Summary Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 17

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
ACNHPP	\$9,400,000	\$0	\$9,400,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP	\$1,155,934	\$1,040,341	\$115,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHISP	\$16,250	\$14,625	\$1,625	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$3,890,900	\$3,112,720	\$78,198	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-ACSTBG	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$6,000,000	\$4,800,000	\$1,200,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$3,060,000	\$2,490,000	\$250,000	\$320,000	-\$570,000	\$1,760,000	\$1,190,000
TAP - Gen	\$940,000	\$752,000	\$0	\$188,000	\$0	0	0
O & M (Local)	\$14,200,000	\$0	\$0	\$14,200,000	\$0	0	0
Totals:	\$40,123,626	\$13,378,120	\$11,337,524	\$14,708,000	\$0	1,760,000	1,190,000

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds \$484,000 added from previous TIP

Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 18

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHSIP	\$16,250	\$14,625	\$1,625	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$390,990	\$312,792	\$78,198	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$4,160,000	\$528,000	\$3,600,000	\$32,000	\$21,566	\$1,318,400	\$1,339,966
TAP - Gen	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
O & M (Local)	\$14,342,000	\$0	\$0	\$14,342,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals:	\$20,525,716	\$2,164,192	\$3,987,524	\$14,374,000	\$21,566	\$1,318,400	\$1,339,966

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 19

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHSIP	\$16,250	\$14,625	\$1,625	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$390,990	\$312,792	\$78,198	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108				
L-STP (Local)*	\$400,000	\$320,000	\$0	\$80,000	\$1,019,966	\$1,357,952	\$2,377,918
O & M (Local)	\$14,485,420	\$0	\$0	\$14,485,420			
Totals:	\$16,909,136	\$1,956,192	\$387,524	\$14,565,420	\$1,019,966		

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 20

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHISP	\$16,250	\$14,625	\$1,625	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$390,990	\$312,792	\$78,198	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$2,800,000	\$2,240,000	\$0	\$560,000	\$137,918	\$1,398,690	\$1,536,608
O & M (Local)	\$14,630,274	\$0	\$0	\$4,121,204	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals:	\$19,453,990	\$3,876,192	\$387,524	\$4,681,204	\$137,918	\$1,398,690	\$1,536,608

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 21

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available unprogramed Balance
ACNHPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHISP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$22,653,990	\$2,560,000	\$0	\$640,000	-\$1,023,392	\$1,440,651	\$417,259
O & M (Local)	\$14,776,577	\$0	\$0	\$14,776,577	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals:	\$37,430,567	\$2,560,000	\$0	\$15,416,577	\$0	\$0	\$0

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

^{**} suplus or deficit represents the MPO's objective to maintain fiscal constraints for projects and funding designated within the Kingsport MPO area



From:

Santalla, Sean (FHWA)

To:

Kim Y. Thompson; Rhiannon Chambers; Troy J. Ebbert

Cc:

Albright, Bill; Brian Hurst; Brian Terrell; Ronnie Porter; John Kahle; Jeremy Beeman; Bobby Johnson; Eli Jones; Joshua Suddath; Klint Rommel; Carma H. Smith; Robert Hayzlett; Claxton, Theresa (FHWA); Steffen, Thor

(FHWA)

Subject:

RE: LOCAL: Adjust 148 Kingsport MPO TIP# L-STBGP-5

Date:

Monday, June 19, 2017 3:02:39 PM

Attachments:

image001.png image002.png

Kim,

Thanks for addressing our concerns and for sending this information for our files.

Thanks,

Sean Santalla Planning & Air Quality Specialist FHWA Tennessee Division 404 BNA Drive, Bldg 200, Ste 508 Nashville, TN 37217 615-781-5767

From: Kim Y. Thompson [mailto:Kim.Y.Thompson@tn.gov]

Sent: Monday, June 19, 2017 1:51 PM

To: Santalla, Sean (FHWA) <sean.santalla@dot.gov>; Rhiannon Chambers <Rhiannon.Chambers@tn.gov>; Troy J. Ebbert <Troy.J.Ebbert@tn.gov>

Cc: 'billalbright@kingsporttn.gov' <billalbright@kingsporttn.gov>; Brian Hurst <Brian.Hurst@tn.gov>; Brian Terrell <Brian.Terrell@tn.gov>; Ronnie Porter <Ronnie.Porter@tn.gov>; John Kahle <John.Kahle@tn.gov>; Jeremy Beeman <Jeremy.Beeman@tn.gov>; Bobby Johnson <Bobby.Johnson@tn.gov>; Eli Jones <Eli.Jones@tn.gov>; Joshua Suddath <Joshua.Suddath@tn.gov>; Klint Rommel <Klint.Rommel@tn.gov>; Carma H. Smith <Carma.H.Smith@tn.gov>; Robert Hayzlett <Robert.Hayzlett@tn.gov>; Claxton, Theresa (FHWA) <Theresa.Claxton@dot.gov>

Subject: RE: LOCAL: Adjust 148 Kingsport MPO TIP# L-STBGP-5

Hi Sean,

The correct TIP pages are attached.

Sincerely,

Kim



Kim Y. Thompson | Transportation Planner TDOT Program Development & Scheduling Office James K. Polk Bldg, 6th Floor 505 Deaderick St., Nashville, TN 37243 p. 615-532-6083 kim.y.thompson@tn.gov tn.gov/tdot

From: Santalla, Sean (FHWA) [mailto:sean.santalla@dot.gov]

Sent: Friday, June 16, 2017 9:04 AM **To:** Rhiannon Chambers; Troy J. Ebbert

Cc: 'billalbright@kingsporttn.gov'; Brian Hurst; Brian Terrell; Ronnie Porter; John Kahle; Jeremy Beeman; Bobby Johnson; Eli Jones; Joshua Suddath; Klint Rommel; Carma H. Smith; Kim Y. Thompson; Robert

Hayzlett; Claxton, Theresa (FHWA)

Subject: RE: LOCAL: Adjust 148 Kingsport MPO TIP# L-STBGP-5

*** This is an EXTERNAL email. Please exercise caution. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email - STS-Security. ***

Rhiannon,

Theresa and I were able to clarify the total project cost increase. However, we still need a TIP page with a corrected TIP page number (L-STBGP-3) before we can accept this adjustment.

Troy – we should be on the same page now. Please forward this message to Michael, I don't think I have his email address.

Thanks.

Sean Santalla Planning & Air Quality Specialist FHWA Tennessee Division 404 BNA Drive, Bldg 200, Ste 508 Nashville, TN 37217 615-781-5767

From: Claxton, Theresa (FHWA)
Sent: Sunday, June 11, 2017 9:02 PM

To: Rhiannon Chambers Rhiannon.Chambers@tn.gov">Rhiannon.Chambers@tn.gov; 'billalbright@kingsporttn.gov; Santalla, Sean (FHWA) Sean.santalla@dot.gov; Brian Hurst Serian Terrell Serian.Terrell@tn.gov; Ronnie Porter Ronnie.Porter@tn.gov; John Kahle John.Kahle@tn.gov; Jeremy Beeman Jeremy.Beeman@tn.gov; Bobby Johnson Seli.Jones@tn.gov; Joshua Suddath Joshua.Suddath@tn.gov; Klint Rommel Klint.Rommel@tn.gov; Carma H. Smith Carma.H.Smith@tn.gov; Kim Y. Thompson Klint.Rommel@tn.gov; Robert Hayzlett Robert.Hayzlett@tn.gov>

Subject: RE: LOCAL: Adjust 148 Kingsport MPO TIP# L-STBGP-5

Greetings, Rhiannon.

Our records indicate this project was previously shown as L-STBG-3 in the current STIP. The attached sheets indicate STBGP-5. Can you please clarify the correct project number? Also, the total project cost (PE, ROW, CONST) is increasing but the individual project phase costs are not increasing. Where is the extra money going?

Much appreciated.

Regards, Theresa

From: Rhiannon Chambers [mailto:Rhiannon.Chambers@tn.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 9:18 AM

To: 'billalbright@kingsporttn.gov' <billalbright@kingsporttn.gov>; Claxton, Theresa (FHWA) < Theresa.Claxton@dot.gov>; Santalla, Sean (FHWA) < sean.santalla@dot.gov>; Brian Hurst < Brian.Hurst@tn.gov>; Brian Terrell < Brian.Terrell@tn.gov>; Ronnie Porter < Ronnie.Porter@tn.gov>; John Kahle < John.Kahle@tn.gov>; Jeremy Beeman < Jeremy.Beeman@tn.gov>; Bobby Johnson < Bobby.Johnson@tn.gov>; Eli Jones < Eli.Jones@tn.gov>; Joshua Suddath < Joshua.Suddath@tn.gov>; Klint Rommel < Klint.Rommel@tn.gov>; Carma H. Smith < Carma.H.Smith@tn.gov>; Kim Y. Thompson < Kim.Y.Thompson@tn.gov>; Robert Hayzlett < Robert.Hayzlett@tn.gov>

Subject: LOCAL: Adjust 148 Kingsport MPO TIP# L-STBGP-5

Increasing total project cost from \$550,000 to \$612,372.

Thank you,



Rhiannon Chambers | Program Monitor II TDOT Program Development & Scheduling Office James K. Polk Building, 6th Floor 505 Deaderick St., Nashville, TN 37243 p. 615-532-3179 Rhiannon.Chambers@tn.gov

tn.gov/tdot



KINGSPORT METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

TENNESSEE: KINGSPORT, SULLIVAN COUNTY, HAWKINS COUNTY, MOUNT CARMEL, CHURCH HILL VIRGINIA: SCOTT COUNTY, WEBER CITY, GATE CITY

MEMORANDUM

To: TDOT LOCAL PROGRAMS - Eli Jones

From: Bill Albright, Kingsport MPO Coordinator

CC: Michael Thompson

Date: June 1, 2017

Subject: TIP Adjustment - L-STBG-3, TDOT PIN 121031.00 (Island Road Signal Project)

The attached TIP adjustment consists of previously obligated funds of \$62,372 added in to the "Total Project Cost", which is now \$612,372. Note this does not create any changes to the total programmed funds, as identified in the Highway Totals tables found in the back of the TIP.



TIP# L-STBG-3	TDOT PIN# / VA UPC# 121031.00	Priority	Lead Agency	City of Kingsort
County Sullivan	Length N/A LRT	Consistent w/ LRTP	Conformity Status	N/A
Route/Project Name	Signalization of Intersection of State Route 126 with Is	and Road	Total Project Cost	\$612,372
Termini or Intersection	Intersection of State Route 126 (Memorial Boulevard)	vith Island Road		
Project Description	Installation of new 4-way traffic signal and associated esignal design - at intersection of SR 126 with Island Ro		lane configuration that coord	dinates with new

Type of Work	Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
PE, ROW, CONST	L-STBG	\$550,000	\$440,000	\$110,000	\$0
		Type of Work Type	Type of Work Type Total Funds	Type of Work Type Total Funds Fed Funds	Type of Work Type Total Funds Fed Funds State Funds

Remarks	Amendment Number	Adjustment Number	6	
\$62,372 added to "Total Project	Cost" came from previously obligated funds (that had been left out of total)			





TIP# L-STBGP-5	TDOT PIN# / VA UPC# 121031.00 Prid	ority Lead Agency City of Kingsort
County Sullivan	Length N/A LRTP# Consistent w/ LR	TP Conformity Status N/A
Route/Project Name	Signalization of Intersection of State Route 126 with Island Road	Total Project Cost \$550,000
Termini or Intersection	Intersection of State Route 126 (Memorial Boulevard) with Island Road	
Project Description	Installation of new 4-way traffic signal and associated equipment plus improving signal design - at intersection of SR 126 with Island Road	vements to lane configuration that coordinates with new

Fiscal Year	Type of Work	Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
FY 17	PE, ROW, CONST	L-STBGP	\$550,000	\$440,000	\$110,000	\$0
Г						
$\overline{}$						

Remarks	Amendment Number	Adjustment Number 2



Adjustment #7

From:

Claxton, Theresa (FHWA)

To:

Kim Y. Thompson; Santalla, Sean (FHWA)

Cc:

Albright, Bill; Brian Hurst; Brian Terrell; Robert Hayzlett; Ronnie Porter; John Kahle; Jeremy Beeman; Bobby Johnson; Eli Jones; Maria Hunter; Rhiannon Chambers; Klint Rommel; Carma H. Smith; Daniel Oliver; Joshua

Suddath; Sharon Sanders; Mary D. McFarlin

Subject: Date: RE: LOCAL: Adjust 206 Kingsport MPO L-STBG-6 Thursday, September 21, 2017 5:18:01 PM

Attachments:

image001.png

*** This is an EXTERNAL email. Please exercise caution. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email - STS-Security. ***

Kim,

Thank you for sending this information for our files.

Regards, Theresa

From: Kim Y. Thompson [mailto:Kim.Y.Thompson@tn.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, September 12, 2017 2:01 PM

To: Santalla, Sean (FHWA) <sean.santalla@dot.gov>

Cc: Albright, Bill <BillAlbright@KingsportTN.gov>; Brian Hurst <Brian.Hurst@tn.gov>; Brian Terrell <Brian.Terrell@tn.gov>; Robert Hayzlett <Robert.Hayzlett@tn.gov>; Ronnie Porter

<Ronnie.Porter@tn.gov>; John Kahle <John.Kahle@tn.gov>; Jeremy Beeman

<Jeremy.Beeman@tn.gov>; Bobby Johnson <Bobby.Johnson@tn.gov>; Eli Jones <Eli.Jones@tn.gov>;
Maria Hunter <Maria.Hunter@tn.gov>; Rhiannon Chambers <Rhiannon.Chambers@tn.gov>; Klint

Rommel <Klint.Rommel@tn.gov>; Carma H. Smith <Carma.H.Smith@tn.gov>; Daniel Oliver

<Daniel.Oliver@tn.gov>; Claxton, Theresa (FHWA) <Theresa.Claxton@dot.gov>; Joshua Suddath
<Joshua.Suddath@tn.gov>; Sharon Sanders <Sharon.Sanders@tn.gov>; Mary D. McFarlin

<Mary.D.McFarlin@tn.gov>

Subject: LOCAL: Adjust 206 Kingsport MPO L-STBG-6

Sean,

Local Programs concurs with Kingsport MPO's request to add \$40,000 L-STBG, total funding, back into the TIP, as well as, the PE-N & PE-D phases. \$40,000 of L-STBG funding was inadvertently removed from the 2017-2021 TIP. The total project cost remains the same.

Thanks,

Kim

Kim Y. Thompson | Transportation Planner TDOT Program Development & Scheduling Office James K. Polk Bldg, 6th Floor 505 Deaderick St., Nashville, TN 37243 p. 615-532-6083 kim.y.thompson@tn.gov tn.gov/tdot

KINGSPORT METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

TENNESSEE: KINGSPORT, SULLIVAN COUNTY, HAWKINS COUNTY, MOUNT CARMEL, CHURCH HILL VIRGINIA: SCOTT COUNTY, WEBER CITY, GATE CITY

MEMORANDUM

TO:

TDOT Local Programs - Eli Jones

FROM:

Bill Albright, Kingsport MPO Coordinator

CC:

Michael Thompson

Emily Wood

DATE:

August 25, 2017

SUBJECT: TIP Adjustment #5 - L-STBG-6, TDOT PIN 123791.00 (Press Road Project)

This TIP page requires an adjustment due to a clerical error on the original 2017-2021 page. Kingsport inadvertently removed the Design phase when transitioning from the 2014-17 TIP to the 2017-2021 TIP. They were under the assumption these funds would have been obligated under the prior TIP as stated in the Remarks section of the original 2017-2021 page. This adjustment only adds the \$40,000 Design portion back as \$10,000 was already obligated for NEPA (This is covered under the Remarks of the Amended pages as "\$8,000 federal funds previously obligated"). Total Project Cost will not change.



TIP # L-STBG-6	TDOT PIN	# / VA UPC# 123791.0	0 Priority	Lead Agency	Church Hill			
County Hawkins	County Hawkins Length .82 Miles LRTP# Conformity Status							
Route/Project Name	Kingsport Press Ro	oad Resurfacing	Total Project Cos	\$390,000				
Termini or Intersection	Kingsport Press Re	from SR1 to Greenlar	nd Park Rd.					
Project Description	Resurfacing and ar	ncillary improvements.	2					
Fiscal Year Type of	Fundin Work Type	-	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds			
FY17 PE-N, PE-	D, Const L-STB	\$390,000	\$312,000		\$78,000			
Remarks	Remarks Amendment Number Adjustment Number (8-25-17)							





TIP # L-STBG-6	TDOT PIN# / VA UPC# 123791.00	Priority	Lead Agency	Church Hill
County Hawkins	Length .82 Miles	LRTP#	Conformity Status	
Route/Project Name	Kingsport Press Road Resurfacing	Total Project Cost	\$350,000	
Termini or Intersection	Kingsport Press Rd from SR1 to Greenland	d Park Rd.		
Project Description	Resurfacing and ancillary improvements.			

Year	Type of Work	Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
FY17	CONST	L-STBG	\$300,000	\$240,000		\$60,000
		-				

Remarks		An	nendment Number		Adjustment Number	
FY16	PE-D/ PE-N	L-STBG	\$50,000	\$40,000		\$10,000





From: To: Albright, Bill Phillips, Lesley

Subject: Date:

FW: LOCAL: Adjust 216 Kingsport L-STBG-3 Wednesday, November 01, 2017 9:37:07 AM

Attachments:

image001.png

From: Claxton, Theresa (FHWA) [mailto:Theresa.Claxton@dot.gov]

Sent: Thursday, October 12, 2017 10:43 AM

To: Kim Y. Thompson <Kim.Y.Thompson@tn.gov>; Santalla, Sean (FHWA) <sean.santalla@dot.gov> Cc: Albright, Bill <BillAlbright@KingsportTN.gov>; Brian Hurst <Brian.Hurst@tn.gov>; Brian Terrell <Brian.Terrell@tn.gov>; Robert Hayzlett <Robert.Hayzlett@tn.gov>; Ronnie Porter <Ronnie.Porter@tn.gov>; John Kahle <John.Kahle@tn.gov>; Jeremy Beeman <Jeremy.Beeman@tn.gov>; Bobby Johnson <Bobby.Johnson@tn.gov>; Eli Jones <Eli.Jones@tn.gov>; Maria Hunter <Maria.Hunter@tn.gov>; Rhiannon Chambers <Rhiannon.Chambers@tn.gov>; Klint Rommel <Klint.Rommel@tn.gov>; Carma H. Smith <Carma.H.Smith@tn.gov>; Daniel Oliver <Daniel.Oliver@tn.gov>; Joshua Suddath <Joshua.Suddath@tn.gov>; Sharon Sanders <Sharon.Sanders@tn.gov>; Mary D. McFarlin <Mary.D.McFarlin@tn.gov>

Subject: RE: LOCAL: Adjust 216 Kingsport L-STBG-3

Kim,

Thank you for sending this information for our files.

Please note again, this is another instance in which multiple adjustments have occurred on a project. Adjustment 89 was in May, Adjustment 148 was in June, and now Adjustment 216 in October. The programmed cost has increased 98% from original at this point. We really need to work on our QA/QC and cost estimation to start reducing the number of repeated adjustments on projects.

Regards, Theresa

From: Kim Y. Thompson [mailto:Kim.Y.Thompson@tn.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, October 11, 2017 11:58 AM

To: Claxton, Theresa (FHWA) < Theresa.Claxton@dot.gov; Santalla, Sean (FHWA)

<sean.santalla@dot.gov>

Cc: Albright, Bill <<u>BillAlbright@KingsportTN.gov</u>>; Brian Hurst <<u>Brian.Hurst@tn.gov</u>>; Brian Terrell

<Brian.Terrell@tn.gov>; Robert Hayzlett <Robert.Hayzlett@tn.gov>; Ronnie Porter

<<u>Ronnie.Porter@tn.gov</u>>; John Kahle <<u>John.Kahle@tn.gov</u>>; Jeremy Beeman

<<u>Jeremv.Beeman@tn.gov</u>>; Bobby Johnson <<u>Bobby.Johnson@tn.gov</u>>; Eli Jones <<u>Eli.Jones@tn.gov</u>>;

Maria Hunter < Maria. Hunter@tn.gov>; Rhiannon Chambers < Rhiannon. Chambers@tn.gov>; Klint

Rommel < Klint.Rommel@tn.gov >; Carma H. Smith < Carma.H.Smith@tn.gov >; Daniel Oliver

<Daniel.Oliver@tn.gov>; Joshua Suddath <Joshua.Suddath@tn.gov>; Sharon Sanders

<<u>Sharon.Sanders@tn.gov</u>>; Mary D. McFarlin <<u>Mary.D.McFarlin@tn.gov</u>>

Subject: LOCAL: Adjust 216 Kingsport L-STBG-3

Sean,

Local Programs concurs with Kingsport TPO's request to increase FY 17 L-STBG funds by \$164,000.00 and updated map.

Sincerely,

Kim



Kim Y. Thompson | Transportation Planner TDOT Program Development & Scheduling Office James K. Polk Bldg, 6th Floor 505 Deaderick St., Nashville, TN 37243 p. 615-532-6083 kim.y.thompson@tn.gov tn.gov/tdot

MEMORANDUM

TO: TDOT LOCAL PROGRAMS - Eli Jones

FROM: Bill Albright, Kingsport MPO Coordinator

CC: Michael Thompson

DATE: September 29, 2017

SUBJECT: FY 17-21 Transportation Improvement Program

Adjustment #6 - L-STBG-3, TDOT PIN 121031.00 (Island Road Signal Project)

The attached TIP adjustment consists of adding \$164,000 total dollars to the Island Road Signal project, which will now include \$131,200 of added federal funds with a local matching amount of \$32,800. This brings the total project cost to \$776,372. These funds will be obligated for construction.



TIP# L-STBG-3	TDOT PIN# / VA UPC# 121031.00 Prio	ority Lead Agency City of Kingsport
County Sullivan	Length N/A LRTP# Consistent w/ L	RTP Conformity Status N/A
Route/Project Name	Signalization of Intersection of State Route 126 with Island Road	Total Project Cost \$776,372
Termini or Intersection	Intersection of State Route 126 (Memorial Boulevard) with Island Road	
Project Description	Installation of new 4-way traffic signal and associated equipment plus with new signal design - at intersection of SR 126 with Island Road	improvements to lane configuration that coordinates


Fiscal Year	Phase	Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
FY 17	PE, ROW, CONST	L-STBG	\$714,000	\$571,200	\$142,800	\$0
		i i				i
一		† †				i e
_		+ +				

Remarks	Amendment Number	Adjustment Number & 8
adjustment June 1, 2017; \$62,372	added to "Total Project Cost" that came from previously oblig	igated funds (that had been left out of total)
adjustment September 29, 2017; ad	ided \$164,000 to construction phase	





TP#	L-STBG-3	TDOT PIN# / VA	UPC# 121031.00	Priority	Lead Agency	City of Kingsort
ounty [Sullivan	Length 1	N/A LRTP	# Consistent w/ LRTP	Conformity Status	N/A
oute/Pro	oject Name	Signalization of Intersec	tion of State Route 126 with Isla	nd Road	Total Project Cost	\$612,372
rmini o	r Intersection	Intersection of State Ro	ute 126 (Memorial Boulevard) wi	ith Island Road		
oject De	escription		y traffic signal and associated ec ection of SR 126 with Island Roa		o lane configuration that coor	dinates with new
iscal Year	Type of Work	Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
FY 17	PE, ROW, CONS	ST L-STBG	\$550,000	\$440,000	\$110,000	\$0
ᆜ						
emarks			Amendment Number		Adjustment Number	2
2,372 ac	ided to "Total Project	Cost" came from previou	sly obligated funds (that had bee	en left out of total)		






TIP # TN-2	TDOT PIN# / VA UPC# Group	Priority	Lead Agency TDOT
STIP# 1782135			0
County Sullivan, Hawkins	Length L	RTP#	Conformity Status N/A
Route/Project Name	Highway Safety Improvement Program (HS	SIP) Grouping	Total Project Cost \$2,240,736
Termini or Intersection	Kingsport MTPO - Safety (Highway Hazard	Elimination)	
Project Description	See TIP Grouping description for a compre	hensive listing of activities includ	ed but not limited for eligibility. (TIP Pages 40-
	и		

Fiscal Year	Phase	Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
FY 17	PE, ROW, CONST	HSIP	\$1,155,934	\$1,040,341	\$115,593	\$(
FY 17	PE, ROW, CONST	PHSIP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$
FY 18	PE, ROW, CONST	HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$
FY 18	PE, ROW, CONST	ACPHSIP	\$552,000	\$0	\$552,000	\$
FY 18	PE, ROW, CONST	PHSIP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$
FY 19	PE, ROW, CONST	HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$
FY 19	PE, ROW, CONST	PHSIP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$
FY 20	PE, ROW, CONST	HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$(
FY 20	PE, ROW, CONST	PHSIP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$

Remarks Amendment Number Adjustment Number 9

TDOT Adjustment #226






TIP# TN-2	TDOT PIN# / VA UPC# Group	Priority Lead Agency TDOT
STIP# 1782135 County Sullivan, Hawkins	Length LRTP#	Conformity Status N/A
Route/Project Name	Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Grouping	Total Project Cost \$1,688,736
Termini or Intersection	Kingsport MTPO - Safety (Highway Hazard Elimination)	
Project Description	See TIP Grouping description for a comprehensive listing o	of activities included but not limited for eligibility. (TIP Pages 40-41)

Fiscal Year	Phase	Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
FY 17	PE, ROW, CONST	HSIP	\$1,155,934	\$1,040,341	\$115,593	\$0
FY 17	PE, ROW, CONST	PHSIP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0
FY 18	PE, ROW, CONST	HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0
FY 18	PE, ROW, CONST	PHSIP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0
FY 19	PE, ROW, CONST	HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0
FY 19	PE, ROW, CONST	PHSIP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0
FY 20	PE, ROW, CONST	HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0
FY 20	PE, ROW, CONST	PHSIP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0

Remarks Amendment Number 2

TDOT Adjustment #24





ALL SCHEDULES SUBJECT TO AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS

STIP Project List

	LENGIH IN MILES	LEAD AGENCY TDOT	трот
			TOTAL PROJECT COST
			\$1,688,736
			TIP # TN-2
GHWAY HA	TERMINI KINGSPORT MTPO - SAFETY (HIGHWAY HAZARD ELIMINATION)		
RIPTION FO	PROJECT SEE TIP GROUPING DESCRIPTION FOR A COMPREHENSIVE LISTING OF ACTIVITIES INCLUDED BUT NOT LIMITED FOR RIGIBILITY	OF ACTIVITIES INCLUD	ED BUT NOT LIMITED FOR

OLD PAGE

REMARKS





VICINITY MAP



Adjusted Page

TIP# TN-7 STIP# 1782025	TDOT PIN# / VA UPC# 105467.02 Priority Lead Agency TDOT
County SULLIVAN	Length 4.5 LRTP# Page #4-5 Conformity Status N/A
Route/Project Name	SR-126 (MEMORIAL BLVD) - PHASE II Total Project Cost \$64,700,000
Termini or Intersection	(MEMORIAL BOULEVARD), FROM EAST OF COOKS VALLEY ROAD TO I-81 IN KINGSPORT.
Project Description	WIDEN TO A MULTI-LANE FACILITY

Fiscal Year	Phase	Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
FY 17	PE-N	STBG	\$1,000,000	\$800,000	\$200,000	\$0
FY 17	PE-D	STBG	\$4,000,000	\$3,200,000	\$800,000	\$0


Remarks

Amendment Number

Adjustment Number

10

TDOT Adjustment #235 - TDOT moving \$1,000,000 of STBG funds from PE-D to the added PE-N phase for FY17




Old Page



TIP# TN-7 STIP# 1782025	TDOT PIN# / VA UPC# PIN # 1	05467.02 Priority	Lead Agency TDOT
County Sullivan	Length 4.5 Miles	LRTP# Page # 4-5	Conformity Status N/A
Route/Project Name	SR-126 (Memorial Blvd) - Phase II		Total Project Cost \$64,700,000
Termini or Intersection	(Memorial Boulevard) From East of Cooks Valle	ey Road to Interstate 81 in King	sport and Sullivan County
Project Description	Widen to a Multi-Lane Facility		

Fiscal Year	Phase	Funding Type	Total Funds	Fed Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
FY 17	PE-D	STBG	\$5,000,000	\$4,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$0

Remarks	Amendment Number	4	Adjustment Number	J
TDOT Amendment #58 - New Project				



Kingsport MTPO 2017 - 2021 TIP Highway Funding Summary Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 17

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
ACNHPP (State)	\$9,400,000	\$0	\$9,400,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ACSTBG (State)	\$24,000,000	\$0	\$24,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP	\$1,155,934	\$1,040,341	\$115,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHISP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$3,890,900	\$3,112,720	\$778,180	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$2,460,542	\$1,968,434	\$492,108	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$3,454,000	\$3,018,500	\$392,800	\$298,000	-\$435,500	\$1,760,000	\$1,324,500
TAP - Gen	\$940,000	\$752,000	\$0	\$188,000	\$0	0	0
O & M (Local)	\$14,200,000	\$0	\$0	\$14,200,000	\$0	0	0
Totals:	\$59,517,626	\$9,908,245	\$35,178,682	\$14,686,000	\$0	1,760,000	1,324,500

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds \$484,000 added from previous TIP

Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 18

Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ACPHSIP	\$552,000	\$0	\$552,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHSIP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$390,900	\$312,720	\$78,180	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$4,415,300	\$783,300	\$3,600,000	\$32,000	\$156,066	\$1,318,400	\$1,474,466
TAP - Gen	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
O & M (Local)	\$14,342,000	\$0	\$0	\$14,342,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals:	\$21,332,926	\$2,421,045	\$4,537,882	\$14,374,000	\$156,066	\$1,318,400	\$1,474,466

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 19

		rennes	see Highway Totals	10r F 1 19			
Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance
HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHSIP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$390,900	\$312,720	\$78,180	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108	\$0			
L-STP (Local)*	\$400,000	\$320,000	\$0	\$80,000	\$1,154,466	\$1,357,952	\$2,512,418
O & M (Local)	\$14,485,420	\$0	\$0	\$14,485,420			
Totals:	\$16,909,046	\$1,957,745	\$385,882	\$14,565,420	\$1,154,466		

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 20


Tollings of the state of the st									
Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available Unprogramed Balance		
HSIP	\$155,934	\$140,341	\$15,593	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
PHISP	\$16,250	\$16,250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
NHPP	\$390,900	\$312,720	\$78,180	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
S-STBG (State)	\$1,460,542	\$1,168,434	\$292,108	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
L-STP (Local)*	\$3,200,000	\$2,240,000	\$0	\$560,000	\$272,418	\$1,398,690	\$1,671,108		
O & M (Local)	\$14,630,274	\$0	\$0	\$4,121,204	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Totals:	\$19,853,900	\$3,877,745	\$385,882	\$4,681,204	\$272,418	\$1,398,690	\$1,671,108		

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds

Tennessee Highway Totals for FY 21

100		Tennes	see Highway Totals	for FY 21			
Funding Source	Total Programmed Funds	Programed Federal Funds	Programed State Funds	Programed Local Funds	Surplus / Deficit **	Annual Allocation	Available unprogramed Balance
ACNHPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PHISP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S-STBG (State)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
L-STP (Local)*	\$23,053,900	\$2,560,000	\$0	\$640,000	-\$888,892	\$1,440,651	\$551,759
O & M (Local)	\$14,776,577	\$0	\$0	\$14,776,577	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals:	\$37,830,477	\$2,560,000	\$0	\$15,416,577	\$0	\$0	\$0

^{*}The State Funds column for this line item refers to projects that may include the State's participation requiring the use of S-STBG funds



VICINITY MAP

OLD PAGE COUNTY MAP TOTAL PROJECT COST \$64,700,000 TIP # TN-7 LEAD AGENCY TDOT TERMINI (MEMORIAL BOULEVARD), FROM EAST OF COOKS VALLEY ROAD TO I-81 IN KINGSPORT. LOCAL **LENGTH IN MILES** 4.5 1,000,000 STATE 4,000,000 FED **TDOT PIN #** 105467.02 PROJECT WIDEN TO A MULTI-LANE FACILITY DESCRIPTION 5,000,000 TOTAL FUNDING STBG REMARKS | NEW PROJECT 1782025 COUNTY SULLIVAN PHASE PE-D ROUTE SR-126 STIP # 2017 F

STIP Project List



VICINITY MAP

ALL SCHEDULES SUBJECT TO AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS